

PRA Requests

Received From: 8/1/2018

Received To: 8/31/2018

Total: 237

Request ID	Requestor Last Name	Requestor First Name	Received Date	Request Description	Requestor Business Name	Request Status	Responder	Response Summary
PR A-2018-01547	Miles (3rd Party Records)	Richard	8/1/2018	Please provide any info you have for Sharon A. Hart Birthday [REDACTED] Most current address: [REDACTED] Most current mailing address: [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking to determine if this individual has a criminal record, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01548	Pham (Credit Cards)	Nam	8/1/2018	Credit card debt judgements renewed		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A-2018-01549	Heo (California Insurance Code section 10134)	Elizabeth	8/1/2018	Documents served on the Attorney General's Office pursuant to California Insurance Code section 10134, et seq. from (07/01/2018 through 07/31/2018). Please contact me to arrange a time to inspect and copy these records.		Completed	Howard Wayne	We will provide you with such documents. Please contact Nancy Quach at 415-703-5648 to schedule time for you to review these records. If you wish to copy these records, you will need to provide your own scanning equipment. You may contact me if you have any questions regarding the above.
PR A-2018-01	Robertson (Silva) (PO for Medical)	Marcia	8/1/2018	I request that a copy of the following documents # PO16-1189 ("FoldAway Platform Trucks. 900 b, 24x34x36, Tropic Sand/Brown"), including submitted documents, details of the order, and pricing.		Completed	Stacy Heinzen	Responsive records are attached.

550	Supplies)							
PR A-2018-01551	Talla (CLETS)	Vasudha	8/1/2018	<p>I am writing on behalf of the American Civil Liberties Union of Northern California and the National Immigration Law Center (NILC) (collectively, the Requestors) to follow up on your agency's June 22, 2018 response to the Requestors' February 28, 2018 request for records held by your agency pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Government Code §§ 6250, et. seq.) and Article I § 3(b) of the California Constitution regarding the circumstances in and processes through which information held by your agency about driver's licenses, vehicle registration, and license plates may be shared with the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), or its subcomponents Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) or Customs and Border Patrol (CBP).</p> <p>Requests 2, 4, and 5 sought information (such as screen shots) about the information from the California Department of Motor Vehicles ("DMV") that can be obtained via queries made on the National Law Enforcement Telecommunications Network ("Nlets"). Your agency stated that you do not have access to such information. We believe that CA DMV information is in fact available through Nlets and that this may occur through interaction with CLETS. We therefore request a telephone call to help us understand how this occurs and how interaction between CLETS and Nlets works.</p> <p>Request 9 sought any current agreements entered into by the State of California and/or its agencies regarding access to DMV information. Your June 22, 2018 response stated that your agency had previously disclosed the "current agreement between Nlets and the DOJ," would be validating whether that agreement is the most current, and would send a separate response once that process is complete. Though the response references having provided us with the current agreement between Nlets and the DOJ, we have not received that agreement. We await disclosure of the current agreement from your agency.</p> <p>Requests 17 and 18 sought records related to the transactions by OHS and/or its subcomponents in Cal-Photo and CLETS from January 1, 2017 to the present. By letter dated May 4, 2018, we clarified the scope of our requests to note that we did not seek personally identifying information about the subject of the searches or transactions made in Cal-Photo and CLETS, in order to address exemptions raised by your agency to disclosing such information under the Public Records Act ("PRA"). In the June 22, 2018 response from your agency, your agency asserted that "information about individual transactions of this type are confidential and exempt from disclosure to the public. Accordingly, we will not be able to provide you with the records sought pursuant to Requests 17 and Request 18." While the Requestors do not agree that the information sought in Requests 17 and 18 is confidential and exempt from disclosure pursuant to the PRA, we will narrow our requests to seek the following information: (1) records of the number of transactions made by OHS and/or its subcomponents in Cal-Photo from January 1, 2017 to the present, and if available, broken down by month and by requesting agency; and (2) records of the number of transactions made by OHS and/or its subcomponents in CLETS from January 1, 2017 to the present, and if available, broken down by month and by requesting agency.</p> <p>Please let us know when you are available for a phone call to discuss questions regarding access to OMV information through Nlets. Please also send copies of the requested records to me at</p>	ACLU	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>On August 29, 2018, Jaimie Tackett responded: This letter responds to your follow-up request received in the Attorney General's Office on August 1, 2018, in which you sought clarification and had additional questions regarding a response that the Department of Justice (DOJ) produced on June 22, 2018, in response to a Public Records Act (PRA) request that you submitted on May 4, 2018. As permitted by statute, on August 14, 2018, we notified you that the DOJ was invoking the extension of time to respond to your request. (Gov. Code, § 6253.)</p> <p>In providing this additional response, the DOJ interprets "DHS and/or its subcomponents" to mean the following: Department of Homeland Security; U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services; United States Customs and Border Protection; United States Coast Guard; Federal Emergency Management Agency; Federal Law Enforcement Training Center; United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE); Transportation Security Administration; United States Secret Service; Directorate for Management; National Protection and Programs Directorate; Science and Technology Directorate; Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction Office; Office of Intelligence and Analysis, and; Office of Operations Coordination.</p> <p>Below please find your specific request, as set forth in your letter dated August 1, 2018, followed by our office's response:</p> <p>"Requests 2, 4, and 5 sought information (such as screen shots) about the information from the California Department of Motor Vehicles ("DMV") that can be obtained via queries made on the National Law Enforcement Telecommunications Network ("Nlets").</p> <p>Your agency stated that you do not have access to such information. We believe that CA DMV information is in fact available through Nlets and that this may occur through interaction with CLETS. We therefore request a telephone call to help us understand how this occurs and how interaction between CLETS and Nlets works.</p> <p>Response: To the extent that you are requesting screen shots from the National Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (Nlets) that depict information returned from the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS) and/or the California Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV), as stated previously, we are unable to provide such screen shots as we do not have access to this information. Nor do we have records responsive to your request for databases, networks, or systems with which Nlets is linked or interoperable as we do not own the Nlets system. We again suggest contacting Nlets for this information. To the extent that you are requesting screen shots showing what information is returned from CLETS and California OMV databases when a transaction or query is made in Nlets, please find attached a screen shot depicting information returned when a Drivers License query is made in Nlets. It is important to note that this screen shot was not taken from Nlets.</p> <p>Note, we have redacted personally identifiable information such as the user terminal, user identification, and internet protocol address contained in this record, based on considerations of personal privacy. (Art. I, sec. 1 of Cal. Con. as incorporated into the PRA by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) Specifically, the request seeks a screen shot of a record, and does not seek personal information; balancing the records requested and the purpose for such request against the need to protect the privacy of persons whose records were included as samples in this screen shot, the office has redacted any personal information because the need for privacy outweighs the need for disclosure.</p> <p>Requests 17 and 18 sought records related to the transactions by OHS and/or its subcomponents in Cal-Photo and CLETS from January 1, 2017 to the present. By letter dated May 4, 2018, we clarified the scope of our requests to note that we did not seek personally-identifying information about the subject of the searches or transactions made in Cal-Photo and CLETS, in order to address exemptions raised by your agency to disclosing such information under the Public Records Act ("PRA"). In the June 22, 2018 response from your agency, your agency asserted that "information about individual transactions of this type are confidential and exempt from disclosure to the public. Accordingly, we will not be able to provide you with the records sought pursuant to Requests 17 and Request 18. 11 While the Requestors do not agree that the information sought in Requests 17 and 18 is confidential and exempt from disclosure pursuant to the PRA, we will narrow our requests to seek the following information: (1) records of the number of transactions made by DHS and/or its subcomponents in Cal-Photo from January 1, 2017 to the present, and if available, broken down by month and by requesting agency, and (2) records of the number of transactions made by DHS and/or its subcomponents in CLETS from January 1, 2017 to the present, and if available, broken down by month and by requesting agency."</p> <p>Response:</p> <p>As an initial matter, the DOJ clarifies its response to Requests 17 & 18 as stated in your letter dated</p>

				<p>the address shown above, or email them to me at vtaJla@aclunc.org. We request that you waive any fees that would be normally applicable to a Public Records Act request. In addition, if you have the records in electronic form you can simply email them to me without incurring any copying costs. See Gov't. Code § 6253.9. The ACLU of Northern California and NILC agree to pay fees up to \$50. See Gov't. Code § 6253(b). If fees are estimated to exceed this limit, please inform us to obtain consent to incur additional fees. If you have any questions regarding this request, please feel free to contact me at (415) 621-2493. Thank you in advance for your timely cooperation.</p>			<p>May 4, 2018. The DOJ offers the Cal-Photo image application to law enforcement agencies, providing authorized users with the ability to search and retrieve photo images, including mug shot photos. This is accessible through CLETS. The Legislature provided that CLETS was to be established for the express purpose of permitting peace officers and law enforcement agencies to conduct official business via a secure telecommunications network. (Gov. Code, §§ 15151-15153.) Accordingly, any information transmitted via CLETS is confidential and exempt from disclosure. Additionally, information transmitted to and from Cal-Photo is similarly exempt under the balancing test and the investigatory exemption as explained below. Cal-Photo was created for use by law enforcement agencies who are already CLETS users as an augmentation to CLETS. Even though there is not an expressed statutory exemption for Cal-Photo, the same purposes that support the confidentiality of CLETS communications also support the confidentiality of communications by law enforcement agencies using the Cal-Photo application. Accordingly, under the balancing test, the public interest in creating a secure telecommunications network for the exclusive use of law enforcement agencies to access information like that available in Cal-Photo clearly outweighs the public's generalized interest in law enforcement information. (Gov. Code, § 6255.) Lastly, communications exchanged between the DOJ and law enforcement agencies via CLETS and Cal-Photo are exempt as investigatory records under Government Code, section 6254, subdivision (f). This section expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of law enforcement agencies. (See, <i>Dick Williams v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Communications made via CLETS and Cal-Photo in pursuit of an agency's law enforcement mission would be investigatory records and are thus exempt under subdivision (f). As to your new request seeking the number of monthly transactions made by a specific law enforcement agency and by each of its subcomponent departments over the entirety of CLETS generally, and via Cal-Photo specifically, we must deny your request. As discussed previously, CLETS and Cal-Photo are provided exclusively for use by law enforcement agencies, and communications and other information regarding usage are not disclosable. (Gov. Code, §§ 15151-15153.) Moreover, providing detailed information about CLETS use and transactions, including those involving a specific database like Cal-Photo, by individual law enforcement agencies would reveal sensitive information about an agency's investigations. Releasing numerical information about an agency's transactions through CLETS and Cal-Photo would reveal tendencies, patterns and techniques that could undermine the efficacy of legitimate law enforcement efforts. Accordingly, under the balancing test, the public interest in maintaining a confidential and secure telecommunications network for the exclusive use of law enforcement agencies to submit inquiries and access information from systems and tools like Cal-Photo in the course of law enforcement investigations without exposing methods of evading law enforcement clearly outweighs the public's generalized interest in law enforcement information. (Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>On August 15, 2018, Jaimie Tackett sent an extension letter.</p>
PR A-2018-01552	Paul (incident report)	William	8/1/2018	<p>Dept.: CDPR Area: RNSP, 1111 Second Street, Crescent City, CA 95531 Date of Incident: January 22, 2016 Fed. Case #: 17-cv-07197-SI</p> <p>I am requesting a copy of a report written by CDPR Park Ranger M ke Whelan regarding my arrest on Jan. 22, 2016. I am also requesting a copy of the body camera videos worn by Whelan and CDPR Park Ranger Kevin Cather for that incident, as well as dash camera recordings.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places, consulted with knowledgeable persons, and have been unable to locate any responsive records.</p>
PR A-2018-01553	Munoz (records for self)	Shirley	8/1/2018	<p>I would like to see my public records just to make sure that there is nothing unusual.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR</p>

								website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01554	Hewlett (Closed Settlements, Quest Diagnostic, Celera Corp)	Iris	8/1/2018	Per Gov. Code SWC. 6250 et seq., I seek records relating to closed settlements involving Quest Diagnostic and Celera Corp., which surrendered its CA SOS registration in 2017. In responding please provide a PRA control # missing under previous PRA request and fully document my request.		Completed	Aviv Burmas	August 27, 2018 response: I. DOCUMENTS TO BE DISCLOSED Attached are the disclosable records responsive to your request. II. RECORDS EXEMPTED FROM DISCLOSURE A. Investigatory Record Exemption – Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) Some of the records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)"].) B. Records Subject to Privilege – Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k) Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in the law that may also apply to any such records you seek. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates the following protections that prohibit disclosure: 1. Attorney Work Product and Attorney-Client Privilege The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. See also the Attorney-Client Privilege at Evidence Code section 954. 2. Official Information Privilege Pursuant to Evidence Code section 1040, which is incorporated in Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), any reports or findings about the requested individuals or company not covered by the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work product doctrine that are acquired in confidence by a public employee in the course of his/her duty that are not open, or officially disclosed, to the public are further protected by the official information privilege. As such, those records are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Therefore, we deny your request for any such records. 3. Mediation and Settlement Discussions Sections 1119 of the California Evidence Code, Federal Rule of Evidence 402, and decisions of courts have established privileges for communications in connection with mediation or other settlement discussions. (See, e.g., Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co. v. Chiles Power Supply, Inc. (2003) 332 F.3d 976, 979.) Therefore, we deny your request for any such records. C. Records Subject to Privilege – Government Code section 6255 The public interest balancing test exempts from disclosure materials where the societal interest in nondisclosure clearly outweighs the societal interest in disclosure. The deliberative process privilege is a subset of the general balancing test and focuses specifically on protecting the decision-making process so as not to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposed action, prosecution, or lawsuit, as well as

							documents subject to confidentiality agreements with third parties, would be covered by the balancing test and the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255. See also the preliminary draft exemption at Government Code section 6254, subdivision (a).
							On August 13, 2018, Aviva Burmas sent an extension letter and responded in part: Also, the records request you submitted on May 16, 2018 sought the "FOIA tracking numbers" that had been assigned to your previous requests. We notified you then that FOIA is a federal law that does not apply to state agencies and denied your request at that time because we were not yet actively utilizing a numbering system to track submitted records requests. Since then, we have implemented a numerical tracking system for California Public Records Act requests submitted to this agency. Your May 16, 2018 request has been assigned the tracking number 2018-00957. The tracking number for your August 1, 2018 PRA request is 2018-01554.
PR A-2018-01556	Olfati (July 2018) (Hyatt)	Parvin	8/1/2018	<p>1. Any communications to, from, and/or about the Hyatt Regency Sacramento (1209 "L" Street, Sacramento, California 95814), Hyatt Hotels Corporation, and/or the parking garage at the Hyatt Regency Sacramento and/or 1209 "L" Street, Sacramento, that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati and/or any stay at the Hyatt Regency Sacramento, and including but not limited to any communications through Visionary Integration Professionals (VIP) and/or any VIP employee, officer, agent, representative or Jonna Ward, and including but not limited to communications with any employee, officer, agent or representative of Hyatt Hotels Corporation and/or the Hyatt Regency Sacramento, including but not limited to any housekeeping staff.</p> <p>2. Any communications to, from, and/or about the Hyatt Regency Sacramento, Hyatt Hotels Corporation, and/or the parking garage at 1209 "L" Street in Sacramento and/or the Hyatt Regency Sacramento, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any person, agency and/or entity engaging in any surveillance or other activity intended to injure or otherwise affect Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati, including but not limited to any activity intended or reasonably foreseeable to affect any air in any room at the Hyatt Regency Sacramento believed to be used by Parvin Olfati directly or indirectly, and including but not limited to any communications through Visionary Integration Professionals (VIP) and/or any VIP employee, officer, agent, representative or Jonna Ward, and/or communications to any employee, officer, agent or representative of Hyatt Hotels Corporation or the Hyatt Regency Sacramento, including but not limited to housekeeping staff.</p> <p>3. Any communications to, from, and/or about the parking garage at the Hyatt Regency Sacramento, Hyatt Hotels, and/or the Hyatt Regency Sacramento, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any person, agency or entity requesting, directing, or otherwise communicating that any toxic or other substance should be placed in or near any automobile believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, Patti Olfati, Patty Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati.</p> <p>4. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, transfer or non-availability of any of the records requested herein, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PR A-2018-01	Moralez (contact information of	David	8/2/2018	<p>WORK CONTACT INFORMATION</p> <p>Hello, I am requesting:</p> <p>1. The state bar no., full mailing address, email address and telephone number for Senior Assistant Attorney General Linda K. Schneider; and</p> <p>2 The full name and spelling, position title, state bar no., full</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>In response to your request, we are providing the following information for Senior Assistant Attorney General Linda K. Schneider, and her direct supervisor, Chief Assistant Attorney General Jonathan L. Wolff:</p> <p>Linda K. Schneider Senior Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 85266</p>

55 7	a SAAG)			mailing address, email address and telephone number for Linda K. Schneider's direct supervisor. Thank you for your service.			San Diego, CA 92186-5266 (619) 738-9411 Linda.Schneider@doj.ca.gov State Bar No. 101336 Jonathan L. Wolff Chief Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 (916) 210-6011 Jon.Wolff@doj.ca.gov State Bar No. 193479 This completes our response to your request.
PR A- 20 18 - 01 55 8	Busse (emplo yment records , form 700, e- mails)	Tho mas	8/2 /20 18	August 27, 2018 follow up: I formally protest your decision to violate the Public Records Act and withhold the form STD 678, and I appeal for reconsideration. The California Court of Appeal held in Eskaton Monterey Hospital v. Myers 134 Cal. app. 3d 788 794 (1982) that this form is disclosable. Furthermore, CalPers has routinely released forms 678 to the blog naked capitalism, and through this blog, it was discovered CalPers CEO was woefully unqualified for his job. The test exception is clearly meant to exempt test questions and answers as a means to prevent cheating on state-administered exams by using the PRA to obtain the answer key. The "scoring" of an employment application is not such a test and pertains to the specifically disclosable material under the Eskaton standard. Furthermore, questions regarding conflicts of interest among members of the state bar clearly outweigh the privacy interest as the higher standards of officers of the court. Your self-serving and incorrect interpretation of the PRA for purposes of hiding conflicts of interest that put the public at risk has no compelling privacy interest. https://www.nakedcapitalism.com/2018/08/cover-calpers-ceo-frosts-misrepresentations-history-document-destruction-loss-calpers-pressure-evergreen-state-college.html August 2, 2018 request: I wish to request the employment application, resume, and conflict of interest/statements of economic interests forms of Supervising Deputy Attorney General Michael Jorgenson and any correspondence from January 2017 to the present 1) between Supervising DAG Jorgenson and San Francisco City Attorney Dennis Herrera concerning "Tetra Tech" or "TetraTech" 2) between Jorgenson and any e-mail from @tetratech.com 3) correspondence between Jorgenson and Albert Herberger 4) All correspondence of Jorgenson concerning "American Corporate Services, Inc." "Gregory Finkelson" "EB-5" and/or "Dobelle." I am a senior media research fellow with a San Francisco Independent Film consortium looking into conflicts of interest of the San Francisco City Attorney and false claims made by environmental remediation contractors, and the City Attorneys' role in illegal arms, human trafficking, and Section 8 tax credit kickbacks.	Co mpl ete d	Stac y Hein sen	On September 6, 2018, Stacy Heinsen sent a response: After further review and consideration, attached is a copy of the STD 678 received for Michael Jorgenson. On August 27, 2018, Stacy Heinsen sent a response: Statement of Economic Interests (Form 700) submitted in 2017 and 2018 by Michael Jorgenson are attached. In response to your request for the application and resume, a copy of the resume for Mr. Jorgenson is attached with certain private identifying information (address, telephone number, email address) redacted. However, applications such as form Std. 678 will not be produced. This is exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254(g) [exempting "[t]est questions, scoring keys, and other examination data used to administer a licensing examination, examination for employment, or academic examination"] and Government Code section 18934 [providing that "[f]iled applications and all other examination materials, including examination questions and any written material, are the property of the department and are confidential records not open to inspection except as provided by law"].) Moreover, information in these documents are exempt based on considerations of an applicant's personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. 1,§ 1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code,§ 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code ,§ 6255.) A search of department records did not produce any correspondence from January 2017 to present between Michael Jorgenson and the other parties listed or pertaining to the specific subject matter referenced. On August 13, 2018, Stacy Heinsen sent an extension letter.
PR A- 20 18 - 01 55 9	McKinn ey (divorc e)	Jimm y	8/2 /20 18	I just need to know the date from my divorce from Jennifer Ivy Humphries (her Maiden Name) back in 93-95. I just need the date of our divorce to finish filling out my application for a US Passport. All I need is the Date of our divorce, no other info needed. I've already paid (and cancelled) two different records search websites and they were unable to find the date for me, even after calling their Customer Service Dept. directly. Could someone	Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at:

				please just give me a call real quick after receiving this request?			https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A-2018-01560	Norris (court records)	Richard	8/2/2018	Can you send me any information and court document for case BA464483	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A-2018-01561	Chou (CEQA Case)	Christopher	8/2/2018	Notice to Attorney General regarding petition for writ of mandate in the CEQA case titled Maacama Watershed Alliance; Friends of Spence Lane v. County of Sonoma (Case no. SCV-261451) Petition in the case referenced or any other record indicating the Attorney General has been notified of the case referenced above. We are trying to determine whether the Attorney General has been served with the petition in the above referenced CEQA case.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A-2018-01562	Dove (Personal records)	Sara	8/2/2018	Any records on Sara Jean dove Yuba City Ca Yuba Sutter county	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01563	Nunley (3rd Party records)	Dawn	8/2/2018	Kelly Bold Riverside black man	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105.

							Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01564	Gracia (CH)	Mark	8/2/2018	I would like a copy of my "rap sheet." All the offenses that I have ever committed. This is a request under Public Records Act Government Code Section 6252 et. seq.	Completed	Hilda Estrella	My name is Hilda Estrella and I work in the Record Review and Challenge Program with the California Department of Justice, Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis (BCIA). We recently received an inquiry from the above mentioned inmate who is currently housed in the West Valley Detention Center. Your on-line website shows this individual will be detained until 9/3/18. I am not sure if he will be released or transferred to a more permanent facility after that date, however we are attempting to find assistance in processing his request. He has asked for a copy of his California state summary criminal history record (aka: RAP Sheet). In order for us to be able to process his request we will need to send a few forms, including a 10 print fingerprint hard-card (FD-258). The hard-card is a controlled document which cannot be mailed to the inmate. Is there a counselor, or someone in the legal unit that I could speak with who can serve as a point of contact where I can send these documents to? Any assistance in this matter would be greatly appreciated.
PR A-2018-01566	Kneib (traffic tickets)	Jonathan	8/2/2018	Traffic ticket in 2006	Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request seeks driving records. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting your driver records can be found at http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php . If you are seeking criminal records regarding a DUI, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security . We hope the information we have provided is of assistance.
PR A-2018-01568	Williams (Family Law Records)	Alacia	8/2/2018	Alacia Williams - Family law records. Parental rights and custodial parent information. Circa 2010-2015 Seeking all records as it relates to myself, my parental rights, custody of my children, and anything else related. Thanks!	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a family law court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PR A-2018-01648	Thonis (CCC Communications)	James	8/2/2018	"For the years including and between 1982 and 1990, all memoranda, letters, and other written communications between the California Coastal Commission's staff, including Mary Hudson (or any other Coastal Commission staff counsel), and any employees or members of the (then) staffs of the City of Oxnard (including Oxnard City attorneys Gary Gillig and Paula Kimbrell) or the County of Ventura (including the office of County Counsel), or to both of them, regarding jurisdiction over the County of Ventura's Channel Islands Harbor, including any communications regarding the Supplement to the California Attorney General's Opinion Number 81-1113 and the County of Ventura's Public Works Plan."	Completed	Daniel A. Olivas, Sup DA G	In your August 2, 2018 email, you state that you are "both: 1) rephrasing the prior request and 2) providing documentary proof that the Coastal Commission waived its attorney client privilege as to these documents in order to obtain related public records in your files." First, with regard to your rephrasing of the prior request. With respect to any responsive documents that are not protected by the attorney-client privilege, after a diligent search, we are unable to locate any such requested documents. I note that the timeframe you set is anywhere between 28 and 36 years ago, long outside even the most liberal document retention policy. Second, with respect to your assertion that you possess evidence of the Coastal Commission's waiver of the attorney-client privilege, we disagree. While you have provided us with evidence that in 1984, Dan Ray, then the Chief of Permits, sent copies of the Supplement to Attorney General Opinion No. 81-1113, together with the request for a supplemental opinion, to both the City of Oxnard and the County of Ventura, this does not mean that the Coastal Commission authorized a waiver of the attorney-client privilege, and there is no indication that Mary Hudson, then Coastal Commission staff counsel, did either**. Again, as I noted in my letter of March 29, 2018, my client asserts the attorney-client privilege and declines to waive it. But since we cannot locate the documents you request in your August 2, 2018 email, this may be an academic point. ** As I noted in my March 29, 2018 letter, confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of

							Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370 ["By its reference to the privileges contained in the Evidence Code, therefore, the Public Records Act has made the attorney-client privilege applicable to public records."].)
PR A-2018-01569	Wilson (3rd Party Records)	Cynthia	8/3/2018	I'm looking for my brother William David Widmer 60 years old Last I saw him was at my husband's funeral I've been trying to find him he's been in and out of the hospital she's not answering his phone so I'm trying to find an address for a health check on him he lived in the high desert I believe so anything you can give me a his address would be great so I can go check up on him	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview.</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2CStill-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-01570	Armstrong (court records)	Kaley	8/3/2018	My mother and I was sued about 10 years ago for a car accident that I was in as a minor. Neither my mother or I had the money to pay. Just received a letter that the judgement has been renewed. I would like the records on the first judgement and proof the judgement has been renewed. I thought it has been over 10 years.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to the court.</p>
PR A-2018-01571	Hedgepeth (CH, civil, medical, Family services)	Jill	8/3/2018	I am requesting information on any criminal or civil records concerning myself which you have on record. Specifically, the following counties; Contra Costa, Alameda, and San Joaquin. Additional any Domestic Violence victim, Medical Reports and/or Children and Family Services records.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security. If you are seeking information regarding victim rights and services, you can contact our Victims' Services unit. More information about Marsy's Law for victims can be found on our website at https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights.</p>
PR A-2018-01574	Presser (California Sunday Magazine) (restrai	Lizze	8/3/2018	August 16, 2018 clarification: 1. Overall number of Domestic Violence Restraining Order requests, broken down by type (i.e. Emergency Protective Order, Temporary Restraining Order, "Permanent" Restraining Order, Criminal Protective Order), filed in California courts from January 1, 2016 until July 2018, broken down by month (or quarter). 2. Number of Domestic Violence Restraining Order requests, broken down by type (i.e. Emergency Protective Order,	Assigned	Jaimie Tackett	On August 15, 2018, Jaimie Tackett sent a clarification letter: Pursuant to Government Code section 6253 .1, we are seeking clarification of your request. You indicate you are looking for "overall figures" but also state you want "the restraining orders by people who specifically identify as Latino or Hispanic." Are you seeking the actual restraining orders or the total number broken down by type and month as well? Do you mean that you are seeking the total numbers of Latino/Hispanic individuals who seek a restraining order or of those who had a restraining order issued against them?

	ning order statistic s)			Temporary Restraining Order, "Permanent" Restraining Order, Criminal Protective Order), filed in California courts by people who identify as Latino/Hispanic from January 1, 2016 until July 2018, broken down by month (or quarter). These records are sought as part of a news-gathering project, and this request is not being made for commercial purposes. If these are statistics that you only have collected for certain courts, I would appreciate the information from those courts, with specifications on which court each dataset comes from. August 3, 2018 request: Hi my name is Lizzie Presser, I'm a journalist for the California Sunday Magazine. I'm currently calling because I'm looking into California records on domestic violence restraining orders broken down by type, from January 1, 2016 through July 2018, broken down by month. I'm not sure that you necessarily have this information. Interested in overall figures, and also the restraining orders by people who specifically identify as Latino or Hispanic.			
PR A-2018-01575	Warren (court records)	Jessica	8/3/2018	All criminal and civil (non-domestic/family and non-traffic) court records for Li, Yang DOB [REDACTED]	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01576	Teichmann (nursing license)	Janis	8/3/2018	I am trying to locate information on a woman named Angela Pena, birthday: [REDACTED] I cannot seem to see her name show up in my search results on CDPH's website when I look for her CNA license to verify info.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the California Department of Public Health, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to submit a records request to their office can be found on their website at https://cdph.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/%28S%28c0dxnq1blr41lvabw0tqmko4%29%29/supporthome.aspx .
PR A-2018-01577	Mikulec (complaints)	Angelyn	8/3/2018	Copies of any and all complaints filed with the California Attorney General's Office after June 1, 2017 - present, pertaining to U.S. Gold Holdings, LLC, USG Intermediate, LLC and U.S. Money Reserve, Inc. and any of that entity's assumed names, including United States Rare Coin & Bullion Reserve; U.S. Rare Coin & Bullion Reserve; Presidential Money Bureau; United States Money & Bullion Reserve; United States Money and Reserve; United States Gold & Silver Reserve; U.S. Money Reserve; United States Money Reserve; Legendary Precious Metals; and Legendary Precious Metals, presented by U.S. Money Reserve.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PR A-2018-01578	Engberg (Daily Aztec) (athletics officials - May, Wicker,	Zachary	8/3/2018	Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to (inspect/obtain a copy of) the following, which I understand to be held by your agency: Emails from and/or to San Diego State athletics officials Mike May (mmay@sdsu.edu<mailto:mmay@sdsu.edu>), John David Wicker (adsdsu@sdsu.edu<mailto:adsdsu@sdsu.edu>) and Deitre Collins-Parker	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have only been able to locate two responsive records. One is a request from you, which we received on November 13, 2017, and the other record is our response to that request. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, such as the section or unit that might have the records you are seeking, or additional background information as to why you believe our office would have the records you are seeking, please provide that to us.

	and Parker)			(dcollins33@sdsu.edu<mailto:dcollins33@sdsu.edu>) including the names and phrases "Ken Murczek," "Ken," "Murczek," "Wake Forest," "Title IX," and "investigation," between July 1, 2017 and September 1, 2017.			
PR A-2018-01579	Engberg (Daily Aztec) (athletics officials - Solien, May)	Zachary	8/3/2018	<p>Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to (inspect/obtain a copy of) the following, which I understand to be held by your agency:</p> <p>Emails to and/or from San Diego State athletics officials Jim Solien (jsolien@sdsu.edu<mailto:jsolien@sdsu.edu>,) and Mike May (mmay@sdsu.edu<mailto:mmay@sdsu.edu>,) between December 7, 2017, and December 20, 2017, including the following terms: "Zach," "Zach Engberg," "Engberg," "Interview," "Ken," "Murczek," "Ken Murczek," "investigation," "Sydney," and "Northcutt."</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have only been able to locate two responsive records. One is a request from you, which we received on November 13, 2017, and the other record is our response to that request. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, such as the section or unit that might have the records you are seeking, or additional background information as to why you believe our office would have the records you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A-2018-01580	Engberg (Daily Aztec) (chicken pox)	Zachary	8/3/2018	<p>Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to (inspect/obtain a copy of) the following, which I understand to be held by your agency:</p> <p>Any emails, text messages or other correspondence to and/or from San Diego State athletics officials Mike May (mmay@sdsu.edu<mailto:mmay@sdsu.edu>), Jamie McConeghy (jmcconeg@sdsu.edu<mailto:jmcconeg@sdsu.edu>), Rocky Long and Patrick Spieldenner (pspeildenner@sdsu.edu<mailto:pspeildenner@sdsu.edu>) between August 10, 2017 and September 1, 2017 including the following terms: "chickenpox," "pox," "outbreak," "Anthony Luke," "Luke," "Engberg," "Zach Engberg," "Zach," "The Daily Aztec" or "The Aztec." I ask for a determination on this request within 10 days of your receipt of it, and an even prompter reply if you can make that determination without having to review the record[s] in question.</p> <p>If you determine that any or all of the information qualifies for an exemption from disclosure, I ask you to note whether, as is normally the case under the Act, the exemption is discretionary, and if so whether it is necessary in this case to exercise your discretion to withhold the information.</p> <p>If you determine that some but not all of the information is exempt from disclosure and that you intend to withhold it, I ask that you redact it for the time being and make the rest available as requested.</p> <p>In any event, please provide a signed notification citing the legal authorities on which you rely if you determine that any or all of the information is exempt and will not be disclosed.</p> <p>If I can provide any clarification that will help expedite your attention to my request, please contact me at 510-409-4221.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have only been able to locate two responsive records. One is a request from you, which we received on November 13, 2017, and the other record is our response to that request. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, such as the section or unit that might have the records you are seeking, or additional background information as to why you believe our office would have the records you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A-2018-01581	Rodriguez (Unspecified Registry records)	Angeli	8/3/2018	<p>Would I like to find out any records you might in your registry from 2003-2018 and would like to acquire a copy if possible.</p> <p>Thank you in advance for your time.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request as it is currently cast is too broad and unspecific. Our office has multiple registry databases and programs. If you have additional information to help identify the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>

PR A-2018-01650	Anaya (enforcement of PRA)	Edward	8/3/2018	Mr. Anaya voices concern over "...the California Department of Consumer Affairs policy and/or practice of routinely charging staff costs when responding to public records requests, instead of mere copying costs."	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>This correspondence is in response to your recent records request, which was received by the California Department of Justice (DOJ), in which you express concern regarding the following: "...the California Department of Consumer Affairs policy and/or practice of routinely charging staff costs when responding to public records requests, instead of mere copying costs."</p> <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We note that your correspondence relates to records in the possession of the California Department of Consumer Affairs. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking for the DOJ to enforce the Public Records Act in regard to another agency's records, we must decline your request. When the Legislature enacted the Public Records Act in 1968, it provided several judicial remedies for persons who believed that they had been wrongfully denied records to which they were entitled. These remedies include the right to seek injunctive or declaratory relief or a writ of mandate in the Superior Court. (See Government Code sections 6258-6259.) Absent special circumstances, the Legislature did not envision an enforcement role for the Attorney General in connection with noncompliance under the Act.</p>
PR A-2018-01582	Allbee (missing person)	Juanita	8/6/2018	<p>I'm requesting, in writing records within the CA. DOJ, which were added, after I parent filed missing person's report, of Katherine Shay Cooper. I contacted Chico PD and she was added to your data base at the end of June 2015 I was told. This is a public records request or under the FOIA, if that applies, for any part or all, of the confirmation, of the date she was put into DOJ database as missing, to date she was pulled from it, by Reno, Nevada Police in 2018. If this is denied please mail me the reason why. I am the mother, of Katherine and she's not been seen or heard from by any friends or family, since 2015. A few emails were sent, of great concern, that sounded confused if not a script. I am concerned for her well-being to this current date. We've considered filing another missing person, of the FBI due to factual info not included in the initial police report. I had never been told, which data base she was added to. We didn't receive a copy, of the police case for over a year. She was never on the media news due to lack of verifying the police case number at the onset. She had shown a suicidal ideation, in her own residence through gas leak. She also has Autism. She was last a two year volunteer of Chico Women's Catalyst.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>When our office sought further information on August 13, 2018, you had provided Katherine Shay Cooper's date of birth and case numbers.</p> <p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>Katherine Cooper (Date of Birth: 08/18/88) was entered into the California Missing Persons System by Chico Police Department (PD) on 06/24/15 with the case number of 201504053. Note, when an entry is made into the California Missing Persons System, it is then forwarded to the National Missing Persons File so it is in both databases. Please contact the Chico PD for the name of the person who entered Katherine as a missing person.</p> <p>Katherine Cooper was located by the Reno Police Department on 02/07/18 but the entry was removed by San Francisco PD as the missing person entry was now in the jurisdiction of San Francisco PD, with a case number of 170713222. Note, when a PD enters a missing person that is already in the system, the PD that previously entered the person in the system removes its entry to avoid two entries. The Reno PD case number is 18-2915. Please contact the San Francisco PD and/or Reno PD for the name of the person who removed Katherine as a missing person.</p> <p>The San Francisco PD report included information indicating Katherine had "high functioning autism." Unfortunately, because the Chico PD entry was more than three years ago we are no longer able to search the system for that information. Please contact Chico PD for this information. We did not see any entry for the tattoo information.</p> <p>For additional information, please contact the Missing and Unidentified Persons Unit at missing.persons@doj.ca.gov or 916-210-3119. Additional information can be found here: https://oag.ca.gov/missing/mups.</p>
PR A-2018-01583	Baez (3rd Party Records)	Raymond	8/6/2018	Record for david james baez born [REDACTED]	Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-01	Ortiz (all records)	Diego	8/6/2018	All records available for summary !	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p>

58 5							<p>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</p> <p>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</p> <p>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</p> <p>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</p> <p>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</p> <p>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</p> <p>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.</p>
PR A- 20 18 of - 01 58 DOJ 6 officials)	DeMar co (Oath of Office - non- DOJ officials)	Michael	8/6 /20 18	Stanislaus County Judge Shawn Bessey - Oath of Office-Bond Judge Nancy Ashley - Oath of Office-Bond Sheriff Deputy-Ryan Berndt-Oath of Office-Bond District Attorney-Jeff Mangar-Oath of Office-Bond Also can I get the TIN-EIN number for the COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, by-laws, Articles of Incorporation, letter from IRS for states determination If possible can you please Rush the requested records as I need them as soon as possible.		Completed	Erin Nickless You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to Judges, you may wish to direct your request to the court's office. Since you are seeking records from the County of Stanislaus, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PR A- 20 18 records related to 58 inmate) 7	Haloušek (court records related to inmate)	Megan	8/6 /20 18	Any and all pertaining to Nathan Allan Helmers inmate number AC7514 This is my brother and he has been incarcerated on and off since 2002. I am concerned about a recent event that took place and would like to find out what has been happening in the court records as he is not telling the family about incidences that are taking place while being incarcerated.		Completed	Micahel Dolidan The Department of Justice does not have knowledge of specific incidences that arise while an inmate is incarcerated in the prison system. The California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation is the agency that oversees the prisons. If you have not done so already, you may contact the CDCR and attempt to obtain any public information they possess. Our office does possess an appellate file pertaining Mr. Helmers regarding a Lassen County Superior Court case no. CR027520, Third Appellate District case no. C065370. However, Mr. Helmers voluntarily dismissed his appeal's claim. As such, we have no responsive documents.
PR A- 20 18 Vice - Team records) 58 8	Zablotsky (San Diego Vice Team records)	Paul	8/6 /20 18	I am seeking the dates of and total number of visits that a member of the San Diego Vice team has made to each of the following business establishments in the Hillcrest neighborhood (92103). The Alibi Gossip Grill Mo's Flicks Riches Brick Bar Pecs Uptown Tavern Brass Rail #1 on Fifth		Completed	Erin Nickless You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to "San Diego Vice team," you may wish to direct your request to the local police department for which the team works.
PR A- 20 18 - court records) 01 58 9	MacDonald (CH, court records)	Patricia	8/6 /20 18	Any documents on Patricia Vance, court records on prostitution charges possibly. Alias Patricia Mann. DOB: [REDACTED] For a written project about Patricia Vance.		Completed	Maria E. Silva We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .

							We hope this information has been of assistance.
PR A-2018-01590	Krajewski (will, probate)	Elizab	8/6/2018	Anton Robert Krajewski, Sr Robert Krajewski Last Will and Testament on file in Bakersfield, Tehachapi or Los Angeles, CA. Attorney's name and address who filed it and or was the legal representative contracted by Anton R. Krajewski, Senior or Jr. I am his daughter-in-law, Elizabeth Krajewski. I was married to his only son, Anton Robert Krajewski 111, who died on April 4, 2005. His second wife, Pauline Krajewski, has not kept in contact with me. My children are in the will as well as myself. My daughter, Lindsay, 37, who has Downs Syndrome, was particularly named because of both her Dad and Grandfather have died and she will never be able to totally take care of herself. I am her sole support and I am 68 years old. Her brothers will not be able to take care of her properly. Thank You, Elizabeth A Krajewski, travbug@outlook.com<mailto:travbug@outlook.com>	Completed	Erin Nickless	You sought a last will and testament and/or other probate records pursuant to the Public Records Act (PRA) as set forth in Government Code section 6250 et seq. Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding a probate matter. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office.
PR A-2018-01591	Chapman (Megan's Law Offender Information)	Asia	8/6/2018	Seeking sex offender record for Corbin Finigan. 314.1 - INDECENT EXPOSURE. Date Convicted:05/10/2014• Conviction Release Date:05/10/2014• Details:Victim: 13 year old white unknown female He moved to my neighborhood across the street from a active playground/park. Need to know if he has and address restrictions	Completed	Mic hael Doli da	Absent an offender being on probation or parole, California law does not control sex offender living restrictions in another state. The California Department of Justice does not provide legal advice or opinions on laws or criminal justice information in other states besides California. Please contact your local law enforcement agency for questions about sex offender laws in your area.
PR A-2018-01592	Reeder (CH, CDCR records)	Lanc e	8/6/2018	Arrest record, incarceration dates, release date. Looking for the records of one Phillip Lamar	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking criminal history and records from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html . To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01593	Krajewski (3rd Party Records)	Elizab	8/6/2018	Todd Anthony Krajewski current home address, phone numbers, criminal history if any. Jenielee M. Arroyo Krajewski, current home address, phone numbers, criminal history My son has been estranged from his family since he married Jenielee M Arroyo. I am concerned about him	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary

							criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01595	Workman	Dave	8/6/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records that of public records relating to any correspondence (electronic or otherwise) between Attorney General Xavier Becerra and/or the Office of the Attorney General and any other parties regarding or related to the federal lawsuit against Defense Distributed, 3D printing and "Ghost Guns."	Completed	Nelson Richards	<p>On October 4, 2018, our office responded: In our initial response, we informed you that we had checked with knowledgeable persons, searched logical places, and used search terms based on your request. We provided you with responsive non-exempt records, and informed you that we had records from additional sources to review. We have now completed that review. As with the first batch of documents, some of the records in this batch that are responsive to your request are exempt from disclosure in whole or in part under the attorney work-product privilege and/or the attorney client privilege and/or the pending litigation exemption. The following is an explanation of the exemptions that apply to the records we are withholding:</p> <p>Attorney Work-Product and Attorney-Client Privilege Exemption</p> <p>o Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work-product privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 (which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client) and the attorney work-product privilege contained in Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 (which protects any writing reflecting an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential) are incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>o The documents responsive to your request include confidential communications containing legal advice and/or attorneys' impressions, conclusions, opinions, or litigation strategy about matters relating to the federal lawsuit against Defense Distributed, 3D printing, and "Ghost Guns." Accordingly, these confidential communications are exempt from disclosure.</p> <p>Pending Litigation Exemption</p> <p>o Some of the records you are seeking are exempt from disclosure because they pertain to Washington v. United States Department of State (W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:18-cv-01115-RSL), in which California is a plaintiff. Agencies may withhold records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code. § 6254 subd. (b).) This pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.)</p> <p>Many documents in this second batch are subject to one of the exemptions to the PRA discussed above. We are providing you with the non-exempt records, which, as with the first batch of documents, we have redacted so as not to disclose certain private identifying information, such as e-mail addresses and telephone numbers, based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>We are enclosing the responsive records with this letter. This marks the completion of our response to your request.</p> <p>On August 31, 2018, we responded: On August 16, 2018, we extended our deadline to respond to this request to August 30, In conducting our search for records responsive to your request, we have checked with knowledgeable persons, searched logical places, and used search terms based on your request. Our search is almost complete. We have located and reviewed records from most of the sources we have checked.</p> <p>Some of the records we have identified that are responsive to your request are exempt from disclosure in whole or in part under the attorney work-product privilege and/or the attorney client privilege and/or the pending litigation exemption. The following is an explanation of the exemptions that apply to the records we are withholding:</p> <p>Attorney Work-Product and Attorney-Client Privilege Exemption</p> <p>o Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work-product privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 (which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client) and the attorney work-product privilege contained in Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 (which protects any writing reflects an</p>

							attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential) are incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) o The documents responsive to your request include confidential communications containing legal advice and/or attorneys' impressions, conclusions, opinions, or litigation strategy about matters relating to the federal lawsuit against Defense Distributed, 3D printing, and "Ghost Guns." Accordingly, these confidential communications are exempt from disclosure. Pending Litigation Exemption o Some of the records you are seeking are exempt from disclosure because they pertain to Washington v. United States Department of State (W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:18-cv-01115-RSL), in which California is a plaintiff. Agencies may withhold records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code. § 6254 subd. (b).) This pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819,831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.) Many of these documents are subject to one of the exemptions to the PRA discussed above. We are providing you with the non-exempt records, which we have redacted so as not to disclose certain private identifying information, such as e-mail addresses and telephone numbers, based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I,§ 1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) We are enclosing the responsive records with this letter. We anticipate locating and reviewing the remaining records soon. We will provide you with an update on or before September 13, 2018. On August 16, 2018, an extension letter was sent.
PR A-2018-01596	Morgan (structured settlement statements)	Chelsie	8/6/2018	All documents filed with the Attorney General pursuant to Insurance Code, § 10139 that relate to the transfer of structured settlement payment rights from Jay D. Dunlap to Team G Capital and/or AGIII from 2000 to the present: Team G Capital's and/or AGIII's petition for approval; 2) All written disclosure statements; 3) The Purchase and Sale Agreement which was executed in June 6, 2000 in favor of AGIII and the First, Second, and Third Amendments thereto which were all executed in 2009; 4) All Testamentary Agreements executed by the Dunlaps in favor of AGIII and/or Team G Capital; 5) All acknowledgments signed by the Dunlaps and their heirs; 6) The annuity contract with New Hampshire Insurance Company; 7) All assignments of any rights under the Purchase and Sale Agreement mentioned above, or any amendments thereto, from AGIII to Team G Capital; 8) The settlement agreement between Jay D. Dunlap and New Hampshire Insurance Company dated May 19, 1988; 9) The Court order approving the structured settlement between Jay D. Dunlap and New Hampshire Insurance Company; 10) A copy of proof of notice to the interested parties; 11) A verified statement from Team G Capital and/or AGIII that it complied with the Insurance Code; and 12) All other documents relating to the transfer of structured settlement payment rights from Jay D. Dunlap to Team G Capital and/or AGIII.	Completed	Amos Hartston	In order to reasonably search for the records and timely respond, on August 8, 2018, our office requested that you provide the approximate date of the petition for approval under Insurance Code section 10139.5 at issue. In response, you indicated that you do not believe that the petition described in your request was ever filed. You requested these records in an attempt to verify this fact. Under these circumstances, we are not able to confirm whether or not a petition for court approval under Insurance Code section 10139 was filed with the court or served upon the Attorney General's Office. The petitions are public records. At your request, we will provide you with the opportunity to review all petitions served on our Office under Insurance Code section 10139 if you provide the relevant time period at issue. If this would be helpful, please contact Nancy Quash at (415) 703-5648 to schedule a time to review records.
PR A-2018-01	Martinez	April	8/6/2018	Case number vcf133960A Comments: I need these transcripts please its really important trying open a case thank u very much if possible please help me get them	Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.

59 7							-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a court case, providing the name of the court or Deputy Attorney General assigned to the case. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PR A- 20 18 - 01 59 9	Cho (Opinion No. 18-603)	Debbie	8/6 /20 18	Any and all documents regarding Opinion No. 18-603, which was requested by State Superintendent of Public Instruction Tom Torlakson, assigned to Binsacca on July 19, 2018, including any and all documents supporting or submitted with this request for an Attorney General's Opinion. The questions for the Opinion are: 1. Does a county superintendent of education's "stay and rescind" authority permit the stay of sale or issuance of bonds by a school district with a qualified or negative certification? (Ed. Code sec. 42127.6) 2. May such a stay remain in place pending resolution of a related investigation by the District Attorney. The documents should be sent to: Debbie Cho, Deputy County Counsel Office of the County Counsel 500 W Temple St, Ste 648 Los Angeles, CA 90012-3196		Completed	Stephanie Gries August 9, 2018 supplemental response: Responsive documents are attached. Fees are waived in this instance. August 8, 2018 response: Responsive documents are attached. Fees are waived in this instance.
PR A- 20 18 - 01 60 6	Scardino	Joe	8/6 /20 18	Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I request the following documentation, which I understand to be held by your agency: Any physical or digital document, including hand written notes, bearing the name Joseph Wayne Scardino II, the physical address [REDACTED] the number [REDACTED] or the date [REDACTED] This information relates to me personally and is not to be used in a commercial venture.		Completed	Erin Nickless We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created.
PR A- 20 18 request for Ops 01 65 1	Coats (Renee request for Ops ref section 25, Art. I, Cal. Const)	Francis	8/6 /20 18	Reiterated request for the following, which Mr. Coats requested previously: 1. A letter opinion or advice from California attorney General E. S. Webb to directors, Napa State Hospital, interpreting or applying section 25 article I, Cal. Const., as not requiring the hospital to permit public fishing in a reservoir on hospital grounds, reported in the San Francisco Call on Monday, April 4, 1911, at page 7. 2. Any other opinion or advice letter referencing section 25 article I Cal. Const., excluding those published in the bound Attorney General Opinions. 3. Indexes, card indexes or finding aids for Attorney General opinion or advice letters referencing section 25 article I, Cal. Const. On August 9, 2018 He followed up: The Attorney General's Opinion dated April 8, 1911, addressed to Emmott Phillips, Esq., chairman board of managers, Napa State Hospital, addressing whether section 25 article 8 of the Cal. Const., authorized public fishing in a reservoir on the hospital grounds, is published in the microfilm set of California Attorney General's Opinions, in the U. C. Davis School of Law Library, as suspected. The opinion and others was never intended to be confidential, and any confidentiality has been waived. The Attorney General appears to have claimed privilege with no		Completed	Susan Duncan Lee Our responses are as follows: (1) A copy of this letter is enclosed. (2) By searching our indexes, we identified 6 Indexed Letter Opinions that appear to satisfy your request. Please review the tables provided in connection with Item 3, below, and identify any you would like to receive. (3) The Attorney General holds a card catalogue index to Opinions, both published and unpublished, from about the 1940s through about the 1980s. The card catalogue was closed in the 1980s and is now maintained only as an index to these older materials. One card catalogue is held in each of three of our offices: Sacramento, San Francisco, and Los Angeles. All three card catalogues are housed in our staff libraries, within secure areas that are not open to visitors. If you wish to view a particular segment of a card catalogue, you will need to make an appointment for a mutually convenient time when a staff member is available to provide the material for your inspection in a location open to visitors. We have tried, repeatedly and unsuccessfully, to disassemble a card catalogue in order to photocopy the material you are interested in. That is not a feasible option. Incidentally, we do have a partial copy of a card catalogue, containing photocopies of index cards for the years 1950-1967. Copies of the relevant pages are enclosed. Because the card catalogue is difficult to access, we have made notes of all 14 card catalogue entries that are relevant to your request. A copy of the notes is enclosed. (Note that of the 14 entries, 8 are for published Opinions. Copies of the remaining 6 Indexed Letters are available to you if you wish to receive them. See No. 2 above.) In conclusion, please note that this letter does not address your claims and speculations about whether

				basis, and without any inquiry as to the existence of a factual basis for asserting a privilege. Now, again: where is the index? When may I inspect it? Does it disclose any other pre-1944 opinions dealing with section 25 article I? Sincerely, Francis Coats				certain documents are currently either findable or privileged, as those comments have no bearing on the above request for records.
PR A-2018-01666	Sihler	Fred eric	8/6/2018	I want to know how many men have been convicted of indecent exposure in California since 1970 and I want to know how many women have been convicted for indecent exposure since 1970 in California.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The Department of Justice (DOJ) discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the Department's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PR A-2018-01676	Weiner (building plans)	Ronald	8/6/2018	AIN 4467 024 009 Site address: 5731 Bonsall Dr., Malibu, CA90265 Owner Aron Schifman, Elaine Schifman building plans, engineering records, architectural plans, building permit fees, citations		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides to determine how to get copies of the records that you are seeking.
PR A-2018-01598	Stokes (Public Records Coordinators)	Bryan	8/7/2018	Public Records Coordinators Who are the public records coordinators for the California department of justice		Completed	Erin Nickless	The Public Records Coordinator is Erin Nickless. If you wish to place a request for public records, please use the same form that you utilized to make the below request, which can be found online at https://oag.ca.gov/contact/publicrecords_form. If you have questions about the public records process for the Department of Justice, you may use the form or contact the Coordinator at PublicRecords@doj.ca.gov.
PR A-2018-01600	Chavez (personal records)	Rosa	8/7/2018	Request anything with my name on it.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.

PR A-2018-01601	Ramirez (court records)	Aida	8/7/2018	Looking for Complete Court Case Documents on a Criminal Matter out of Madera County. Case No is MCR026272 DA No: 5689-06, Defendant is Francisco Chavez Avila. I am represent the victim in this case on an immigration matter	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records matching the description provided. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with Madera County Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PR A-2018-01602	Showol (DFEH Records)	Olufunmilayo	8/7/2018	Any and all complaints and/or charges which were filed at any point in time involving Jonnica Kairn. The Charging party in the above-referenced matter, Jonnica Kairn, has filed a lawsuit against a party represented by Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP and the undersigned. The lawsuit is now pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 541967. Pursuant to the California Public Records Act, California Government Code § 6250 et seq., we request copies of prior complaints and/or charges filed by Ms. Kairn, including, but not limited to, DFEH Matter No. 195981-82564. Please make the requested records available within ten (10) days after receipt of this letter, pursuant to Government Code § 6253. To the extent that the DFEH claims the right to withhold or redact any record, or any portion of any record, please describe with specificity each and every record, or any portion of any record, that is being withheld or redacted, along with the claimed reason for exemption, citing the exact language of the Public Records Act on which you rely for withholding this record, or portion thereof. Govt. Code § 6255. In the event the DFEH determines that it does not have any documents, or portions thereof, responsive to any part of this request, we request that the DFEH confirm this fact in writing as well.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records based on the information provided. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Department of Fair Employment and Housing, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at https://www.dfeh.ca.gov/contact-us/ .
PR A-2018-01603	Horner (police reports)	Lonn	8/7/2018	Incident report Event # E4966849 The incident happened Sunday 05/20/18 at The Meadows 15420 Olde Hy. 80 El Cajon Ca. between Lonnie Horner and Mr. Gordon Fredrick. I was told by the officer that I could file a restraining order on Mr. Fredrick, I chose not to do that at this time. I would like a copy of the incident for my records.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PR A-2018-01604	Killian (vital records)	Kendra	8/7/2018	I am looking to obtain my parents' marriage license in order to assist my mother in filing for social security benefits through my father (her ex-husband). I unfortunately do not have the case name/number. She has had several name changes, I believe at the time of the marriage [REDACTED] in Claremont where they attended college) her name was Jeanne Edgell, and that she changed it to Jeanne Killian, but she subsequently changed it to her current name of Jahanna Killian. Her DOB is [REDACTED]. My father is Alan or Allan Joseph Killian (goes by Joseph), and his DOB is [REDACTED]. I was born [REDACTED] in Marin County General in San Rafael. If necessary I could re-fill this document out "with" her so it comes as a request from her; I am the one responsible for helping her take care of finances (I'm currently paying her Medicare and Medicare Advantage premiums) and was hoping I could pay whatever fee is needed to get this document but if the request and payment needs to come "from her" I can make that happen, it's just more difficult as we do not live close (she lives at [REDACTED])	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .

PR A-2018-01607	Childs (Driving records, CH)	Lydia	8/7/2018	Driving record Criminal record		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your request seeks driving records. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting your driver records can be found at http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php.</p> <p>If you are seeking criminal records regarding a DUI, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security. We hope the information we have provided is of assistance.</p>
PR A-2018-01625	Chen (Gatehouse Media)	Daphne (CLETS)	8/7/2018	As you predicted, my PRA request was denied. I was wondering instead if you can put me in touch with someone who manages CLETS to talk about the possibility of getting some statistical information instead. i.e. Total number of open warrants, how many are for each offense, etc. This would be totally anonymous and only aggregate information. We would use these numbers to populate a \ map of how many warrants there are per state (attached). I am also attaching an example of the aggregate data that Texas sent us.		Assigned		
PR A-2018-01674	Promisel (State Terror Threat Assessment Center)	Ira	8/7/2018	<p>Copies of public records that pertain to the State Terror Threat Assessment Center.</p> <p>1) Provide the mission statement of the fusion center and any supporting mission statements of subordinate areas of the fusion center. Provide any descriptions, explanations and/or clarifications of the mission statement that have been developed.</p> <p>a. Provide the dates of the last 3 changes to the mission statement</p> <p>b. Provide any documentation on the fusion center's mission as it relates to:</p> <p>i. All Hazard approach</p> <p>ii. Crime analysis</p> <p>iii. Terrorism</p> <p>c. Provide any documentation as it relates to the fusion center providing information/recommendations to the Executive Branch (Governor, Mayor, etc)</p> <p>2) Provide any goals, performance measures and/or benchmarks used by the fusion center along with any detailed descriptions or explanations of them.</p> <p>3) Provide an organization chart by position (Note: DO NOT provide names of the individuals in the positions). In each position provide the following information (it can be in a chart format):</p> <p>a. Name of the position</p> <p>b. Rank (if any)</p> <p>c. Pay rate</p> <p>d. Educational requirements</p> <p>e. Specialized skill and or experience</p> <p>f. Is it designated for a specific agency or agencies and if so which</p> <p>g. Is it full time, part time or a loan from another agency</p> <p>h. Is it civilian, police, both, private entity, or other (please identify what it is)</p> <p>i. For any supervisor, span of control (the number of employees directly under the supervisor)</p> <p>j. Any position that reports to more than one supervisor - identify where they report to</p> <p>k. Identify if the position is considered a staff or line position</p> <p>4) Provide the total number of employees</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and logical places, and have found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter shows that the State Terror Threat Assessment Center is part of the California Governor's Office of Emergency Services (CalOES). Accordingly, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on requesting Public Records from CalOES can be found on their website at http://www.caloes.ca.gov/Cal-OES-Divisions/Legal-Affairs/Public-Records-Request.</p>

			<p>a. Provide the percentage of civilian, police, private, full time, part time, on loan, federal</p> <p>5) Provide a breakdown of employee demographics by (percentage)</p> <p>a. Age</p> <p>b. Race</p> <p>c. Religion</p> <p>d. Gender (and/or gender identification if applicable)</p> <p>e. Military veteran</p> <p>6) Provide any information on the use of field elements that fall directly under the fusion center (as opposed to analysis positions).</p> <p>a. Field element of the fusion center that collects data</p> <p>b. Field element of the fusion center that uses the analyzed intelligence in the field</p> <p>c. If there is a field unit, provide the level of autonomy from the main fusion center:</p> <p>i. do they have autonomy from the main fusion center, have limited autonomy, or are completely under the direction of personnel in the fusion center?</p> <p>7) Provide the name of the entity in charge of the fusion center (i.e. state police, homeland security, etc.) and where it falls within the government) Provide the name of the entity that the head of the fusion belongs to.</p> <p>9) Provide the name of the entity that the executives of the fusion belong to (do not provide individuals' names).</p> <p>10) Provide the percentage of total employees are from: local law enforcement(sworn members), state law enforcement, federal law enforcement, local civilian, state civilian, federal civilian, private industry, other (please identify)</p> <p>11) Provide the oversight responsibilities of the fusion center for the following entities and provide what their specific oversight responsibilities are</p> <p>a. Legislative branch</p> <p>b. Executive branch</p> <p>C. IG's office</p> <p>d. Judicial</p> <p>e. Civilian board</p> <p>f. Self-police</p> <p>12) Provide any internal control mechanisms within the fusion center to insure malfeasance, corruption, and/or criminal behavior is prevented, investigated, and/or punished.</p> <p>13) Provide the technologies that are being used to support the fusion center's mission and goals for the following areas (please include hardware and software)</p> <p>a. Data mining</p> <p>b. Big data analytics</p> <p>C. Electronic data collection</p> <p>d. Electronic surveillance</p> <p>e. Video systems (including LPRs)</p> <p>f. Use of public databases</p> <p>g. Use of purchased databases</p> <p>h. Other</p> <p>14) Provide the area (within the organizational chart) that the senior most IT person reports to and if they must also report to another IT entity outside of the fusion center.</p> <p>15) Provide the number of official locations the fusion center operates. If it has multiple locations, where are they located - a zip code is sufficient (Note: DO NOT provide any areas that are not official/public)</p> <p>16) Provide the general topics (titles of each chapter/section) of the fusion center's rules and regulations and the number of pages</p>			
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

				<p>of each.</p> <p>17) If the fusion center is also subject to a parent organization, provide the general topics of the parent organization and number of pages of each.</p> <p>18) If the fusion center is also subject to a parent organization, provide which organization's rules/regulations are followed if there is a conflict and/or how the conflict is resolved.</p> <p>19) Provide if formal meetings take place between areas in the organization that do not report or generally interact with each other. Provide the level and frequency of these meetings.</p> <p>20) Provide if there are formal meetings that involve the entire organization. Provide the frequency of these meetings.</p> <p>21) Provide if members of the fusion center are directed to always follow the chain of command and if not, provide the reason for exceptions. Provide if there is a formal mechanism to operate outside of the formal chain of command for routine activities and provide what that mechanism is.</p>				
PR A-2018-01675	Olfati (August 2018) (Honda CRV)	Parvin	8/7/2018	<p>1. Any communications to, from, and/or about any driver, passenger, or user of a Honda CRV automobile with the California license plate number [REDACTED] including but not limited to any communications regarding any activity on [REDACTED] including but not limited to any surveillance or other activity directed at Parvin Olfati, Patti Olfati, Patty Olfati, and/or any person believed to be using or present at [REDACTED] and/or any vehicle or property believed to be located at or near [REDACTED], and including but not limited to any report prepared, pictures any video taken for any person or entity, including but not limited to any federal government agency.</p> <p>2. Any communications to, from, and/or about any resident of [REDACTED] (including but not limited to June Lamoureux, Bill Kellerhals, Barb Andres, Cecil Taylor, Mieke Smythe, and/or John Lisnk), that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any person, agency and/or entity engaging in any surveillance or other activity intended to injure or otherwise affect Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati, including but not limited to filly activity that would cause Mieke Smythe and/or John Lisuk to suddenly disappear from [REDACTED] for most of the month of July 2018 with Ms. Smythe's BMW automobile parked at the curb unused.</p> <p>3. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, transferor non-availability of any of the records requested herein, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PR A-2018-01594	Snyder (Harassment and misconduct - DOJ employees)	David	8/8/2018	<p>(1) All records created, sent or received since January 1, 2015, relating to sexual misconduct and/or sexual harassment claims lodged against or involving attorneys who were or still are employees of the California Department of Justice, including but not limited to records of investigations, any disciplinary decisions and settlement agreements resulting from those claims.</p> <p>(2) All records created, sent or received since January 1, 2015, relating to sexual misconduct and/or sexual harassment claims lodged against or involving any heads of Bureaus and Divisions within the California Department of Justice, including but not limited to records of investigations, any disciplinary decisions and settlement agreements resulting from those claims.</p>	First Amendment Coalition	Completed	Michelle M. Mitchell	<p>September 24, 2018 response by Michelle Mitchell: In the September 4, 2018 response to the Public Records Act request received by the Office of the Attorney General, California Department of Justice, we informed you that we would be providing a rolling production of non-exempt and partial records responsive to your request. Attached is the first production.</p> <p>Consistent with our response letter, we have redacted the name of the DOJ sworn peace officers that either conducted the internal investigation of or personally served notices on former Deputy Attorney General Raymond Liddy. We withhold the identifying information of these special agents because the public interest served by not disclosing such information clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6255.) The DOJ has applied a balancing approach to the public's right to open government and the Department's obligation to ensure the safety of our peace officers. (See Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training v. Superior Court (2007) 42 Cal.4t11 278.)</p> <p>The DOJ employs peace officers as provided in Penal Code section 830.1, subdivision (b). The Department's primary law enforcement function is to conduct: covert and overt criminal investigations</p>

						<p>in such areas as narcotics, organized crime, elder abuse, Medi-Cal fraud, illegal gambling, firearms, sexual offenders, and other major crimes. As part of their duties, Department agents work undercover assignments to develop sources of information and intelligence to accomplish organizational objectives. Releasing the names and other identifying information of these peace officers would compromise their safety and efficacy, and therefore the Department's ability to conduct undercover investigations.</p> <p>We also redacted certain personally identifying information of other employees based on interests of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into the Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) The redactions include the personal phone and fax numbers and home address of the former employee, any reference to health information, and the names of two employees that provided technical assistance to the former employee in the normal course and scope of their duties but otherwise have no personal knowledge about the subject of the allegations. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (c); BRV, Inc. v. Superior Court (2006) 143 Cal.App.4th 742, 756.)</p> <p>Lastly, we have redacted the search terms used in our analysis of Mr. Liddy's work computer and the names of, passwords to and the links to the porn sites that were found. We have withheld the images that displayed when the embedded internet links were accessed.</p> <p>(Attachments 7 & 8 and the DVD.) The public interest served by not disclosing our investigatory tools and not further publishing the specific internet sites and the pornographic images clearly outweighs the public interest in their disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>On September 4, 2018, the Public Records Coordinator responded: As you are aware, the Attorney General is the head of the Department of Justice (DOJ). The Offices of the Attorney General are located in six different cities. In addition to these six offices, there are other law enforcement divisions. The DOJ is a large department with over 4,300 permanent employees, including almost 1,200 attorneys and more than a dozen bureaus and divisions. As a result, our search for records has taken more time than usual and our review of records is still ongoing.</p> <p>We have not located any settlement agreements responsive to your request, but have identified personnel or similar files with records that are at least in part responsive to your request. As you are aware, employees have a significant privacy interest in their personnel files. (BRV, Inc. v. Superior Court (2006) 143 Cal.App.4th 742, 756.) The Act acknowledges, however, that this privacy right is not absolute and exempts personnel, medical and similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (c).) As such, whether the disclosure of the located records would be an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy and therefore may be withheld from disclosure, in whole or in part, under this exemption depends on several factors. These include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Is the complaint or allegation of a "substantial" nature (rather than trivial or baseless). (American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees v. Regents of University of California (1978) 80 Cal.App.3d 913, 918.) <input type="checkbox"/> Is the complaint or allegation "well-founded." (Bakersfield City School District v. Superior Court (2004) 118 Cal.App.4th 1041, 1047.) <input type="checkbox"/> Is the potential harm to personal privacy rights outweighed by the public interest in disclosure where the weight of the interest is proportionate to the gravity of the governmental tasks to be illuminated and the directness with which the disclosure will serve to illuminate it. (Rocco Versaci v. Superior Court (2005) 127 Cal.App.4th 805; Los Angeles Unified School District v. Superior Court (2014) 228 Cal.App.4th 222, 242.) <p>DOJ recognizes that sexual harassment has no place in the workplace and that there is a public interest in how DOJ enforces its sexual harassment policy. Consistent with these criteria, we intend to disclose records, in whole or in part, that are not otherwise exempt from disclosure.</p> <p>As you point out in your request, personnel records of sworn peace officers, including records of complaints, investigations, and discipline, are confidential records that are subject to a different analysis. (Copley Press, Inc. v. Superior Court (2006) 39 Cal.4th 1272, 1284-1286; Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training v. Superior Court (2007) 42 Cal.4th 278, 289-290; Pen. Code, §§ 832.5, 832.7.) Thus, any records responsive to your request that apply to a sworn peace officer of DOJ would be exempt from disclosure under the PRA and will not be produced. (Govt. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), incorporating Pen. Code, § 832.7.)</p> <p>Records protected by the attorney-client and attorney work product privileges will also not be produced. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) Similarly, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (b).) The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.) In providing the records requested, we intend to redact certain private identifying information, such as the name of the complainant and witnesses, non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as medical conditions based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) To the extent that any records identify sworn peace officer functioning as investigators, we will not disclose the agent's name. The regular duties of DOJ's special agents and special agent supervisors include operating in covert and overt criminal investigations. The DOJ's concern in preserving the safety and efficacy of our agents during their undercover assignments clearly outweighs the public's general interest in disclosure of their names. (Gov. Code, § 6255.) We reserve our right to assert any other exemptions or basis for redactions as we continue our review and apply the redactions. We plan to produce redacted or non-exempt records on a rolling basis and anticipate the first production to be available on September 18. On August 21, 2018, Shannon Patterson sent an extension letter.
PR A-2018-01605	Shreffler (3rd Party Prison Records)	Olivia	8/8/2018	I would like to see the prison record including date of sentence for my father Edmund William Shreffler Junior currently serving a 25 year sentence at the McFarland Prison in California for child molestation.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking "prison records," your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html . To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01608	Leary	David	8/8/2018	Press release 99-044 ATTORNEY GENERAL LOCKYER FILES CHARGES TO BREAK UP SAN DIEGO MEDI-CAL FRAUD RING Northern California Dentist Charged With Illegally Paying Individuals for Referrals 99-044 June 8, 1999 The dentist that the article refers to was able to obtain another dental license in this state and we are trying to figure out how this happened. Any public information you have on the case would be greatly appreciated.	Completed	Aviva Burmas	Thank you for sending me a copy of the referenced article and speaking with me regarding your request. During our conversation you confirmed that you are only seeking records related to Farzan Alami-Rad and not the other individuals referenced in the article. Please see the attached records which are responsive to your request. The attached records are all of the responsive records in our possession, however, there may be additional records available through the court. Below is a link to the procedures for requesting copies of records from San Diego County Superior Court, which is the court where these cases were filed. http://www.sdcourt.ca.gov/portal/page?_pageid=55,1641716&_dad=portal&_schema=PORTAL The attached records reference two case numbers: CD144620 – naming Defendant Farzan Alami-Rad; and CD144621 – naming several other defendants.
PR A-2018-01611	Grjalva (CPA License)	Eric	8/8/2018	Any and all records relating to the HAROLD PARKER COFFIN and the revocation of his Certified Public Account license on or about April 30, 1987. Mr. Coffin's license number is 15721. The license was issued on October 30, 1970. His address at that time was 1616 Gould Court, Alameda, CA 94501. I am looking for, but not limited to, any records of hearings or any other documents that would provide a description of the claims made against him and the findings of the California Board of Accountancy or any other governmental agency, and a record	Completed	Linda K. Schneider	We note that your public records request is directed to the Attorney General's Office, but seeks records that may be on file at the California Board of Accountancy. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. The California Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General does not possess any records that fall within the description of your request. I suggest you contact the California Board of Accountancy.

				of the actions taken by the California Board of Accountancy or any other governmental agency.			
PR A-2018-01612	Bauer (3rd Party CH, traffic tickets)	M	8/8/2018	Info about Randyll Wendyl - Criminal or traffic records: 229224RW Riverside County CA IRM252469 Orange County CA April 2006 I'd like to know if I should be concerned about dealing with this person.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request seeks driving records. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting your driver records can be found at http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php . If you are seeking criminal records regarding a DUI, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security . We hope the information we have provided is of assistance.
PR A-2018-01613	Bacon (court records - victim services)	Jennifer	8/8/2018	I would like to request a copy of the records regarding case number 1994-52452. I am listed as the victim of a sexual assault by my cousin Carlos I believe his last name is/was Guzman. This case went to court and the defendant Carlos was found guilty of the sexual assault. I spoke with the San Bernardino Records Bureau they said that all cases from 2008 and older have been destroyed. Unfortunately, my case falls into this category, I would like a copy of anything on this case please. I am able to provide any additional information from myself but all I have from the defendant is his first and last name.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records regarding a case, you may wish to direct your request to the local court in which the case was heard. If this was a criminal case handled by a local District Attorney's office, you may be able to request the records from their office. If you are seeking information regarding victim rights and services, you can contact our Victims' Services unit. More information about Marsy's Law for victims can be found on our website at https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights .
PR A-2018-01614	Berkhout (CH, police reports)	William	8/8/2018	I am searching for a record regarding an armed robbery of a Burger King in San Jose, CA in 1995. The first name of the perpetrator was Ricardo, I do not have his last name. The address of the Burger King is [REDACTED]. I believe the robbery occurred in early 1995, with the arrest shortly thereafter, and a subsequent conviction. Please provide any and all information you can regarding this case. The information is needed to help support a victim of this crime in another matter. Thank you!	Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01615	Pierce (vital records)	Randi	8/9/2018	marriage certificate or any proof of marriage	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A-2018-01616	Terkel (property info, liens)	Mars hall	8/9/2018	Index list of land records (deeds, judgments, liens, etc.) for: Abington Emerson Investments, LLC and Jlo San Bernardino	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.

PR A-2018-01617	Mcctea fy (3rd Party Record s)	Drnis r	8/9 /20 18	All cases regarding Rodney Don Glenn. Age 53		Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01618	Taylor (vital records)	Kare n	8/9 /20 18	Hi, my name is Karen Maureen Taylor and I am seeking a divorce date, which I don't have on my records.		Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less	Returned her call - she found the document she needed and withdrew her request.
PR A-2018-01619	Neff	Patri ck	8/9 /20 18	My name is Patrick Neff. I'm wanting to get copies of bid results for the electronic smoke and fire repair systems for the Department of Corrections.		Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less	Responded via telephone. He withdrew his request and has inquired with CDCR.
PR A-2018-01624	Carey (Backg round Investi gation)	Bran don	8/9 /20 18	Any records pertaining to the background investigation conducted for my potential employment with the Division of Law Enforcement. The investigation was conducted in June 2015.		Co mpl ete d	Sha nno n Patt erso n	We must decline your request for the reasons set forth below. You have requested investigative records from this office. To the extent that these records exist; they are investigative files of the Attorney General and the DOJ that are expressly exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f). In the case of Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354 the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. The Court also ruled that investigation documents did not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355.)
PR A-2018-01695	Olson (medic al records)	Jam es	8/9 /20 18	Thank you for your reply. We agree to withdraw any request to the DOJ for the medical records, themselves. In regard to the remainder of the requests, please identify the facility/custodian of records for Miles Weber that the DOJ knows of. As we understand it, Weber has closed his offices prior to the service of our subpoenas. Internet research suggested Weber is deceased. As a medical provider in this state, we were informed that Dr. Weber had to notify the department of justice who would possess the records aside from himself. We are attempting to serve that other person as custodian with a subpoena for these medical records.		Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places, and consulted with knowledgeable persons, and have been unable to locate any responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to medical records in the possession of a medical practitioner, you may wish to direct your request to the California Medical Board. More information can be found on their website at http://www.mbc.ca.gov/ .
PR A-2018-01696	Moreno (person al records)	Mari o	8/9 /20 18	The purpose of this letter is to ask for you to take the time to send me any and all records in my name. All personal info is requested ASAP mailed to my P.O. Box 131.		Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local

						agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security . If you have additional information regarding the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.	
PR A-2018-01620	Kartvedt (9-11 call, police report)	Mkal	8/10/2018	Dear Department of Justice: Pursuant to the California Public Records Act, Government Code § 6250 et seq., I hereby request the following records: (1) First and foremost, I request an electronic copy of my own 911 call. I placed my call on April 24th, 2018 between 10:00 - 11:00 A.M. The call lasted for approximately 20 minutes, maybe more. (2) I request an electronic copy of LAX airport police officer Lozano's (#10968) body-cam and/or dash-cam footage surrounding his response to my 911 call. (3) I request an electronic copy of all video and/or audio recordings taken by the air-support unit responding to my 911 call. In brief, I called 911 because a woman, near my home, requested my help after being beaten by her partner; who was still present and menacing her. So I called 911. At which point the man made continued threats against my life and hers. I armed myself and informed 911 of that fact. LAX airport police arrived, before LAPD, and held me (unarmed), my wife and our dog at gunpoint with an alarming and life threatening response which included two SWAT units and an aerial unit. All while the bad guy drove away. LAPD arrived and de-escalated. I called 911 from my cell phone. That cell phone number is [REDACTED]. LAX Incident # 18-081714 LAPD INC/DR # 180501003709 The requested records do not fall under the investigatory file exemption. A public agency may only claim the records are investigatory "when the prospect of enforcement proceedings is concrete and definite." See, Uribe v. Howie, (1971) 19 Cal. App.3d 194, 212. Also, "[I]t is not enough that an agency label its file "investigatory" and suggest that enforcement proceedings may be initiated at some unspecified future date or were previously considered." See, Uribe v. Howie, (1971) 19 Cal. App.3d 194, 212-213. Furthermore, "[a] public agency may not shield a document from disclosure with the bare assertion that it relates to an investigation." See, Williams v. Superior Court, (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 356.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call and a police report from the Los Angeles Airport Police Department. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PR A-2018	Brooks (3rd Party)	Vinnie	8/10/2018	Marriage, judgements , any records available FOR Tierra mcmurray -Phillips resident of Sacramento ca address [REDACTED]	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, §

- 01 62 1	Record s)						6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01623	Ferry (case records)	Bill	8/10/2018	Charlene L Preimesberger September 14, 2004 Riverside County Case # starts with 0961		Completed	Erin Nickless Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A-2018-01627	Romero Plihon (code enforcement 1705006)	Dorianne	8/10/2018	Code Enforcement Case No: 1705006 Property: [REDACTED] Parcel Number: [REDACTED] RE: our client Yolanda Nesbitt. Please pull all records related to this code enforcement case number. There was a notice of violation on December 13, 2017 and a re-inspection on January 25, 2018. We would like all violations and confirmation if all items were addressed or if there were/are outstanding items that needed to be addressed.		Completed	Erin Nickless Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A-2018-01628	Romero Plihon (code enforcement 1700691)	Dorianne	8/10/2018	Re: Yolanda Nesbitt Code Enforcement Case: 1700691 Property: [REDACTED] Parcel No: [REDACTED] Notice of Violation: 2/24/17, Re-inspection date: 4/20/17 Comments: Re: Yolanda Nesbitt, City of Oakland Inspection and Notice of Violation Code Enforcement Case: 1700691 Property: [REDACTED] Parcel No: [REDACTED] Notice of Violation: 2/24/17, Re-inspection date: 4/20/17, please pull citations and all notes re inspection re/inspection. Please advise if there is a fee.		Completed	Erin Nickless Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A-2018-01639	Heller (Case No. 2018-071894 C&D Order)	John	8/10/2018	1. All DOCUMENTS that are contained within the electronic or hard-copy file that the ATTORNEY GENERAL maintains with respect to CASE NO. 2018-071894 2. All complaints, COMMUNICATIONS or other DOCUMENTS sent to the ATTORNEY GENERAL that refer or pertain to CASE NO. 2018-071894. (As used in this request,.) 3. All DOCUMENTS that refer to or memorialize any COMMUNICATIONS that refer or pertain to CASE NO. 2018-		Completed	Joséph Zimring September 13, 2018: This is an updated response to your public records request. We have identified 142 pages of discloseable records that are responsive to your request. Copies of the documents are enclosed. August 16, 2018: With the exceptions noted below, the Attorney General's Office will produce responsive records that are not exempt from disclosure under the terms of the Public Records Act. Records that are exempt from production pursuant to the following provisions of the Public Records Act will not be produced, including: 1. Investigative Records

				071894. 4. All DOCUMENTS that refer to or memorialize any investigation or review into the matters set forth in the Order to Cease and Desist attached as exhibit A, or to the results of such investigation. 5. A complete copy of all the pages of the Order to Cease and Desist attached as exhibit A that were sent, delivered or mailed by the ATTORNEY GENERAL. 6. Any response received by the ATTORNEY GENERAL to the Order to Cease and Desist attached as exhibit A.			Records that you seek include confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)].) 2. Official Information Privilege Some of the records are additionally exempt from disclosure under the official information privilege of sections 1040 and 1041 of the Evidence Code. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the official information privilege contained in Evidence Code sections 1040 and 1041 , are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) Any information and documents acquired in confidence during the course of an investigation by the Attorney General are privileged where there is necessity for preserving the confidentiality of the information and documents. 3. Attorney-Client Privilege Records that you seek include privileged attorney-client communications. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370.) In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees, including the Registry of Charitable Trusts and its staff. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the order issued against Organizacion De La Comunidad De Alviso are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. 4. Attorney Work Product Records that you seek include privileged attorney work product. The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc.,§ 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. 5. Deliberative Process Privilege The del berative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision-making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325, 1342.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.	
PR A-2018-01678	Dunnings (USVitalRecords.Org order)	Arnetta	8/10/2018	Status my online request. Fee received? Document NOT received. What must I do and pay to receive the document. See email received for NO I HAVE NOT RECEIVED.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be following up on a records request that you submitted to USVitalRecords.Org. Our office is not affiliated with this company. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records ordered from USVitalRecords.Org, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PR A-2018-01	DeLeon (case records)	Erin	8/10/2018	Syvaco v. Hybritch Inc. U.S. Dist. Ct. for the southern Dist. Of California June 29, 1989 decided and filed civil No. 88-1248-E(M) William B. Enright U.S. Judge. "Copy of Complaint filed civil No. 88.1248-E(M)."		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct

70 2							your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking records regarding a case filed in the United States District Court, Southern District, you may wish to direct your request to the Court's office.
PR A- 20 18 - 01 62 6	Derderi an (case records)	Mich ael	8/1 3/2 01 8	A copy of the formal complaint filed with Superior Court Of California, Central District 111 North Hill Street Case Number: BC659100 Plaintiff: [REDACTED], a minor, by and through his GAL Ruben Egoan Date filed: May 18, 2017		Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A- 20 18 - 01 62 9	Jacks on (cases, CH)	Justi n	8/1 3/2 01 8	I would like any documents pertaining to (Justin Pierce Jackson any and call cases particularly in the state of California. I was involved in the state of California I am particularly interested in criminal or civil matters where restitution id fur		Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A- 20 18 - 01 63 0	Simmo ns (article s of incorpo ration)	Davi d	8/1 3/2 01 8	articles of incorporation for : Mustang Valley Mutual Water Company		Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter shows that you may be seeking records related to the California Secretary of State's Office. If so, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact their office can be found online at http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/ .
PR A- 20 18 - 01 63 1	Martine z (3rd Party records)	April	8/1 3/2 01 8	I need public records on Francisco christopher frank martinez died on [REDACTED] born [REDACTED] I need all records on him please my dads trying to re-open his case n i need all information i can get to help us pleas		Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .

PR A-2018-01632	Burke (9-11 calls, CH)	Kathleen	8/13/2018	<p>I am requesting records in connection with a domestic violence call that I made on 9/23/17. Records indicate that my husband received a citation for Disorderly Conduct during the course of officers responding to my call and transporting him away from our home for the remainder of the night. Records show the time as 22:57 and the address as [REDACTED]</p> <p>I am requesting any record in connection with the issuance of a misdemeanor Disorderly Conduct or a civil citation for Disturbing the Peace. My husband's name is Michael Patrick Burke [REDACTED]</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Specifically, you are seeking police report records relating to a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department who responded to the incident.</p>
PR A-2018-01633	Cooper rider (Opinion 17-202)	Els	8/13/2018	Please send me the opinion re Measure V- Opinion 17-202 which was written by Attorney General's office lawyer Katherine Bidart, Bidart finished the opinion by sometime in April 2018 per her communication via email The original request for an opinion was made by Mendocino County Counsel Katherine Elliott in Feb 2017		Completed	Catherine Bidart	<p>The draft opinion is under executive review. Once approved for publication, the Attorney General's Opinion No. 17-202 will be published (and publicly available on our website and provided upon request).</p> <p>However, to the extent your request may be understood to call for records of one or more working, or preliminary, drafts of Attorney General's Opinion No. 17-202, such materials are exempt from disclosure pursuant to confidentiality privileges, including the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product exemption.</p> <p>Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning Opinion No. 17-202 are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Accordingly, at this time, we have no records responsive to your request.</p>
PR A-2018-01634	Reeves (CH, Police Reports)	Eugene	8/13/2018	<p>my son Donald Reeves criminal records, and police reports for his arrest and conviction.</p> <p>i just want to find out EXACTLY what they convicted him of and the evidence the have against him</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-01635	Cheuvront (AG and Gun Control Advocacy group communications)	Tiffany	8/13/2018	1. Any and all communications and/or documents between Attorney General Xavier Becerra and any gun control advocacy group2 from March 1, 2018 to present regarding gun shows in California (to also include Cow Palace).	Michael & Associates, P.C.	Completed	Nancy Doig	After a search of all logical places, we have not identified any documents that are responsive to your request.

	regarding gun shows)						
PR A-2018-01636	Narvaez (NPM Adjustment Proceeding)	Gregory	8/13/2018	<p>This is a request for records pursuant to California's Public Records Act, Gov. Code § 6250 et seq. My office respectfully requests copies of documents, as described below, pertaining to the arbitration proceedings ("2003 NPM Adjustment Proceeding") concerning the "2003 NPM Adjustment" to the Master Settlement Agreement entered into by participating tobacco manufacturers and the State of California in or about 1998.</p> <p>More specifically, my office requests copies of the following documents regarding the 2003 NPM Adjustment Proceeding: (1) correspondence to or from the State of California related, directly or indirectly, to the 2003 NPM Adjustment Proceeding; (2) decisions of any arbitrator or arbitration panel; (3) pleadings, briefs and like documents filed by any party, including the State of California; (4) expert witness reports and like documents authorized by an expert, filed by any party; (5) the settlement agreement or like document entered into by the State and California and the participating manufacturers during or as a result of the 2003 NPM Adjustment Proceeding; and (6) all documents related to the negotiations that culminated in the settlement of the 2003 NPM Adjustment Proceeding.</p> <p>In connection with this request, we note several issues raised in prior correspondence from your office dated January 24, 2013. In that correspondence, which was sent in response to a request for records from my office, your office limited its records production on several bases. To begin, your office narrowly construed the scope of our request so as to not request "documents designated by any other party [to the 2003 NPM Adjustment Proceeding] as confidential." Ltr. From N. Wellington to R. Colburn (Jan. 24, 2013) at 2. Additionally, your office withheld records based on pending litigation exemption, "until such time as the arbitration is final and complete." See p. 2. Your office further declined to produce records, on the grounds of over breadth, attorney-client privilege, attorney work product, deliberative process exemption, and personal privacy, among others.</p> <p>To clarify- and in accordance with your office's directive in its Jan. 24, 2013 correspondence - my office hereby expressly requests records designated as "confidential," "highly confidential," or "strictly confidential" by any party in connection with the 2003 NPM Adjustment Proceeding. Additionally, my office requests that your office not withhold any records under the pending litigation exemption, in light of the settlement of the 2003 NPM Adjustment Proceeding in or about the second half of 2017.</p>	FREEDERICKS PEEBLE S & MORGAN LLP	Rolling V. Hart	<p>Estimated Production Date:</p> <p>We note that your requests are directed to the Department of Justice but, as drafted, appear to also seek records from other departments. The Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Additionally, as flagged during our August 23, 2018 conversation, your first and sixth requests, seeking correspondence "related, directly or indirectly" to the 2003 NPM Adjustment Proceeding and "related to the negotiations that culminated in the settlement of the 2003 NPM Adjustment Proceeding," are insufficiently definite to allow our office to conduct a meaningful review of records. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.)</p> <p>In response to this concern, you identified several limitations to the scope of your requests, which we understand to include (a) with respect to the first and sixth requests, limiting the applicable time period to correspondence or other documents from after the seating of the 2003 NPM Adjustment arbitration panel; (b) with respect to the first request, a focus on substantive correspondence and not correspondence directed to discovery or administrative issues related to the arbitration; and (c) with respect to the sixth request, documents related to the "respective interests of the PMs and the State in negotiating settlement," including communications with third parties, such as bondholders, regarding their respective interests in the arbitration and/or settlement.</p> <p>Many of the other documents you are seeking fall outside the Act. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code sec. 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) In particular, the attorney work product exemption protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. sec. 2018.030.) The deliberative process privilege likewise exempts from disclosure of documents that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of potential settlement proposals during the negotiation of the Term Sheet and/or NPM Adjustment Settlement Agreement are covered by the deliberative process privilege and exempt from disclosure. So too are communications with and among other Settling States regarding the 2003 NPM Adjustment Proceedings and settlement; such communications are covered by common interest agreements among the Settling States related to the Master Settlement Agreement and the 2003 NPM Adjustment proceedings, and are likewise protected from disclosure.</p> <p>We interpret these requests to not include documents also responsive to your second through fifth requests (for example, to not include correspondence transmitting a filing to the panel). So understood, in response to your first and sixth requests, our office has collected emails and other correspondence maintained as part of the 2003 NPM Adjustment matter and will endeavor to identify responsive correspondence with third parties (and not with or among the Settling States or the National Association of Attorneys General) regarding the proceedings and/or settlement of the 2003 NPM Adjustment arbitration. This review is ongoing.</p> <p>Your second, third, and fourth requests seek materials filed in the 2003 NPM Adjustment proceedings. As you know, your office's 2013 request for similar records was denied in part "[b]ecause the scope of document productions and the subsequent review by expert witnesses is so vast, and involves so many complex issues of confidentiality, privilege, relevance and redactions, under federal and various state law regimes...." and such request was therefore "too broad and voluminous." (N. Wellington letter to R. Colburn re Public Records Act Request relating to the 2003 NPM Adjustment proceedings under the Master Settlement Agreement, at 3, 4 (January 24, 2013).) These issues remain. Additionally, a separate request made by your firm to the Office of the New York Attorney General likewise sought "(2) decisions of any arbitrator or arbitration panel; (3) pleadings, briefs, and like documents filed by any party, including the State of New York, [and] (4) expert reports and like documents authored by an expert, filed on behalf of any party." New York responded to these requests by producing several thousand pages of documents, including redactions as necessary to comport with the requirements of applicable confidentiality orders of the Panel and the states. During our conversation on August 23,</p>

							<p>2018, we requested additional information regarding what documents, if any, were required to satisfy these requests that have not already been provided by New York, and you indicated that you had not reviewed the material already provided by New York on these topics. Having made a review of the New York response, our office declines to produce any additional materials in response to your second through fourth requests under Government Code section 6255, as these current requests are duplicative of materials already in your possession.</p> <p>Following our conversation of August 16, 2018, our office responded to your fifth request in full, providing copies of the Term Sheet and NPM Adjustment Settlement Agreements resolving the 2003 NPM Adjustment between the State of California and certain Participating Manufacturers. We note that the Term Sheet was also provided as part of our 2013 response.</p> <p>We anticipate our review of records responsive to the first and sixth categories of your August 10, 2018 request will be complete on or before October 10, 2018. If you have any questions regarding this response or your requests, please let me know.</p> <p>On August 23, 2018, James Hart sent an extension letter.</p> <p>On August 16, 2018, James Hart sent a response: Thank you for your time this morning to discuss your Public Record Act request dated August 10, 2018. As I indicated on the phone, your request has been referred to me for response. I am in the process of investigating the categories of potentially-responsive documents to your requests. As we discussed, I will follow up next week regarding any categories of documents so identified so that you and I may, if necessary, refine the scope of the request and assure that the office's response is addressed to those documents your request is intended to reach. I will plan to call you next Thursday, September 23 at 11 am; if that does not work with your schedule, please let me know. I expect we will be able discuss an approximate scope and appropriate timeline for our response then.</p> <p>As I also mentioned on our call, the documents responsive to category (5) of your request are already available on the Attorney General's public website. Copies of the Term Sheet and NPM Adjustment Settlement Agreement are attached. Let me know if you have any issues accessing these documents.</p>
PR A-2018-01637	William s/Crem er (Dental Assistant certificate)	Kari	8/13/2018	On May 17, 1985, I graduated from San Diego College for Medical and Dental Assistants as a Dental Assistant. I also received my Dental x-ray certification. This school no longer exists, so I am requesting a copy of my Dental Assisting certificate and my Dental x-ray certification.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Dental Board of California, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at https://www.dbc.ca.gov/contact_us.shtml.</p>
PR A-2018-01638	Mejia	Onan	8/13/2018	Mr. Mejia is seeking to find all records related to himself including criminal history, medical, addresses, aliases, grants he worked on, etc.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Explained that the records he is seeking are either exempt or not DOJ records. Provided him with info as to proper agencies and referred him to record review unit for criminal history.</p>
PR A-2018-01860	Gu	Kevin	8/13/2018	The cited provision, (k), appears to point to: Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law, including, but not limited to, provisions of the Evidence Code relating to privilege. Do you mind citing to the federal or state law that exempts or prohibits the kinds of information I am requesting? Is there any reason confidential information cannot be redacted and my requested information, regarding the tow company and the agency towing, disclosed?	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>To the extent that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) has the information you seek, it would be maintained in the Stolen Vehicles System, an on-line statewide database that maintains records that are of interest to law enforcement agencies. All of the information in the Stolen Vehicle System is exclusively entered and accessed via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). CLETS was established for the express purpose of permitting peace officers and law enforcement agencies to conduct official business via a secure telecommunications network. (Gov. Code, §§ 15151-15153.) Accordingly, any information transmitted via CLETS to or from the Stolen Vehicles System is confidential and exempt from disclosure. Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).) The Legislature has expressly limited the disclosure by the Attorney General of records concerning stolen vehicles. Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(1)(I) requires the Attorney General to maintain a complete record of stolen property. Further, local law enforcement authorities are required to submit descriptions of serialized stolen property, which includes stolen vehicles, to the justice department. (Pen. Code, § 11108, subd. (a).) In a similar vein, Vehicle Code section 10500, subdivision (a) requires that every peace officer report information concerning a stolen vehicle to the justice department. Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(2)</p>

							limits the disclosure of the information concerning stolen or found vehicles thusly, "The Attorney General shall, upon proper application therefor, furnish the information to the officers referred to in Section 11105." Penal Code section 11105, subdivision (b) comprehensively lists a series of individuals and entities who are entitled disclosure of the information specified in Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(1)(I). Individuals such as yourself are not authorized recipients named in Penal Code section 11105, subdivision (b). Thus, information in the Stolen Vehicle System is exempt from disclosure under the PRA. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PR A-2018-01640	Fuller (DMV service outages)	Stephen	8/14/2018	1. Service outages at DMV field offices - for outages lasting longer than 30 minutes, by field office, to include date of occurrence, duration, and cause for all events going back to January 1, 2016 2. State-wide service outages at DMV field offices - for outages lasting longer than 30 minutes to include date of occurrence, duration, and cause for all events going back to January 1, 2016 3. Revenue/fees collected at DMV field offices - by transaction (registration, license renewal, etc.), by month, by field office going back to January 1, 2016 4. Annual revenue/fees collected online from DMV.ca.gov - by transaction (registration, license renewal, etc.), by month, by field office going back to January 1, 2016 5. Wait times at DMV field offices - by transaction (registration, license renewal, etc.), by month, by field office going back to January 1, 2016 6. Service times at DMV field offices - by transaction (registration, license renewal, etc.), by month, by field office going back to January 1, 2016	Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, your request seeks records from the Department of Motor Vehicles. Your request was submitted to the Department of Justice, but you appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on how to contact the DMV can be found at http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php .
PR A-2018-01641	Van Broekhuizen (vital records)	Louis	8/14/2018	Divorce record from Peter Van Zitter around 1985	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A-2018-01642	Wright	Hillary	8/14/2018	I would like to request records by party name: Hillary Wright. I am unsure of the nature of the documents.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A-2018-01643	Frantz (CH, probation records)	Karen	8/14/2018	Records pertaining to VICTOR EZEQUIEL MIRAMONTES, DOB [REDACTED], sexual battery case 1991, past felonies, and what he is currently on probation for. Records most likely in LA, Riverside, and/or San Bernardino Counties. The owners of my duplex in Yucca Valley, CA moved him in next door to me, a single mother of a 13 yr old daughter. Probation officers came by to see him on 8/9/18 and then came to my house. Of course, they couldn't tell me who, what, where or when (I researched him online), but they repeatedly told me to call 911 if I felt threatened in any way. I need to know what I'm living next door to in order to protect my daughter and me and help me decide if we should move.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .

PR A-2018-01645	Rabate bylaws)	Erika	8/14/2018	I am searching for the Bylaws (and any other corporate documents) for the Iranian American Jewish Federation and the Iranian American Jewish Center. They are new clients for my employer, Lisa A. Runquist, Attorney at Law, and their copies of these documents are incomplete. I have already tried contacting their former attorneys to acquire these documents, but they no longer have them. Thank you!		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PR A-2018-01646	Stoddard	Gayle	8/14/2018	copy of will for Janice Chase or Janice Powell Lohr. She was deceased in [REDACTED], [REDACTED] She is my mother		Completed	Erin Nickless	You sought a last will and testament and/or other probate records pursuant to the Public Records Act (PRA) as set forth in Government Code section 6250 et seq. Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding a probate matter. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office.
PR A-2018-01647	Ramirez (personal records)	Ulyses	8/14/2018	All my public records. Got a call from unknown and was a worker from San Diego county tried find the case she told me SD124163 but nothing comes up that's why I would like to request all my public records. I need help because that could be true and it put me in bad position and scared me		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01649	Black (SFACC auth to access CLETS)	Mike	8/14/2018	Pursuant to Government Code sections 6250 et seq., I am hereby requesting copies of any and all public records that relate to any application made by San Francisco Animal Care and Control ("SFACC") to be authorized to access the California Law Enforcement Telecommunication System ("CLETS"). Such records should include the application itself and anything else submitted by SFACC in pursuit of gaining access to CLETS, and any response to said application, including any reason for its denial or any written communications regarding it. I am not sure when such an application would have been made — it may have been within the last ten years or even longer ago.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	An application for the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS) access was submitted by the San Francisco Animal Care and Control (SFACC) in the mid-1990s and on March 23, 1995, and the CLETS Advisory Committee voted on that application at a public meeting. We enclose a document that recorded the vote of each CLETS Advisory Committee member on SFACC's application. The DOJ subsequently determined that the SFACC is not authorized to have direct CLETS access and in 2014 the Legislature passed Assembly Bill (AB) 1511 (Chapter 449, Statutes of 2014) which, upon a showing of compelling need, authorizes a subscribing criminal justice agency to provide some summary criminal history information to an animal control officer, when necessary for the performance of official duties. We also enclose a blank "Release of Information from the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS)," which outlines the type of exchange agreement authorized by AB 1511. With regard to all other records, we must deny your request because they are exempt from disclosure under the PRA. The Legislature has provided that CLETS was created exclusively for the use of law enforcement agencies, and is not available to members of the public. (Gov. Code, sections 15152-15153.) These confidentiality provisions are incorporated into the PRA through section 6254, subdivision (k) which incorporates confidentiality provisions contained elsewhere in state or federal law into the PRA. The Legislature has already made its determination regarding the confidentiality of

							CLETS activity and thereby has established that the public interest in nondisclosure clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure. (See Gov. Code, section 6255 [records exempt where public interest in nondisclosure clearly outweighs public interest in disclosure].) As such, the applications themselves and any other documents relating to the authorization as a CLETS user therefore are similarly exempt from disclosure.
PR A-2018-01664	Fonseca (CH, court records)	Jam es	8/14/2018	Case filed on 11/05/13 The People of California V. James Matthew Fonseca Case No. 3JB07778 Driving Under the Influence The case was dismissed by the courts and I would like to make sure the dismissal was received by the DOJ and was successfully filed. Thank you.		Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01712	Baez (3rd Party Records)	Ray mon d	8/14/2018	I have been he power of attorney for my brother I'm his attorney I have full authorization. I request records public records act david James Baez jr born [REDACTED]		Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less As stated in our previous e-mail, the Public Records Unit is unable to grant Public Records Act requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Since you are seeking criminal history records on behalf of someone other than yourself, please direct your request to the Record Review Unit. Information on how to contact them may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01713	Wheatl and (any and all criminal cases)	Shad	8/14/2018	I would like information on any & all criminal cases in all counties. Specifically: San Diego, Riverside and San Bernardino.		Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. We must decline your request as it is broad and unspecific. If you are seeking all criminal cases filed it the Superior Courts of San Diego, Riverside and San Bernardino, our office would only possess records for cases that fall within our purview. Even so, the amount of records that could potentially be generated as your request is currently worded would likely produce a voluminous amount of records. If you can provide more specificity, we may be able to conduct a search of the records that are within our custody and control. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Descr bing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Descr bing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PR A-2018-01652	Santos (reporting a crime)	Denn is	8/15/2018	I want a full investigation of Tim BERINGER for drugging and I believe raped my wife I want him arrested for drugging my wife I picked my wife up from Eisenhower medical center Rancho mirage California Jennifer Santos occupational therapy assistant and she was so loaded she slurred speech and kept nodding out she couldn't walk she was off balance and smelled of urine and sweat I want the person she was working with TIM BERINGER RN DIRECTOR OF SECURITY ARRESTED AND FULL INVESTIGATION TO WHAT HE DID TO MY WIFE I WANT DNA A FULL INVESTIGATION IM SENDING THIS TO DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND MEDICARE INVESTIGATION HE NEEDS TO		Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less You appear to be reporting a crime, rather than requesting public records. If this is an emergency, please dial 9-11 or your local emergency line for assistance. The Public Records Unit is unable to process crime reports. If you wish to report a crime in your area, please contact your local law enforcement agency, such as city police department or sheriff's department. Additional information on how to report a crime to our office or another law enforcement agency can be found at https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime .

				STAY AWAY FROM MY WIFE JENNIFER SAN TV OS I WANT TO PRESS CHARGES				
PR A-2018-01653	Schroeder (arrest data)	Gregor	8/15/2018	August 27, 2018 follow up: Thank you for the quick response and data. Is it possible to also receive a data dictionary as it came with last year or should I make a second request? Specifically, I noticed the addition of seven SCO variables that were not in the data file last year or in years past when this data-set was available for download on the Cal DOJ website and am concerned that the data dictionary I obtained last year via this process is out of date. Thank you for your time. August 15, 2018: The complete 1980-2017 arrest dataset used to create the "Crime in California 2017" report (https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/downloads/pdfs/cd17.pdf) published by the California Department of Justice Information Services Division.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	September 7, 2018 Response: Attached please find the Data Dictionary that explains the additional 7 Summary Codes to supplement the Data Dictionary you have from last year (which is still valid). August 24, 2018 Response: The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and enclosed the responsive documents.	
PR A-2018-01654	Maneval (60 Day Notices)	Jessica	8/15/2018	I am requesting the following Proposition 65 60-Day Notices, the records of which are listed in the database, but without a PDF available: AG Number: 1995-00295 https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/60-Day-Notice-1995-00295 AG Number: 1996-00100 https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/60-Day-Notice-1996-00100	Completed	Robert Thomas	Per Robert Thomas, Environment Section: I spoke with Jessica Maneval today and explained we do not have the records she was seeking. She asked a few follow up questions and was satisfied with the information I provided.	
PR A-2018-01655	Damien (Desert Sun)	Christopher (Electronic Search Warrants)	8/15/2018	August 21, 2018 follow up: I greatly appreciate your response. Have law enforcement agencies been made aware of this new requirement? If so, I respectfully request a copy of the memo or notification that was sent out. I'd like to revise my request. Could you provide the ESWNs reported since August 1, 2018 and include search warrant numbers? August 15, 2018 request: This request is for all search warrant numbers reported by government entities to Office of Attorney General (Reference: California Penal Code s. 1546.2). Currently, there are three "Electronic Search Warrant Notifications" data sets on the Open Justice website's Data Portal. 1) 2016 Data Set - XLXS 2) 2017 Data Set - XLXS 3) Raw - CSV Please provide all search warrant numbers corresponding to the entries reported in these three data sets up to the present. If the warrant numbers could be added to the three data sets in their current form, that would be preferred. Otherwise, please list the agency name corresponding to each search warrant number, the date it was filed, and the "start" and "end" dates of the search. I am willing to receive partial distribution as information becomes available. If this request is being considered for denial because Office of Attorney General believes that search warrant numbers are publicly available at county courthouses, I am willing to detail how this information is not being made available to the public by government entities on a county by county basis. Please be in contact if further clarification is needed.	Completed	Robert Morger, SAA G	August 21, 2018 response to follow-up question: On our end we created a new data collection field "search warrant number" for the agency to enter upon submitting the search warrant package. Our staff visually inspects all submissions and confirms (or enters) the collected data. There has been no formal notification to law enforcement agencies of this new data collection field. Regarding your request for "ESWNs reported since August 1, 2018 and include search warrant numbers;" we have 90 days to publish that which has been reported. This will be part of the ongoing rolling publication and you will have access to between October and December on our public web page. August 21, 2018 response regarding rolling production: Specifically, you requested: This request is for all search warrant numbers reported by government entities to Office of Attorney General (Reference: California Penal Code s. 1546.2). Currently, there are three "Electronic Search Warrant Notifications" data sets on the Open Justice website's Data Portal. 1) 2016 Data Set - XLXS 2) 2017 Data Set - XLXS 3) Raw - CSV Please provide all search warrant numbers corresponding to the entries reported in these three data sets up to the present. If the warrant numbers could be added to the three data sets in their current form, that would be preferred. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but seeks records from other departments. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. You further noted: If this request is being considered for denial because Office of Attorney General believes that search warrant numbers are publicly available at county courthouses, I am willing to detail how this information is not being made available to the public by government entities on a county by county basis. Again, this issue will have to be raised with the state or local agency with custody of the record. As you are aware, not all search warrants submitted pursuant to Penal Code section 1546.2 to the Department of Justice will have search warrant numbers. Your request entails the physical examination of 3,675 warrants for the presence of a search warrant number. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Consequently, we will be unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently	

							cast. Lastly we understand the issues in production that you are facing with the local and state agency that are the custodian of these records. As of August 2018 we are now collecting search warrant numbers as part of our reporting system under Penal Code section 1546.2. These numbers will be made available as part of our ongoing rolling production.
PR A-2018-01656	Menhardt (Police Records)	Mats	8/15/2018	Arrest made in San Luis Obispo County in December of 2016 by San Luis Obispo City Police. I would like a copy of the incident report and mugshot photo taken	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security . Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PR A-2018-01657	Ferreira (BAR Case)	William	8/15/2018	All Accusations and ISO's filed by the Attorney General's office on behalf of the Bureau of Automotive Repair from July 1 to August 15, 2018.	Completed	Molly E. Selway	In response, we are providing a list of the Accusations and ISO's served between July 1, 2018, and August 15, 2018. At the outset, we note that your request is directed to the Department of Justice but may refer to or include documents in the possession of other agencies, such as the Bureau of Automotive Repair. If you wish to review records that are in the possession of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PR A-2018-01660	Benedict (CLETS search)	Kenneth	8/15/2018	I'd like to request any law enforcement lookups for myself by way of license plate [REDACTED] in the last 45 days. (7.1.2018 to 8.15.2018) I'm currently being stalked/harassed by an individual that I believe received my personal information from contacts he has in law enforcement. I'd like to ensure my PII was not looked up and shared, recently. I have not been pulled over by law enforcement in the last 45 days and to my knowledge there should be no reason for me to have been searched in the CLETS system.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ), is restricted by law (Gov. Code § 15152). Access to records relating to California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS) inquiries is similarly restricted. Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)). As such, your request for records is denied. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PR A-2018-01746	Darling (warrants)	Tomery-Artimese	8/15/2018	Request for Records Pursuant to Section 609(e) of the Fair Credit Reporting Act Description of fraudulent transaction/ account: RID/WARRANT SA03249325 issued 8/05/2016 RID /WARRANT SA03311888 issued 5/24/2018 RID/WARRANT SA03311889 issued 5/24/2018 RID/WARRANT SA03311890 issued 5/24/2018 RID/WARRANT SA03311891 issued 5/24/2018 I am a victim of identity theft. The thief made a fraudulent transaction(s) in my name with your agency. In accordance with section 609(e) of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681g (e), I am requesting that you provide me copies of business records relating to the fraudulent RID/Warrants identified above. The law directs that you provide these documents at no charge, and without requiring a subpoena, within thirty (30) days of your receipt of this request. I am enclosing a copy of the relevant federal law and the Federal Trade Commission's business education publication on this topic. Enclosed with this request is the following documentation, as applicable: 1. Proof of my identity: • A copy of my driver's license, other government-issued identification card, or other proof of my identity; and 2. Proof of my claim of identity theft:	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. To the extent that you are seeking records from the California Department of Child Support Services (DCSS), we must deny your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking child support records, you may wish to direct your request to the California Department of Child Support Services (DCSS). Information on how to contact DCSS can be found on their website at http://www.childsup.ca.gov/home/dcscscontactinformation.aspx To the extent that you are seeking records from the District Attorney's Office, we must deny your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from a District Attorney, you may wish to direct your request to the District Attorney's office. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A copy of the police report about my identity theft; and • A completed and signed FTC Identity Theft Report or alternative affidavit of fact. <p>Please provide all records relating to the fraudulent RID /WARRANT: SA03249325 issued 8/05/2016; SA03311888 issued 5/24/2018; SA03311889 issued 5/24/2018; SA03311890 issued 5/24/2018; and SA03311891 issued 5/24/2018, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Application records or screen prints of internet/phone applications • Arrest Information • Charging documents and court filings of the DA • Statements/invoices • Payment/ charge slips • Investigator's summary • Delivery addresses • All records of phone numbers used to activate or access the warrant • Any other documents associated with the warrant 			<p>enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>In regard to the remaining records listed in your request, we have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking that would verify why our office, rather than the District Attorney or California Department of Child Support Services, would have the records you are seeking, please provide that information to us.</p>
PR A-2018-01747	Darling (child support)	Tomery-Artimese	8/15/2018	<p>I am a victim of identity theft. The thief opened a fraudulent account in my name with the above mentioned agency. I did not open or authorize this account, and I therefore request that the fraudulent account and the transfer of this fraudulent debt to another party for collection be closed immediately. I also request that the Department of Child Support Services absolve me of all charges on the account, and that you take all appropriate steps to remove information about this account from my credit files. In accordance with section 609(e) of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681g(e), I am requesting that you provide me copies of business records relating to the fraudulent accounts identified above. The law directs that you provide these documents at no charge, and without requiring a subpoena, within thirty (30) days of your receipt of this request. I am enclosing a copy of the relevant federal law and the Federal Trade Commission's business education publication on this topic.</p> <p>Also enclosed is a copy of the FTC Notice to Furnishers of Information supporting my position and the fraudulent items related to your agency that are the result of identity theft, which details your responsibilities as an information furnisher to credit reporting agencies (CRAs). As a furnisher, upon receipt of a consumer's written request that encloses an Identity Theft Report, you are required to cease furnishing the information resulting from identity theft to any CRA.</p> <p>The Notice also specifies your responsibilities when you receive notice from a CRA, under section 605B of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, that information you provided to the CRA may be the result of identity theft. Those responsibilities include ceasing to provide the inaccurate information to any CRAs and ensuring that you do not attempt to sell or transfer the fraudulent debts to another party for collection.</p> <p>Please investigate this matter, close the account and absolve me of all charges, take the steps required under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, provide all records relating to the fraudulent accounts including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Application records or screen prints of internet/phone applications • Arrest Information • Charging documents and court filings of the DA • Statements/invoices 	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking records from the California Department of Child Support Services (DCSS), we must deny your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking child support records, you may wish to direct your request to the California Department of Child Support Services (DCSS). Information on how to contact DCSS can be found on their website at http://www.childsup.ca.gov/home/dcscscontactinformation.aspx</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking records from the District Attorney's Office, we must deny your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from a District Attorney, you may wish to direct your request to the District Attorney's office.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>In regard to the remaining records listed in your request, we have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking that would verify why our office, rather than the District Attorney or California Department of Child Support Services, would have the records you are seeking, please provide that information to us.</p>

				<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Payment/charge slips• Investigator's summary• Delivery addresses• All records of phone numbers used to activate or access the warrant• Any other documents associated with the warrant				
PR A-2018-01658	Alvarez (Biz Journals)	Felicia (Dignity Health)	8/16/2018	I am seeking and letters, complaints, and/or public comments that the ACLU of Southern California has submitted to the Attorney General's office regarding the Dignity Health - Catholic Health Initiatives merger. Please send documents electronically if possible. Thank you!	Completed	Wendi A. Horwitz	For the reasons set forth below, our office must decline your request. The records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).]) Some of the records are additionally exempt from disclosure under the official information privilege of sections 1040 and 1041 of the Evidence Code. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the official information privilege contained in Evidence Code sections 1040 and 1041, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code§ 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) Any information and documents acquired in confidence during the course of an investigation by the Attorney General are privileged where there is necessity for preserving the confidentiality of the information and documents. To the extent that the records you seek fall into this category, we deny your request for any such documents.	
PR A-2018-01659	Mann (Litigation costs)	Chris	8/16/2018	How much money have we spent as a State suing the federal gov't? Is there an accounting for the Attorney General's office, showing active lawsuits, all, not just the one's against the feds? Is there an accounting of all of the Attorney General's office annual expenditures? Is this public record, can it be found online?	Completed	Catherine Brown	August 27, 2018 response: I contacted you by telephone on August 21, 2018 to clarify the scope of your request. To confirm our discussion, you informed me that your request relates to the time period beginning with the appointment of Attorney General Xavier Becerra in January 2017. You further clarified that you were not requesting the cost incurred in individual litigation matters, but that you were interested in obtaining two dollar amounts: (a) the aggregate cost incurred by our office during that time period in connection with all litigation filed by our office against the federal government, and (b) the aggregate cost incurred by our office during that time period in connection with all litigation matters being handled by our office. The Department has records responsive to your request. However, we decline to disclose records that are exempt from disclosure under the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product exception. Records that reflect the total amount expended in ongoing litigation are exempt from disclosure under the attorney-client privilege. (Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors v. Superior Court (2016) 2 Cal.5th 282; County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors v. Superior Court (2017) 12 Cal.App.5th 1264.) Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and client, are expressly incorporated into the Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is also incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) The attorneys in our department provide legal advice not only to other state agencies, but also to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, communications between the department's attorneys and other state agency clients, or between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys, included within any responsive records are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Act. We therefore decline to respond to your request as it is currently cast because it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work product exception. If you wish to consider modifying your request to include only completed litigation matters, we could provide you with the total cost incurred by our office in connection with those completed litigation matters that were filed against the federal government by our office during the requested period. We could also conduct a search of our electronic case management system to identify all matters, including litigation matters, that were opened during the requested time period and have been closed. Please note however that the search may not identify all closed matters, as there can be a lag between when the matter is concluded and when it is identified as closed in the system. Further, the system includes all matters that our office handles, including matters that do not involve litigation, and the system cannot readily segregate litigation matters from other matters.	

							Each separate section of the office would have to review their closed matters and identify matters that do not involve litigation so that we could then search the system for costs incurred in litigation matters. Because our office handles an extremely large volume of litigation and other matters, it will be time-consuming to conduct and complete a search to identify all litigation filed and closed during the requested period to obtain the aggregate costs incurred in those matters. Please contact me to let me know whether you wish to modify your request in light of the information provided in this letter.
PR A-2018-01661	Hernandez (Domestic Violence)	Felipe	8/16/2018	Case Number = 15-03222 Case regarding an incident of domestic violence against Laura Becerra Beltran. Report of case will be used for green card process as Laura experienced domestic abuse. Immigration lawyer is requiring case report.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security . Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PR A-2018-01662	Mcmullen (Clevenger case)	Kathryn	8/16/2018	August 27, 2018 clarification received: Thank you so much for your response to my request. I am both surprised & pleased to receive correspondence from the Sr. Assistant to the Attorney General! If anyone can help me find the information I am searching for, it should be you. Let me give you a brief family history to explain the reasoning behind my inquiry. My aunt/ 2nd mom/Godmother, who just happens to be my daughter's Godmother, has been living in California for 3 years. She moved from NJ to "be with" (& MARRY) the love of her life. She first fell for this stud at the age of 16, and now she's finally spending her life with him at the age of 60. Oh, and he's been in the California correctional system since he was 23, which would be around 1980-1982 (my whole life). I have been searching high and low for any documentation on his original case in the early 80s, with no luck. Usually when I research, I get results. Mr. Clevenger, for some reason, has eluded my search for the truth. I would like to know the original charges that got "Uncle Don" 25-life. I think he will finally get released in the next few years and they will come home to NJ. (I say finally b/c if he was in NJ, he would have been released & playing poker Atlantic City by now). The town & date of offence would even help in my research. If you think that the parole hearing info would give me the details I am looking for, then I will take that info gladly. If you any advise for me on how to get details on what happened that day to get this man imprisoned for over 30 years. Prisoner @ San Quentin state prison. Date sentenced 05/13/1983 Donald R Clevenger CDCR#C66021 Hello I would like to have a copy of Donald Rey Clevenger's court case files. His inmate # is listed above. He has been in the California prison system since 1982.	Completed	Philip J. Lindsay	August 27, 2018 response: Please see the attached documents in response to your request for information regarding Mr. Clevenger's underlying 1983 offense. The first six pages are from a habeas petition Mr. Clevenger filed in 2009. Page 2 provides information regarding his second degree murder conviction out of Sacramento County, including the underlying case number. The other document attached (starting on page 5 of the pdf) is the complete parole board hearing transcript from August 12, 2009. There is a description of the crime beginning on page 9 of the transcript (page 15 of the pdf). In all, the documentation shows that Mr. Clevenger pled guilty to second degree murder in Sacramento County Superior Court in case number 64393 on March 25, 1983, for killing an 11 month old child that was left in his care. He was sentenced to 15 years to life in prison. As these documents were already in electronic form, I have waived the \$.10-per-page fee. This will conclude my office's response to your Public Records Act request. Any requests for additional public documents from my office may be submitted by a written request directed to the Attorney General's Public Inquiry Unit or electronically through the Attorney General's webpage. August 21, 2018 Clarification response: Your request for public records submitted to the California Attorney General's Office on August 16 has been forwarded to me for handling. As I understand it, you are seeking court case files involving state prisoner Donald Clevenger. I've located two cases we have handled involving Mr. Clevenger for which we have documents. One case is from 2011 and involves a challenge to a July 7, 2010 parole denial. The other is a 2017 case regarding a certain classification decision made by the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Please let me know if you would like documents from these two cases or if there is something specific you are looking for. Our office charges \$.10 per page for copies in accordance with the California Public Records Act, and the documents from the parole case are voluminous. The petition alone is 455 pages.
PR A-20	Fangman	Ed	8/16/2	Auto Break in and theft of personal belongings D18-02292 filed by Officer J. Hart #1657 May I get a copy of the police report?	Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)

18 - 01 66 3			01 8		ete d		If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PR A- 20 18 - 01 66 5	Fox (investi gation records)	Joan na	8/1 6/2 01 8	Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), please provides copies the following: All documents concerning any criminal investigation ever into Barry's Window Cleaning and or BWM, Inc., including but not limited to any criminal investigation arising from the death of James Stinson on or around [REDACTED] All documents concerning any civil investigation ever into Barry's Window Cleaning, and/or BWM Inc. including but not limited to any civil investigation arising from the death of James Stinson on or around [REDACTED] All documents and correspondence concerning the death of James Stinson on or around [REDACTED]; All documents concerning OSHA Inspection No. 317232569, Report ID 0950632; All documents related to any worker's compensation claim arising from the death of James Stinson on or around [REDACTED]; All documents related to any civil fine ever imposed on Barry's Window Cleaning and/or BWM, Inc.; All documents related to any criminal fine ever imposed on Barry's Window Cleaning and/or BWM, Inc.; All correspondence with the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration concerning Barry's Window Cleaning and/or BWM, Inc.; All correspondence with Cal OSHA concerning Barry's Window Cleaning and/or BWM, Inc.; All correspondence with any homeowner's association for the Metrome Condo complex located at 1150 J. Street, San Diego, CA 92101; All correspondence with any property management company for the Metrome Condo complex located at 1150 J. Street, San Diego, CA 92101; All documents related to any investigation whatsoever of Barry's Window Cleaning and/or BWM, Inc.	Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less	To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) We have searched our legal indices and were unable to locate records based on the information provided. If you have any additional information that would help us identify the records as being in the possession of the Department of Justice, please provide that to us. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. Our research into the matter shows that the records you seek may be in the custody or control of another state agency. We suggest that you direct your request to the Department of Industrial Relations Division of Occupational Safety and Health (Cal/OSHA). Information on how to request Public Records from them can be found on their website at https://www.dir.ca.gov/pr_request.html .
PR A- 20 18 - 01 66 7	Lalland e (Charit y records)	M. Lawr ence	8/1 6/2 01 8	THE CORWIN D. DENNEY FOUNDATION. FEIN: 237015087 I would like to request the following returns: CA AG Registry of Charitable Trust: 1. 1977-1999 IRS - Form 199-OE: 1. 1967 2. 1970 3. 1972 4. 1977 5. 1979 6. 1980-2007 7. 2009-2010 8. 2012 9. 2013 IRS - Form 990-PF: 1. 1967 2. 1968 3. 1969 4. 1970 5. 1971 6. 1973 7. 1974	Co mpl ete d	Tani a M. Iban ez, SAA G	This email is in response to your public record act request received by email on August 16, 2018. You requested records pertaining to The Corwin D. Denney Foundation. You requested Form 199 990 for 1967, 1970-72, 1977, 1979, 1980-2007, 2009-2010, 2012-2013. This office does not retain any records filed with the Franchise Tax Board, you will have to obtain copies of the Form 199 directly from the Franchise Tax Board. You also requested copies of the IRS Form 900 for 1967-1971, 1973-75, 1977-1978, 1980-1996, and 2009. While we do retain copies of IRS Form 990s filed by charities, we do not have any records predating 2001 filed by the Corwin D. Denney Foundation as our retention policy only requires that we retain records for 10 years. We do have records for this organization from 2001 to present and you can download them from our website. To obtain records from the Attorney General's Registry of Trusts please follow these instructions: Go to oag.ca.gov/charities Under Resources & Tools, click on Registry Verification Search Type in the FEIN number 237015087 Click search Click on the organization's name Scroll down to the bottom until you get to Related Documents Click on each record you are interested in viewing. You may want to review some of the resources on our website to best serve your charity. We have a useful Guide for Charities on our website. https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/charities/publications/guide_for_charities.pdf

			8. 1975 9. 1977 10. 1978 11. 1980-1996 12. 2009				
PR A-2018-01668	Cochrane	Kevin	8/17/2018	<p>Pursuant to California Government Code section 12012.85, the State Treasury maintains a fund or funds called the "Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund" ("SDF"), which is a fund that provides California agencies with monies to assist in the regulation of Indian gaming under the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (see 25 U.S.C. s 2701 et seq.).</p> <p>On or about both April 1, 2016 and April 6, 2017, I submitted Public Record Act ("PRA") requests with the Department of Justice to obtain, in part, reports of expenditures for the SDF from fiscal year 2009-10 through the date of submission of each of the PRA request. Each of these requests was handled in some capacity by then-Deputy Attorney General Paras Hrish kesh Modha.</p> <p>The information produced by the Department of Justice pursuant to these requests are reports for certain fiscal years (or the elapsed portion thereof with respect to the final year) detailing both the SDF monies received and spent by the Indian and Gaming Law Section of the Office of the Attorney General for the periods in question. Each report for the Indian and Gaming Law Section of the Office of the Attorney General appears to be generated by a computer program and bears the same standard information, including a title stating "Report of Expenditures as of Jun 30, [year] for Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund." Along with this title, the seemingly computer-generated reports also contain the following information on subsequent lines:</p> <p>Program: Legal Services Element: Public Rights Component: Indian & Gaming Law Task: Indian & Gaming Law*4280</p> <p>Given these prior disclosures, I request the following documents and or information to bring the information up to date.</p> <p>1. Reports of expenditures for the Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund for the Indian and Gaming Law Section of the Office of the Attorney General for fiscal years 2016 and 2017. The requested disclosure should at a minimum include any fiscal years 2016 and 2017 reports that match those reports for fiscal years 2009 through 2015 that the Department of Justice provided in connection with its past two PRA response letters.</p> <p>2. A report of expenditures for the Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund for the Indian and Gaming Law Section of the Office of the Attorney General for fiscal year 2018. Should the Department of Justice not have a complete report for the full fiscal year, I request that it produce the most up-to-date report (or other information) detailing the Indian and Gaming Law Section of the Office of the Attorney General's SDF receipts and expenditures for the elapsed portion of fiscal year 2018, as well as any other reports that project or otherwise estimate the total amounts this section will both receive and expend during the full fiscal year.</p> <p>In the event the Department of Justice has any difficulty locating the requested records or information, please contact me using the information provided in connection with the online request form and I will relay a copy of the reports the department produced in connection with its prior April 25, 2016 and April 17, 2017 PRA response letter so it can better locate the comparable information</p>	Completed	Paras Hrishikesh Modha	<p>In response to items 1 and 2, attached are Department of Justice reports of expenditures for the Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund for the Indian and Gaming Law Section of the Office of the Attorney General for the fiscal years 2016, 201 7, and 2018 (through August 20th). The reports show the total amount for each fiscal year appropriated through the budget from the Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund for the Indian and Gaming Law Section of the Office of the Attorney General and the total amount of that appropriation expended by the Indian and Gaming Law Section of the Office of the Attorney General in the fiscal year.</p> <p>Paras Hrishikesh Modha, Deputy Attorney General, is respons ble for this response.</p>

				for fiscal years 2016 through 2018 I would prefer to receive both electronic and physical copies of the requested records. The electronic copies may be sent to the e-mail address listed above (kmc@williamscochrane.com<mailto:kmc@williamscochrane.com>). The physical copies may, likewise, be sent to the office address listed above (28581 Old Town Front Street, Temecula, CA 92590). Should you require the payment of any tax, fee, charge, or other assessment in connection with responding to this Public Record Act request, please contact me by phone (619-793-4809), along with a follow-up e-mail (specifying the particulars of your request), at the soonest possible time so we can discuss and/or arrange for payment.			
PR A-2018-01669	Bakhtiar (court records)	Mitra	8/17/2018	Please send me court record for the Case #: 2899233 Arrest Date: 10/04/2011 Document: OTHER Agency Name: POLICE DEPARTMENT LOS ANGELES Case #: 2899233 CHARGE 1-001 COUNTS OF THEFT	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01670	Herrera (personal records)	Chesler	8/17/2018	All information regarding Chesler Herreria.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01671	Howitt (investigation records)	Beau	8/17/2018	Surveillance, investigation of my person done in Riverside County and San Diego county	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)

PR A-2018-01673	Newman	June	8/17/2018	My name is June Newman and I was wondering if I could find my boyfriends birth father his name is only listed on his birth certificate but was never in contact since moving to New York. His last known city he was residing in was Fort Brass California in Mendocino County. His name is / was Jonathan Thomas Lake and his birth city is Washington DC and his birthday is [REDACTED].		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-01677	Valenzuela (unspecified records)	Victor	8/17/2018	California Public Records		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PR A-2018-01680	Goldberg (Seal Beach employment survey)	Robert	8/17/2018	Based on the 10/31/17 employment survey for Seal Beach: number of funded non-jail sworn total.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.</p>
PR A-2018-01684	Goldberg (crime statistics)	Robert	8/17/2018	For Seal Beach, NCIC Agency 3020: 1) The Funded Non Jail Sworn Total employment for the years 1978-1990 2) Total Felony arrests for the years 1978-1990 3) Total Misdemeanor arrests for the years 1978-1990		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.</p>
PR A-2018-	Defty	Spencer	8/17/2018	We are requesting public records for the Yolo County Public Works job on County Road 24 in Woodland, CA. We are requesting records for all job costs including the following: labor, materials, equipment, and stand by for equipment. We would also like the names and information on the		Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>Called requestor to confirm records being sought were of Yolo County Public Works, he confirmed. I explained DOJ is not the depository for the entire State of California and provided information on how to request PRA from Yolo County.</p>

01 68 7				administrative engineers and project managers as well as all of the schedules for the job and all email correspondence associated with the job.			
PR A- 20 18 - 01 68 1	Mueller (unspeci- fied records)	Shan- non	8/2 0/2 01 8	And records from 2010 to present		Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PR A- 20 18 - 01 68 2	Marquez (CHP records)	Steph- en	8/2 0/2 01 8	CHP's status of its contract with Braccos Towing & Transport INC CA#0221823. I was towed 8/18/2018, by company at the request of Officer Jimenez Badge #7962160 Log #MY462.		Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Our research into the matter shows that the records you seek may be in the custody or control of a local or another state agency. We suggest that you direct your request to the California Highway Patrol. Information on how to contact their office can be found on their website at https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us .
PR A- 20 18 - 01 68 3	Rodriguez (proper ty info)	Susan	8/2 0/2 01 8	I need the owner information of property 7225 Jackson Street, Paramount, California 90723. Trying to contact owner because renter's dog attacked my dog and they are refusing to pay. Renters insurance should be forced to cover cost.		Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PR A- 20 18 - 01 68 5	Horner (Govov- ersight. org) (comm- unications with Press staff)	Chris- topher	8/2 0/2 01 8	On October 10, 2018, Mr. Horner followed up: We write to inquire as to the status of the Office's processing of this request. August 20, 2018: On behalf of Energy Policy Advocates (EPA), a non-profit public interest group incorporated under the laws of the State of Washington (http://epadvocates.org ; EPA is awaiting approval as a 501(c)3 by the Internal Revenue Service), pursuant to the California Government Code §§ 6250 et. seq., the non-profit public interest law firm Government Accountability & Oversight requests, within the statutorily prescribed time, copies of all correspondence and other records dated from September 15, 2017 through the date you process this request, inclusive, and its accompanying information, including also any attachments, which was 1) sent to, from or copying (whether as cc: or bcc:) a) Bethany Lesser, Communications Director for your office, b) Sarah Lovenheim, Spokeswoman for your office and/or c) Walter Garcia, Press Secretary for your Office, which 2) is also to, from or copies (again whether as cc: or bcc:) a) any email address ending with @nyu.edu (prioritizing, but not limited to that with chris.moyer@nyu.edu), and/or b) any email address ending with @resource-media.org (no such terms are case-sensitive). Comments: Please consider as responsive entire email "threads" containing any information responsive to this request, regardless whether any part of that thread falls outside the cited search parameters. Given the nature of the records responsive to this		Assi- gne- d	Maureen Onyeagbako On October 19, 2018 Maureen Onyeagbako responded: On September 13, 2018, we sent you a letter indicating we would produce, in whole or in part, responsive documents that are not exempt from disclosure on a rolling basis. Potential exemptions included those that contain privileged deliberative process, attorney-client, attorney-work product, and/or pending litigation materials. We also received an email from you on October 9, 2018, inquiring about the status of your request. Due to the volume of documents, the review process is taking longer than expected. We note that your request indicated: We do not seek correspondence that merely forwards press clippings, such as news accounts or opinion pieces, if that correspondence has no comment or no substantive comment added by a party in the thread (an electronic mail message that includes any expression of opinion or viewpoint would be considered as including substantive comment. Examples of non-responsive emails would be those forwarding a news report or opinion piece with no comment or only 'fyi', or interesting). In the course of review, we have come across documents that do not fit neatly into the categories you have described as (i) emails providing "substantive comment" and (ii) "nonresponsive e-mails." For example, an email may simply forward a press clipping or article that is preceded by a summary or "key point" that appears to recite the material below in abbreviated form or, perhaps, fails to identify who selected it as a key point. Such documents will be treated as responsive. If this is not what you want, please notify us immediately. Other email chains may simply forward a press clipping as an "fyi," to which someone responds with "thank you" or some variation thereof. Such emails will be treated as non-responsive. Enclosed is the initial production of non-exempt records the Department located that are responsive to your request. We are working diligently to provide you with our next production. Given the scope of your request and the volume of records that must be reviewed, we anticipate sending out the next production on or around November 12, 2018. We appreciate your patience in this

request, all should be in electronic format, and therefore there should be no photocopying costs. If there is any cost associated with the searching, copying or production of these records, however, please also notify us in writing immediately. Please provide an estimate of anticipated costs in the event that there are fees for processing this Request. To keep costs and copying to a minimum and given the nature of the records responsive to this request, all should be in electronic format, such that there should be no photocopying costs (see discussion, *infra*), please provide all records in electronic and native format pursuant to U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission Data Delivery Standards <https://www.sec.gov/divisions/enforce/datadeliverystandards.pdf>. We do not seek correspondence that merely forwards press clippings, such as news accounts or opinion pieces, if that correspondence has no comment or no substantive comment added by a party in the thread (an electronic mail message that includes any expression of opinion or viewpoint would be considered as including substantive comment; examples of non-responsive emails would be those forwarding a news report or opinion piece with no comment or only "fyi", or "interesting").

matter.

September 13, 2018 Maureen Onyeagbako sent an initial response establishing a rolling production: On August 30, 2018, we extended the period to provide our response to September 13, 2018. As a preliminary matter, we note that Walter Garcia is no longer an employee of the California Department of Justice and his email account is no longer available. We have located documents responsive to this request and will produce, in whole or in part, those that are not exempt from disclosure on a rolling basis. As we continue to review the records we have located, we will redact or withhold any exempt or privileged information or communications. We will not produce any records or portions of records that fall under the following exemptions:

The Attorney-Client Privilege

The PRA exempts the following from disclosure: "Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law, including, but not limited to, provisions of the Evidence Code relating to privilege." (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); *Roberts v. City of Palmdale* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370; see also *Evid. Code*, § 954.) The attorney-client privilege is absolute and disclosure may not be compelled, regardless of relevance, necessity, or any particular circumstances in a given case. (*Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Superior Court* (2009) 47 Cal.4th 725, 732; see also *Palmer v. Superior Court* (2014) 231 Cal.App.4th 1214, 1227.) "Although exercise of the privilege may occasionally result in the suppression of relevant evidence, the Legislature of this state has determined that these concerns are outweighed by the importance of preserving confidentiality in the attorney-client relationship." (*Mitchell v. Superior Court* (1984) 37 Cal.3d 591, 599; see also *Kerner v. Superior Court* (2012) 206 Cal.App.4th 84, 111.) The attorney-client privilege protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, as well as communications made to others in advancement of the attorney-client relationship. (*Barber v. Municipal Court* (1979) 24 Cal.3d 742, 753-754; *STI Outdoor v. Superior Court* (2001) 91 Cal.App.4th 334, 340-341.) Documents are exempt from disclosure under the PRA pursuant to the attorney-client privilege under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), which incorporates confidentiality provisions contained in other laws. (*County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court* (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)

The Attorney Work Product Doctrine

The attorney work product doctrine protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories, which is maintained as confidential. (*Code Civ. Proc.*, § 2018.030.) The attorney work product doctrine creates a qualified privilege against discovery of general work product, and an absolute privilege against disclosure of writings containing the attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal theories. (*County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court*, *supra*, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 833, quoting *BP Alaska Exploration, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1988) 199 Cal.App.3d 1240, 1250; see also *Caito v. Superior Court* (2012) 54 Cal.4th 480, 488.) Documents are exempt from disclosure under the PRA pursuant to the attorney work product doctrine under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), which incorporates confidentiality provisions contained in other laws. (*County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court*, *supra*, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 833.)

There is no waiver of the privilege when attorney work product is provided to a party with a common interest who has an interest in maintaining the confidentiality of a significant part of the work product when the parties have a reasonable expectation that the work product will remain confidential, and the disclosure is "reasonably necessary for the accomplishment of the purpose for which the lawyer was consulted" as set forth in Evidence Code section 912, subdivision (d). (*OXY Resources California LLC v. Superior Court* (2004) 115 Cal.App.4th 874, 890-891.)

The Deliberative Process Privilege

The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision-making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency, and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. (*Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court* (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325, 1342-1343, 1346.) Any documents containing statutory interpretation, analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal would be covered by this privilege. Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected from disclosure pursuant to a PRA request through application of Government Code section 6255. If the public interest in nondisclosure clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure, the deliberative process privilege applies. (*California First Amendment Coalition v. Superior Court* (1998) 67 Cal.App.4th 159, 172.)

The Pending Litigation Exemption

Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (b).) The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption, but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use

							in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court, supra, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.) The pending litigation exemption also does not duplicate the attorney-client privilege because it exempts "litigation records generally," and not only those documents that are privileged. (Fairley v. Superior Court, supra, 66 Cal.App.4th at p. 1422 fn. 5.) As mentioned, we are continuing to review potentially responsive documents. As we progress with that review, we anticipate producing documents on a rolling basis. We expect to make our first production of documents to you on September 28, 2018. On August 30, 2018, Maureen Onyeagbako sent an extension letter.
PR A-2018-01686	Hill (UCLA records)	Craig	8/20/18	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to obtain copies of public records of the copy of the final Contract of the 2018 California Health Interview Survey (of it is the 2017-2018 contract that will suffice. This is a contract with SSRS (Social Science Research Solutions), a division of AUS, Inc. in concert with the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research and the State of California. Dr. Ninez Ponce is the principal investigator for UCLA.	Completed	Erin Nickless	From the description, it appears as though you are seeking a contract between UCLA and Social Science Research Solutions. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to one or more schools within the University of California (UC) System, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact the offices of the UC System can be found at https://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/uc-system/contact-us .
PR A-2018-01688	Cerimovic (3rd Party Records)	Alma	8/20/18	All publicly available records for James G. Hauer DOB: [REDACTED] SSN: [REDACTED] Specified Records: - Divorce - Bankruptcy - Criminal - Civil - Addresses I'm requesting these records as a third party from law firm, Patterson Buchanan Fobes, in an ongoing civil case.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01689	Combs (PC Sec 29182 and 29180)	Brandon (serial #s)	8/20/18	BACKGROUND: Penal Code Section 29184 (online at <a href="http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=<http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=PEN&sectionNum=29184>">http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=<http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=PEN&sectionNum=29184>): "The Department of Justice shall maintain and make available upon request information concerning both of the following: (a) The number of serial numbers issued pursuant to Section 29182. (b) The number of arrests for violations of Section 29180." RECORDS REQUESTED: 1) The number of serial numbers issued pursuant to Penal Code Section 29182. 2) The number of arrests for violations of Penal Code Section 29180.	Completed	Public Records	September 19, 2019 response: On August 30, 2018, the Department sent you a letter extending its time to respond. As a preliminary matter, you did not provide a date range in which to search for responsive documents. Since Penal Code section 29184, the statute that requires the Department to make the numbers you have requested available, became effective on January 1, 2017, we limited our search for records to those that were created and/or received after January 1, 2017, and before August 27, 2018. Response to Item 1 As of August 27, 2018, the Department has issued 104 serial numbers pursuant to Penal Code section 29182. Response to Item 2 According to Department records, as of August 27, 2018, there have been zero (0) reported arrests for violations of Penal Code section 29180. Response to Request for Confirmation of a Litigation Hold As to your request that we confirm that we have placed a litigation hold on responsive records, please be advised that the Department, under its ordinary course of business, places litigation holds as it deems it necessary to preserve records and

							information in pending or anticipated litigation. On August 30, 2018, an extension letter was sent.
PR A- 20 18 - 01 69 0	Berg, M. D.	Susan	8/2 0/2 01 8	<p>My name is: Susan Berg, M.D., [REDACTED], [REDACTED].</p> <p>My medical license #: NYS #181501 it is inactive right now and I have been trying to meet with the State of New York, since 1994 over a "temporary surrender". I surrendered my medical license temporary and inly signed in good faith that they would immediately meet with me after discharge from a state hospital. Someone was domestically violent and was assaultive towards me while I was practicing, psychiatry where I lived. And this started after a suicidal- non- patient if mine. I did not know who was yelling or on a telephone. Someone was sta king me with a telephone?</p> <p>I also had s problem with my sister and father at a Christmas dinner, party in Tampa, FL - my father kicked my nephew in the head when he was crying. I asked them to take him to an emergency room. They refused and said that nothing happened. I haven't seen Wendy since then. She is listed on the internet as living with</p> <p>Linda Berg, a district attorney or state attorney from your state. Could they be asked to write me or call me - no robocalls- spooking/ spoofing or lying, or spam or intent to do other harm or ill-will to me, Eve if they are in jail?</p> <p>I also can't locate Patricia Mizutani, M.D. who I went to medical school, GWU, with or Alan Nadler, M.D.'s two daughter Brittany and Nichol or his third wife and her daughter from Alabama. Alaska used to live in California, and Wen and my sister, Kit, did. And Niels supposedly lives in California, too. I did go to Endocrinology Clinic, UCLA and I like your state quite a bit. I actually applied to move - for better University medical at UCLA and I had a scholarship and completed one semester, MPH, Public Health at Loma Linda University.</p> <p>I am trying to restore my medical license and be able to get a part or full-time job with it retired. I am going on sixty! But, I read a little, JAMA, and other and I really feel very hurt that I could not work (fellowship, residency) and already completed a fellowship, and at least twenty more years of working, in psychiatry or in changing specialties to internal medicine, or neurology or immunology and allergy and adding a degree in law. I also have had to speak to criminal judges four times - in Washington, New York and Florida because of someone thinking that I was intoxicated. I was not. Any if the times. I have tried writing to all if my family, and Betty London my father's friend from Florida and I saw someone who said he was my father - but his parotis tumir scar was in the right - not left.</p> <p>I don't know if it's him. He also refuses to allow me to fly down and ring his buzzer or knock on his door and take him to lunch or dinner or breakfast and talk to me.</p> <p>I called St. Augustine FL Police and I e-mailed their attorney. He doesn't understand that I did get a settlement from his bank, US Bank and that they are not wanting to ta k in my bank, Key Bank , or interfere with what they want to say to me.</p> <p>They are not welcome to try to hack or phish on my account. I even tried to have a judge, Fairbanks, ask them into Domestic Violence Court- I started getting called by 907-444-0068, and they had forwarded messages from 904-824-4814 and I got a message from University of Southern California, from, 907-445-0068, after I called about their MPH online program.</p> <p>I also e-mailed about someone affirming that I was a student at UCLA for Dr. Bergsneider's bill.</p>	Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less	<p>To the extent that you are requesting that we enforce the Freedom of Information Act, we must decline your request. As stated above, the Freedom of Information Act is a federal law applicable to federal, not state, governmental agencies. In regard to the California Public Records Act, when the Legislature enacted the Public Records Act in 1968, it provided several judicial remedies for persons who believed that they had been wrongfully denied records to which they were entitled. These remedies include the right to seek injunctive or declaratory relief or a writ of mandate in the Superior Court. (See Government Code sections 6258-6259.) Absent special circumstances, the Legislature did not envision an enforcement role for the Attorney General in connection with noncompliance under the Act. However, you may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options under the judicial remedies discussed above.</p> <p>You have asked us to have several individuals contact you. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. Even if we had the means to contact the individuals listed in your request, DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal services or investigations on behalf of private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney or investigator to evaluate your options regarding this request.</p> <p>As there were no records identified in your request as being in the possession of our Department, we are unable to provide records that are responsive. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>

				<p>The State of Washington and New York have been inappropriate around FOIA requests around child abuse cases, that I called in and three other assaults one on s bus (FL) two others in a shelter (CO, TX) I have not asked about but I did call and e-mail the States Attorney. And the State Attorney in New York was probably I appropriate to me in person in 1994.</p> <p>I wrote them about an attorney and they handled my complaint inappropriately. Told me to "...speak to a judge..." and I did. They refuse to be appropriate -? their own drug use, alcohol , mental illness, and their DOH, IP called me a prostitute, and drug user in person.</p> <p>I have tried to be very nice and very understanding. But, I really don't think that either state should be telephone abusing or using a fiber as they tend to identity theft , medically identity theft , with mental health, and probably steal personal information, medical charts(neurology, cardiology, Dr. Grubbs, Dr. Holub) which DOH, IP should have wanted to speak to me about in a meeting.</p> <p>I think they even allow or encourage former patients who I actually met with, as a licensed psychiatrist, to do the same. It sounds like they have one patient even from GWU, my first year in a relative and it sounded like Mrs. Roof, was a former patient from Weil Presbyterian Cornell, who also refuse me a FOIA with several physicians who are intoxicants- and medically identity theft me. I already discussed in criminal court, in 1995, 2003-4, 2006, 2008. I think they think they are playing a " game" -(borderlines in personal, other personal disorders) and I really went to the FBI and was asked to go to the DEA because of their conduct, and lying.</p> <p>And I had to ask the FBI about why Eleanor Bumpers case is so upsetting for established State Attorneys, District Attorneys, and DOH, IP. I didn't know that someone becomes inappropriate around state cases. And paranoid, hysteric and assaultive and would in addition, batter me or my family.</p>			
PR A-2018-01691	Persson (STRTP Licensing Application)	Ingrid	8/20/2018	<p>I am seeking the ShortTerm Residential Therapeutic Program (STRTP) licensing application and all supporting documents submitted by Catholic Charities of the East Bay (CCEB) in support of its plan to open a transitional care home currently referred to as "Claire's House." The supporting documents should include the signatures of all members of the CCEB Board of Directors, including that of Board President, Bishop Michael Barber, the Bishop of the Oakland Diocese of the Roman Catholic Church. It should also include the letter of recommendation from Oakland County.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter shows that the term in your request "STRTP" may refer to Short-Term Residential Therapeutic Program licensing. If this is what you are looking for, you appear to be seeking records from the California Department of Social Services. Information on how to contact the California Department of Social Services can be found on their website at http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#.</p>
PR A-2018-01692	Reddy (Charitable Trusts)	S.Rajasekhar	8/20/2018	<p>IRS FEIN: 237336143, RCT Registration Number:EX577448, Organization No.0893295, Shri Ram Chandra Mission, California. FEIN No.311685031, Sahaj Marg Spirituality Foundation Inc., Texas</p> <p>Sir, Please provide the following information regarding the above organizations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In which date and place the above organizations were registered. 2. Who is the chairman, care taker of the above organizations. 3. The above organizations were found as church or any other religious organizations. 4. What points mentioned in the deed/ memorandum of the above organizations. 5. They were exempted under IRS 509(c)(3) and 170(b)(i)(a)(i), as per the guidelines these sections are belongs to the Churches, but the above organizations are not adopting any works such as 	Completed	Tania M. Ibanes, SAA G	<p>This email is in response to your public records request received on August 17, 2018, for information pertaining to Shri Ram Chandra Mission (California formed organization) and Sahaj Marg Spirituality Foundation Inc. (Texas organization.) You requested the following information for both organizations and please see our responses in red:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In which date and place the above organizations were registered. Neither organization is registered with our office. Because these organizations are religious they are not required to register with the Attorney General's Registry of Charitable Trusts. 2. Who is the chairman, care taker of the above organizations. This office does not have this information. You may want to contact the California Secretary of State and obtain copies of the Statement of Information. Go to http://www.sos.ca.gov/business-programs/business-entities/cbs-search-tips/ Type in C0893295 for records related to Shri Ram Chandra Mission 3. The above organizations were found as church or any other religious organizations. This office was not involved in designating the organizations as churches. The designation may have been made by the Internal Revenue Service and the California Secretary of State for the California organization. One of the organizations was formed in Texas. 4. What points mentioned in the deed/ memorandum of the above organizations. This office was not

				church. 6. Sir, please send the soft/ scanned copies of the above requested information please. 7. Are they mentioned any Head Quarters such as Chennai, India is the Head Quarters? 8. Sir, at the time of initial foundation, the above organizations were registered as church and later they were changed to any other religious, if so please provide the details. 9. The guidestar is saying that the above organizations Cause Area (NTEE Code): Christian (X20) and not required IRS filing because it is a church. 10. The registry verification search tool (https://oag.ca.gov/charities/charity-research-tool#Location:Summary) is also saying that ERI NTEE Code: X20 - Christian. 11. The charity navigator is also saying that (https://www.charitynavigator.org/index.cfm?bay=search.profile&ein=237336143) the above organizations foundation Status: church.			involved in designating the organizations as churches. The designation may have been made by the Internal Revenue Service and the California Secretary of State for the California organization. 5. They were exempted under IRS 509(c)(3) and 170(b)(i)(a)(i), as per the guidelines these sections are belongs to the Churchs, but the above organizations are not adopting any works such as church. Your public record request should be directed to the Internal Revenue Service, the California Secretary of State for Shri Ram Chandra Mission, the Texas Secretary of State for Shaj Marg Spirituality Foundation, Inc. 6. Sir, please send the soft/ scanned copies of the above requested information please. This office has no records for the above mentioned organizations. 7. Are they mentioned any Head Quarters such as Chennai, India is the Head Quarters? This office has no information about the above mentioned organizations. 8. Sir, at the time of initial foundation, the above organizations were registered as church and later they were changed to any other religious, if so please provide the details. This office has no information about the above mentioned organizations. 9. The guidestar is saying that the above organizations Cause Area (NTEE Code): Christian (X20) and not required IRS filing because it is a church. 10. The registry verification search tool (https://oag.ca.gov/charities/charity-research-tool#Location:Summary) is also saying that ERI NTEE Code: X20 - Christian. 11. The charity navigator is also saying that (https://www.charitynavigator.org/index.cfm?bay=search.profile&ein=237336143) the above organizations foundation Status: church. This office was not involved in the designation of the organizations as a church. Your inquiry should be directed to the IRS, The Secretary of State for California and the Texas Secretary of State.	
PR A-2018-01693	Schrader	Charles	8/20/2018	The pending litigation exception you cite in your letter has been interpreted to only apply to documents created after commencement of the litigation. Please provide the requested records dated prior to the filing of the first complaint against Dr. Newton. Emails from Newton's attorney are not your research, analysis, impressions, or conclusions, and are not, in fact, subject to work product exception. I have also researched Evidence Code Section 1040 and see no applicability to my request, given Section 1040(b). Please provide the information requested.		Completed	Lynne K. Dombrowski	We disagree with your conclusion that attorney communications, prior to the filing of the first complaint, may not be protected from disclosure under the attorney work-product exception, California Code Civ. Proc. Section 2018.030 and Gov. Code section 6254, subdivision (k), and/or as privileged official information under California Evidence Code section 1040. Without waiving our claims of privilege from disclosure, however, we inform you that there are no emails, or other communications, between me and Dr. Newton's attorney that are dated prior to the filing of the Accusation on February 14, 2018. Therefore, there are no records that will be provided to you pursuant to your follow-up PRA request.
PR A-2018-01694	Shardlow (CEQA Petitions)	Jonathan	8/20/2018	A copy of any Petition for Writ of Mandate pursuant to CEQA furnished to the Attorney General of the State of California from July 15, 2018 to the present concerning Friends of Riverside's Hills v. City of Riverside (Real Party in Interest Jim Guthrie and Guthrie Companies) (Case No. RIC1814688) Comments: Email response is satisfactory.		Completed	Sally Magani	Attached is the document you requested under the Public Records Act on August 20, 2018.
PR A-2018-01697	Khundkar (California Border Protection Station inspections)	Imran	8/20/2018	1. Any and all communications and/or documents between the California Department of Justice ("DOJ") (or any employee, agent, or representative of the DOJ) and the California Department of Food and Agriculture (or any employee, agent, or representative of the California Department of Food and Agriculture) from January 1, 2018 to August 19, 2018 pertaining to California Border Protection Station inspections and/or questions about ammunition brought into the state.	Michael & Associates	Completed	Public Records	We checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places, and we did not identify any documents responsive to this request.
PR A-2018-01698	Dawson (no records ID)	Ricky	8/20/2018	Elcajon Police Dep Can You Put Me In The Right Place You No The Elcajon Police Keep Harassing Me Im Disable In The Regional Center The Other Day I Just Was Talking To My Friend Out Side My Gate When Police Car Pull Up In Front Of My House And He Tell Me Have		Completed	Erin Nickless	There was no record description to identify which records you are seeking. As there were no records identified in your request, we are unable to provide records that are responsive. If you can provide more information as to which documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the documents that are within the control and custody of DOJ. You appear to be reporting a crime, rather than requesting public records. If this is an emergency,

01 69 8				<p>You Ben Taking Your Meds I Say I Dont Take Meds So He Told Me To Go In The House I Say I Dont Have To Im Going To Wa k My Friend Home To Sunshine Street And Then He Back Up And Put His Over Head Lites On And He Was About To Get Out So I Just Went In Side My House Another Time I Was Just Take A Walk And Then I Was All Most Home When A Cop Told Me To Sit Down I Say I Cant I Have A Bad Back And Knees So He Slam Me To The Ground And He Hurt My Right Shoulder And My Back Start To Hurt So Bad I Say What Was That All About He Say I Was Looking In Some One Window I Say Lets</p> <p>Go Back To The House Where You Say I Was Looking In The Window And Then He Say Just Go Home If I Was A Threat I Was I Not Hand Cuff Another Time A Girl Cut Me In The Face With A knife Why The Elcajon Police Did Not Due Any Thing About It At All Not Even Take A Report And Not Even Take The Four Witness Report The Police Just Left L ke Nothing Never Happen And Then The Same Girl That Cut Me In The Face Try To Cut Me 15 Mins Later Why When People Was Calling 911 The Police Took 30 mins To Come With Only One Police Car I Want This Case To Be living By Some The Elcajon Police Is All Ways Harassing Me Why A No the Time They Took Me To Jail And The Put Me In The Hole And Took All My Clothes Off The Charge Was Pc.647f. When I Dont Even Drink At All That Charge Is Being Drunk And I Dont Drink Liquor Or Beer And Left Me In Jail For 10 Hours And Let Me Out And Say Don't Worry About It You Dont Have To Go To Court Its No Charges So I L ke To No Why Was I Put In Jail Then You No The Pc236.1 Case Is In Elcajon I Think The Elcajon Police Has Something To Due With It You No The Girl That Cut Me In The Face With A Knife She Is In Involved In This Sex Pc.236.1 Case Jennifer K. Wanner Dob. June 11-1982 And The Other Girl Is Kirstie Ann. Mccoy Dob. 8-22-80 You Ok One More Thing Another Time I Was At Wanner House When She Hit Me In The Head With A Metal Clamp In The Right Temple And All Most Kill Me I Could Not Breathe Very Good And She Hit Me In The Right Arm With A Bat And Put My Cell Phone In A Big Cup Of Water So I Could Not Call No One But I Got A Hold To Hear Home Phone And Call 911 Why Did No Police Show Up At All So I Left And Went To The 711 Store Right Across The Street And Call Elcajon Police From The Store They Came And I Told Them What Happen They Told Me Just Go Home For To Night They Did Not Due Any Thing A Gain Not Even Make No Contact To The Girl Just All Most Kill Me Four The 3 Time At All. Some One Must Tell Me Was Is Going On With This I Want A Phone Call Or Something. [REDACTED] Cell Home [REDACTED] .</p>			<p>please dial 9-11 or your local emergency line for assistance. The Public Records Unit is unable to process crime reports. If you wish to report a crime in your area, please contact your local law enforcement agency, such as city police department or sheriff's department. Additional information on how to report a crime to our office or another law enforcement agency can be found at https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime.</p> <p>If you have a complaint against a police officer or sheriff's deputy, you should first contact the local law enforcement agency. Each law enforcement agency in the state is required by Penal Code section 832.5 to establish a procedure to investigate citizens' complaints. You can obtain a written description of the procedures from the law enforcement agency. If unable to resolve your complaint with the law enforcement agency, contact the district attorney's office or the grand jury in the county that has jurisdiction. If your complaint involves alleged criminal misconduct and the local agencies do not act upon it, you may write to the Attorney General's Office. To be acted upon, your complaint must contain specifics on the alleged criminal misconduct.</p>
PR A- 20 18 - 01 69 9	Levine	Davi d	8/2 1/2 01 8	<p>I need all records. Pertaining my biological Father Frank Morris Levine derippeteau. Dob [REDACTED]. Los Angeles California. And any info on how I can obtain criminal records of his.</p>	Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p>

							<p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-01700	Combs (PC sec 30900(b))	Bran don (Reg of Assault Wea pon)	8/21/2018	<p>BACKGROUND</p> <p>In 2016, Senate Bill 880 and Assembly Bill 1135 expanded the definition of "assault weapons" and created new statutory mandates for gun owners and the California Department of Justice (DOJ) alike. Penal Code section 30900(b)(1) (as amended by AB 103 in 2017) required gun owners who possess subject weapons to "register the firearm before July 1, 2018,with the [DOJ]." Penal Code section 30900(b)(2) required that DOJ create "a public-facing application" so that gun owners' "assault weapon" registrations could "be submitted electronically via the Internet." Possession or transportations of an "unregistered" assault weapon" is a crime that can result in imprisonment.</p> <p>RECORDS REQUESTED</p> <p>Please provide us with a copy of the following information and records in existence as of the date of this request:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The number of persons who submitted applications for firearm registration as an "assault weapon" under Penal Code section 30900(b). In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications as a full response to this request. 2. The number of applications for firearm registration as an "assault weapon" under Penal Code section 30900(b) that were submitted. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications as a full response to this request. 3. The number of applications for firearm registration as an "assault weapon" under Penal Code section 30900(b) that have been approved. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications that have been approved as a full response to this request. 4. The number of applications for firearm registration as an "assault weapon" under Penal Code section 30900(b) that have been rejected. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications that have been rejected as a full response to this request. 5. The number of applications for firearm registration as an "assault weapon" under Penal Code section 30900(b) that have been denied. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications that have been denied as a full response to this request. 6. The number of applications for firearm registration as an "Assault Weapon" under Penal Code section 30900(b) submitted from July 31, 2017, to the present that are still being processed. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications still being processed as a full response to this request. 7. All records and information about documents that the DOJ provides persons with registration applications that are denied or rejected, such as but not limited to appeals, corrections, re-applications, and other forms of relief or remedy. 8. All records and information about the number of "bullet button assault weapon" registration applications that were entered into the registration system but not paid for (i.e., the registrations were left 'in the shopping cart'). In lieu of documents I will accept your 	Co mpl ete d	Publ ic Rec ords	<p>On September 19, 2018, our office responded: On August 31, 2018, the Department sent you a letter extending its time to respond.</p> <p>As a preliminary matter, we are interpreting your request to be a request for information regarding applications to register assault weapons that (a) are for firearms that were added to the type of weapons considered assault weapons with the passage of Senate Bill No. 880 (2015-2016 Reg. Sees.) and Assembly Bill No. 1135 (2015-2016 Reg. Sess.), (b) were submitted to the Department of Justice through its online California Firearms Application Reporting System, and (c) were submitted between August 3, 2017, and June 30, 2018.</p> <p>In response to item 1 of your request, according to information the Department had collected, compiled, and sorted as of September 4, 2018, a total of 27,876 applicants submitted applications for firearm registration as an "assault weapon" under Penal Code section 30900, subdivision (b), between August 3, 2017, and June 30, 2018.</p> <p>In response to item 2 of your request, according to information the Department had collected, compiled, and sorted as of September 4, 2018, a total of 68,969 applications for firearm registration as an "assault weapon" under Penal Code section 30900, subdivision (b), were submitted between August 3, 2017, and June 30, 2018.</p> <p>In response to item 3 of your request, according to information the Department had collected, compiled, and sorted as of September 4, 2018, a total of 25,035 applications for firearm registration as an "assault weapon" under Penal Code section 30900, subdivision (b), had been approved as of that date.</p> <p>In response to items 4 and 5 of your request, the Department does not track separately denials and rejections of applications. According to information the Department had collected, compiled, and sorted as of September 4, 2018, a total of 1,525 applications for firearm registration as an "assault weapon" under Penal Code section 30900, subdivision (b), had been rejected and/or denied as of that date.</p> <p>In response to item 6 of your request, according to information the Department had collected, compiled, and sorted as of September 4, 2018, a total of 42,409 applications for firearm registration as an "assault weapon" under Penal Code section 30900(b), which were submitted between August 3, 2017, and June 30, 2018, were still being processed as of September 4, 2018.</p> <p>In response to item 7 of your request, enclosed is the list of Assault Weapon Registration CRIS "Incomplete" or "Rejected" Application Template Responses. No further responsive records were identified.</p> <p>In response to item 8 of your request, according to information the Department had collected, compiled, and sorted as of September 4, 2018, a total of 4,011 bullet button assault weapon registration applicants were entered into the California Firearms Application Reporting System between August 3, 2017, and June 30, 2018, but not paid for.</p> <p>In response to item 9 of your request, according to information the Department had collected, compiled, and sorted as of September 4, 2018, a total of 4,978 users of the bullet button assault weapon registration system paid more than one fee to register their assault weapon(s) between August 3, 2017, and June 30, 2018, but not paid for.</p> <p>In response to item 10 of your request, the Department does not have any documents that specifically address the general use of Department personnel, for the purposes of inspecting or taking possession of firearms that were the subject of a "bullet button assault weapon" registration application. Additionally, if the Department were to find responsive records that address a specific incident of Department personnel being involved in inspecting or taking the possession of such firearms, those records would be exempt from disclosure as "[r]ecords of ... investigations conducted by ... the office of the Attorney General." (§ 6254, subd. (±).) Finally, to the extent you seek to identify the personnel who would be involved in a possible inspection or taking of a firearm that was the subject of a "bullet button assault weapon" registration, those personnel would most likely be special agents and special agent supervisors involved in inspections and taking possession as part of their overall duties within the Department, and the Department does not disclose the names of its special agents and special agent supervisors. The regular duties of the Department's special agents and special agent supervisors include operating in covert and overt criminal investigations. The Department's concern in preserving the safety and efficacy of our agents during their undercover assignments clearly outweighs</p>

				<p>calculation of the number of such applications as a full response to this request.</p> <p>9. All records and information about the number of "bullet button assault weapon" registration system users that paid more than one fee. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of such users as a full response to this request.</p> <p>10. All records and information about the use of DOJ personnel, including but not limited to non-sworn staff and sworn officers, for the purposes of inspecting or taking possession of firearms that were the subject of a "bullet button assault weapon" registration application.</p>			<p>the public's general interest in the disclosure of their names. (Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>As to your request that we confirm that we have placed a litigation hold on responsive records, please be advised that the Department, under its ordinary course of business, places litigation holds as necessary to preserve records and information in pending or anticipated litigation.</p> <p>This completes our response to your request.</p> <p>On August 31, 2018, the Department sent an extension letter.</p>
PR A-2018-01701	Combs (muzzle devices)	Brandon	8/21/2018	<p>BACKGROUND</p> <p>In 2016, Senate Bill 880 and Assembly Bill 1135 expanded the definition of "assault weapons" and created new statutory mandates for gun owners and the California Department of Justice (DOJ) alike. Penal Code section 30900(b)(1) (as amended by AB 103 in 2017) required gun owners who possess subject weapons to "register the firearm before July 1, 2018,with the [DOJ]." Penal Code section 30900(b)(2) required that DOJ create "a public-facing application" so that gun owners' "assault weapon" registrations could "be submitted electronically via the Internet." Possession or transportations of an unregistered "assault weapon" is a crime that can be punished with imprisonment. California's "Assault Weapons Control Act" includes definitions of "assault weapon" that depend on the existence of specified characteristics. See generally Cal. Penal Code § 30515. Muzzle devices are those things that can be attached to the end of a firearm barrel (where a projectile would exit the barrel) using threads, lugs, friction, or some other mechanical means. A "flash suppressor" -- see Penal Code §§ 30515(a)(1), 30515(a)(4) -- is one type of muzzle device.</p> <p>RECORDS REQUESTED</p> <p>Please provide us with a copy of the following information and records in existence as of the date of this request:</p> <p>1. For the period of January 1, 2017, to the present, all public records and information about firearm muzzle devices, including but not limited to those records that contain one or more of the terms "flash suppressor", "flash hider", "brake", "muzzle brake", or "compensator".</p> <p>2. For the period of January 1, 2017, to the present, all public records and information about the muzzle device that comes standard (i.e., from the factory) on the Sig Sauer MPX Carbine long gun (carbine).</p> <p>3. All public records and information about the DOJ's "Assault Weapons Identification Guide" that is "Currently Under Revision". See generally https://oag.ca.gov/firearms.</p> <p>4. All public records and information about firearms that were seized, inspected, or tested by the DOJ due in full or part to the existence of a "flash suppressor" or a "threaded barrel, capable of accepting a flash suppressor, forward handgrip, or silencer".</p> <p>Note that we do not seek "centralized list" of firearm registration records. We do not seek duplicate records.</p>	Assigned	Nancy Doig	<p>On October 31, 2018, Public Records responded as follows: On August 30, 2018, we extended our time to respond to your request.</p> <p>We have checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places for documents responsive to your request and now provide the following responses:</p> <p>Response to Item No. 1</p> <p>As a preliminary matter some of the records we identified in response to this request are exempt from disclosure under the deliberative process privilege. The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>The records we identified as responsive to this request that are not protected by the deliberative process privilege are included in the pdf attached to the email transmitting this letter.</p> <p>Response to Item No. 2</p> <p>The one record we identified as responsive to this request is included in the pdf attached to the email transmitting this letter.</p> <p>Response to Item No. 3</p> <p>The records we identified as responsive to this request are included in the pdf attached to the email transmitting this letter.</p> <p>Response to Item No. 4</p> <p>We did not find any records that are responsive to this item.</p> <p>Response to Request for Confirmation of a Litigation Hold</p> <p>As to your request that we confirm that we have placed a litigation hold on responsive records, please be advised that the Department, under its ordinary course of business, places litigation holds as it deems it necessary to preserve records and information in pending or anticipated litigation.</p> <p>Enclosed please find copies of the requested records.</p> <p>An extension was sent August 31, 2018.</p>
PR A-2018-01	St John	Joshua	8/21/2018	<p>AGENCY: YCSD</p> <p>OFFICER: ZEPEDA, S.</p> <p>REPORT#: 18-2650</p> <p>CAR WAS BROKEN INTO AND GOVERNMENT ISSUED LAPTOP (MILITARY) WAS STOLEN ALONG WITH MEDICATIONS</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>

703							
PR A-2018-01704	Trejo (personal records)	Marcos	8/21/2018	All Public Records: I would like to request my records. I am seeking employment as a music teacher and I would like to know if there is any incorrect information. Would you be able to help me with that?	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-01705	Blonien (Gambling license)	Jarrett	8/21/2018	I respectfully request all initial State Gambling license applications (BGC-030) submitted from October 4, 2017 through present, currently pending processing with the Bureau of Gambling Control.	Completed	Rian Kopchak	Your request seeks certain license applications. Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (b), provides that the Department "shall maintain a file of all applications for licenses" and that the file is "open to public inspection." Accordingly, the initial applications for a state gambling license listed on Exhibit 1 are included with this response. Personal information has been redacted in accordance with the Information Practices Act of 1977 (Civ. Code, § 1798 et seq.).
PR A-2018-01706	Cox (990s)	Jill	8/21/2018	<p>I would like to request digital copies of all 990s available for the following organizations:</p> <p>The Master's University and Seminary 21726 Placerita Canyon Rd Santa Clarita, CA 91321 www.masters.edu; <http://www.masters.edu> www.tms.edu<http://www.tms.edu> EIN: 95-6001907</p> <p>Grace to You 28001 Harrison Pkwy Valencia, CA 91355 www.gty.org<http://www.gty.org> Also Known As: GTY, Gracia a Vosotros EIN: 95-3846510</p> <p>Grace Community Church 13248 Roscoe Blvd Sun Valley, CA 91352 gracecommunity.org EIN: 95-6006357</p> <p>Masters Academy International Inc 24307 Magic Mountain Pkwy 540 Valencia, CA 91355 www.tmainternational.org<http://www.tmainternational.org> EIN: 52-2367676</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>This is in response to your public records request dated August 21, 2018. You requested digital copies of all IRS Form 990s available for The Master's University and Seminary, Grace to You and Grace Community Church. This office does not have any IRS form 990s for these organizations as they are exempt as religious organizations and are not required to register and report to the Attorney General's Registry of Charitable Trusts. You also requested IRS 990 Forms for Masters Academy International, Inc. those records can be downloaded from our website. Please follow these instructions:</p> <p>Go to oag.ca.gov/charities Under Resources & Tools, click on Registry Verification Search Type in the FEIN number 522367676 Click search Click on the organization's name Scroll down to the bottom until you get to Related Documents Click on each record you are interested in viewing.</p>

PR A-2018-01707	Swager (vital records)	Debra	8/21/2018	Divorce Case Number and Date for Eric Swager and Debra June Swager. I believe it was in [REDACTED]	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A-2018-01708	Haney (LA County records)	James	8/21/2018	All documents relating to the outcome of a formal complaint filed on 2/22/2018, case # 2018-13888 with the executive office, Los Angeles county Board of Supervisors. A formal complaint was filed by an association of pilots from the San Gabriel Valley Airport, alleging multiple violations of FAA regulations practiced by the county's division of aviation, including but not limited to: 1. Fraudulent FAA money application answers; 2. Conflict of interest of one commissioner appointment; 3. Improper treatment of the airport management company as "leaseholder" not "managing agent" (this caused the improper tripling of taxes paid by airport tenants as a result of the assessor illegally reassessing the property). Our association requested clearly a formal complaint process, yet the County of LA turned it into a whistleblower complaint, and as such refuses to answer to the allegations in the complaint.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request seeks records from the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records submitted to or generated by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PR A-2018-01709	Espino Wydler (3rd Party - CH Request)	Lourdes	8/21/2018	Ref: Montes v. Florida International University, Center for Children and Families, et al. Our law firm represents Defendant, Florida International University, Center for Children and Families in the above-captioned civil litigation matter. The following is our law firm's Public Records Request. This request specifically seeks the complete criminal background check for the following individuals: 1. Alfredo Jesus Montes (DOB: [REDACTED], W/M) 2. Juana Esmeralda Montes (DOB: [REDACTED], W/F)	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code (PC) section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. (Also see PC section 11105.) An exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. Note, court information through the appropriate county superior court is public record. If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review . The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PR A-2018-01738	Ortiz (compliance plan)	Diego	8/21/2018	September 12, 2018: Executive Summary Section: Operators must submit an overall program summary highlighting their major efforts to reduce methane emissions, estimated incremental costs where known, and any significant changes from their previous Compliance Plan. This Section should summarize the total anticipated emission reductions from the proposed practice projected for the two-year compliance period and, if possible though the year 2030. The executive summary must be signed by the corporate officer responsible for gas operations. September 11 request: RASA Compliance Plan Template September 6 request: I need those records right now stop ignoring my e-mails August 21 request: Compliance plans documents This is my second attempt to obtain public records from you please respond ASAP!	Completed	Erin Nickless	September 12, 2018 response: Based on the information provided, you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. Therefore, we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter led us to the following web page: https://view.officeapps.live.com/op/view.aspx?src=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.cpuc.ca.gov%2FuploadedFiles%2FCPUC_Website%2FContent%2FSafety%2FRisk_Assessment%2FMethane_Leaks%2FCompliance%2520Plan%2520Guidance%2520Document%2520%25209_15_17.docx Since you are seeking records related to the Public Utilities Commission, would need to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at http://cpuc.ca.gov/ . September 12, 2018 response: Can you please clarify what "RASA" stands for? We were unable to locate records using the abbreviation as a search term. September 6, 2018 response: We did not ignore your e-mails, as demonstrated by the attached three (3) responses and your requests. We requested additional information in those emails. Please explain what you mean by "compliance plan." If you can identify who is supposed to comply with what, we may be able to assist you. As it is currently phrased, we must deny your request as you fail to identify a

							<p>record. Please provide more information regarding the documents you seek.</p> <p>August 24, 2018 response: We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records based on your description. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, such as a case name, case number, or what this matter pertains to, please provide that to us. It is unclear what you mean by the term "compliance plan." Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-01755	Pitt (BAC results)	Katie	8/21/2018	<p>The Respiratory Care Board of California (Board) is a state licensing agency with regulatory jurisdiction over respiratory care practitioners. The Board is responsible for enforcement of the Respiratory Care Practice Act (Business and Professions Code Section 3700 et seq.) As an agency tasked with investigating its applicants and licensees, the Board is authorized to receive, from local or state agencies, certified records of all arrests and convictions, certified records regarding probation, and any and all other related documentation needed to complete an applicant or licensee investigation (Business and Professions Code section 144.5).</p> <p>The information requested is required as part of a formal Board investigation regarding the qualifications of a licensee or applicant for licensure to maintain a license. The Board shall maintain in confidence any personal information received in accordance with the Information Practices Act (Civil Code Section 1798 et seq.) and all other applicable law.</p> <p>Please forward a CERTIFIED copy of the blood test results for the following case: Name: Chanelle Jones DOB: [REDACTED] CII: [REDACTED] Incident Date: 02/13/2018 Arresting Agency: CHP - Modesto Charges: DUI - VC 23152(f) Please forward a CERTIFIED copy of the report to the address listed above.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have consulted with knowledgeable divisions. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Our research into the matter shows that the records you seek may be in the custody or control of a local or another state agency. We suggest that you direct your request to the California Highway Patrol. Information on how to contact their office can be found on their website at https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us.</p>
PR A-2018-01797	McCutcheon	John	8/21/2018	<p>State Mandated requirements for Spousal Abuse claims or investigation requirements.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter shows that the records you are seeking may be available through the local District Attorney's Office. If so, you would need to direct your request to their office.</p>

PR A-2018-01710	Salahat (personal records)	Mohammad	8/22/018	To see what I have on the record, and as well public information record	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-01711	Escobosa	Jose	8/22/018	The case number is under Charles Victor 304069 Any info on this case would be useful as I believe I am involved in this case	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p>
PR A-2018-01714	Price (vital records)	Jamie	8/22/018	NOD 15852 I would like to get a copy of my divorce record please	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p>
PR A-2018-01715	Braxton (Personal Firearms Records)	Michael	8/22/018	<p>Yesterday, August 21, 2018, I submitted a request to the California Department of Justice, to the following email address: firearms.bureau@doj.ca.gov<mailto:firearms.bureau@doj.ca.gov></p> <p>I have not received a response to my email concerning the process for requesting such records. As a result, I am now making this request through your Public Records Request portal. The following is the nature of my request: This records request pertains to a request for archived/ historical firearms registration data concerning me, from the California Department of Justice, concerning a firearm that I had previously owned, which was later lawfully sold to a lawful gun shop, and was later sold by the same gun shop to a third party. I am requesting the documentation concerning the lawful transfer of firearm registration from my name to a gun shop located in Lancaster California, on Sierra Highway.</p> <p>I am a pro se litigant and that this information is needed as part of my legal litigation. I currently have been addressing administrative remedies in the federal court system as part of civil litigation and I need to request archived/ historical documentation from your</p>	Completed	Public Records	<p>It is not entirely clear if you are seeking records reflecting the transfer of the gun from you to the gun shop, or records reflecting the subsequent sale of the gun by the gun shop to a third party. In either event, if the DOJ has records with the information you seek, those records would be kept as part of the Attorney General's duty under Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(l), to keep certain records that will "assist in the investigation of crime, the prosecution of civil actions by city attorneys ... the arrest and prosecution of criminals, and the recovery of lost, stolen, or found property." The categories of information kept by DOJ pursuant to Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(l), include records of firearms purchases from and sales to private individuals made by licensed firearms dealers. (See id. at subd. (a)(l)(D) & (E); see also Pen. Code, §§ 29605 & 27525, subd. (a).) Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(2), limits the disclosure of the information DOJ collects under Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(l), by specifying to whom the Department can provide that information. The information can be provided "upon proper application therefor ... to the officers referred to in [Penal Code section] 11105." Members of the public making California Public Records Act requests are not identified on the list of officers in Penal Code section 11105. As such, we cannot provide you with the records you seek pursuant to a California Public Records Act request. (See also Cal. Const., art. I, § 1 [protecting California citizen's right to privacy].)</p> <p>If the information you seek is, as you say, about firearms for which you are listed as the purchaser, owner, or assault weapon registrant, to the extent such information is in the possession of the DOJ, you might be able to obtain it by submitting a notarized Request for Firearms Information pursuant to the Information Practices Act. (See Cal. Civ. Code, § 1798, et. seq.) For your convenience, a copy of</p>

agency concerning the last date of record, along with the related firearms registration information pertaining to the last firearm registered in my name, Michael R Braxton II, Social Security Number [REDACTED].

I am hereby stating for the record that I do not own any firearms. I do not possess any firearms. I am not in the vicinity of any firearms. I have no intentions on ever owing or possessing any firearms. This request is not any attempt to restore any firearms rights.

This request is for civil legal purposes. I am a law abiding citizen that is making this request based upon civil matters and other related matters which the nature of such matters is protected under State and Federal Statutes governing Attorney Work Product and Attorney Privileged Information. In this regard, I am my own Attorney, operating on my own behalf, in accordance with the United States Constitution and the California Constitution, in addition to legal precedents and case laws involving pro se litigants in civil matters.

What I am specifically requesting from your agency pertains to archived/ prior/ historical firearms registration information dating back to the 2000-2001 time period, which was the last time I owned a firearm. I am requesting this information for legal purposes.

I was informed by the California DOJ person that I spoke to earlier that I needed to contact your agency via this email address, in order to obtain the procedures for making the request I have summarized in the first paragraph of this email.

Please consider this request a legal request and please inform me of what steps I need to take in order to have this legal request granted. Thank you for your time and consideration!

Yesterday, August 21, 2018, I submitted the following request, via email, to
firearms.bureau@doj.ca.gov<mailto:firearms.bureau@doj.ca.gov>;
Date: August 21, 2018
To Whom this may concern:
Today, at approximately 2:54 pm, I spoke with a representative from the California Department of Justice regarding how to request archived/ historical firearms registration data concerning me, from the California Department of Justice, concerning a firearm that I had previously owned, which was later lawfully sold to a lawful gun shop, and was later sold by the same gun shop to a third party.

I informed the DOJ representative that I am a pro se litigant and that this information is needed as part of my legal litigation. I currently have been addressing administrative remedies in the federal court system as part of civil litigation and I need to request archived/ historical documentation from your agency concerning the last date of record, along with the related firearms registration information pertaining to the last firearm registered in my name, Michael R Braxton II, Social Security Number [REDACTED]

I am hereby stating for the record that I do not own any firearms. I do not possess any firearms. I am not in the vicinity of any firearms. I have no intentions on ever owing or possessing any firearms. This request is not any attempt to restore any firearms rights. This request is for civil legal purposes. I am a law abiding citizen that is making this request based upon civil matters and other related matters which the nature of such matters is protected under State and Federal Statutes governing Attorney Work Product and Attorney Privileged Information. In this regard, I

the form you would use to make a request for personal information is included with this letter. For more information regarding information you can obtain through a request for personal information, please see the Bureau of Firearms website, FAQs, Public, FAQ no. 27. (Available at <https://joag.ca.gov/firearms/pubfaqs>.)

				am my own Attorney, operating on my own behalf, in accordance with the United States Constitution and the California Constitution, in addition to legal precedents and case laws involving pro se litigants in civil matters. What I am specifically requesting from your agency pertains to archived/ prior/ historical firearms registration information dating back to the 2000-2001 time period, which was the last time I owned a firearm. I am requesting this information for legal purposes. I was informed by the California DOJ person that I spoke to earlier that I needed to contact your agency via this email address, in order to obtain the procedures for making the request I have summarized in the first paragraph of this email. Please consider this request a legal request and please inform me of what steps I need to take in order to have this legal request granted. Thank you for your time and consideration!				
PR A-2018-01716	Webb (paternity acknowledgment)	Jessica	8/22/2018	Paternity acknowledgement I need to get the paternal acknowledgement of my daughter Autumn Isabella Feury born on [REDACTED] in Santa Clara county, CA. I need this as soon as possible to continue with my child support against Mr. Feury. The father's information if needed is Shane Feury born [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter shows that the records you are seeking may be available from the California Department of Public Health. Information on how to submit a records request to their office can be found on their website at https://cdph.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/%28S%28c0dxnq1blr41lvabw0tqmko4%29%29/supporthome.aspx .
PR A-2018-01717	Albizu-Rivera (case records)	Iris	8/22/2018	CA-24334015 Antonia Zaragoza 02/26/2008 (see attached doc) Please provide copy of all record regarding the case above. Attached copy of FBI Records	Albizu Law	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code (PC) section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. (Also see PC section 11105.) An exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. Note, court information through the appropriate county superior court is public record. For additional information and to request your own record, the following is the Record Review website: https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review . If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PR A-2018-01718	Miniatis (CH, client records)	Judith	8/22/2018	September 4, 2018 message: Do you have an estimate on the page count so we can calculate the \$0.10 per page? August 22, 2018 request: Under the Freedom of Information Act/California Public Records Act, we request the documents described herein as maintained by the California Department of Justice concerning Gilbert Arias a/k/a Gilbert Arias, Jr. This request is being made in response to a civil action brought by Mr. Arias against Transcor America, LLC and its employees alleging violation of his constitutional rights during transport from Mississippi to Arizona while in the custody of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation ("CDCR"). Enclosed, please find a copy of Mr. Arias's signed authorization for the Release of Records, authorizing the release of all law enforcement records maintained by your agency, including but not limited to: criminal history summaries, RAP sheets, complaints, arrest and investigative reports, booking sheets, photographs or other department information or reports gathered,		Completed	Irene Chavez	October 4, 2018 response from AWT: Attached, please find the documents that were found in our files that you requested. Please review the documents, and contact me at (213) 269-6186 should you have any questions. If not, I will consider this matter closed. September 4, 2018 response from AWT: As per your records request, I have located the documents that we previously discussed and that are included in our file for the appeal in People v. Gilbert Jr. Arias, LASC Case No. KA080148. The cost of the requested records is \$17.10, at 10 cents per page. Please make the check or money order payable to: Department of Justice. Upon receipt of payment, we will forward you the requested records. August 31, 2018 response from CJIS: Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code (PC) section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. (Also see PC section 11105.) An exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal

				compiled or assembled following any arrest, including any supplement reports, whether a complainant, victim, or subject of the report. We request these records be completely and clearly reproduced and forwarded to us as soon as possible. To the extent these records exist, whether in hard copy of electronic format, we prefer that they be provided in PDF format and delivered electronically. If it is not possible to convert the information to electronic format, please provide a hard copy and mail them to my attention. While we understand that certain confidential and exempt information may be redacted or withheld, we request that you release all segregable portions of otherwise exempt material. If this request is denied in whole or in part, please provide an explanation by reference to specific exemptions of the Acts.			history information to review for accuracy and completeness. Note, court information through the appropriate county superior court is public record. For additional information and to request your own record, the following is the Record Review website: https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review . If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PR A-2018-01719	Gibbons (Immigration records - ICE)	Darin	8/22/2018	In accordance with the California Public Records Act, I am requesting to obtain copies of the following public records: The records I request can be described as follows: All records, emails, attachments, data, training manuals, and presentations that were sent to or received from the Federal Immigration and Customs Enforcement Agency ("ICE") and Former California Attorney General Kamala Harris' s office including, but not limited to Kamala Harris and her representatives between 2010 and 2016. When searching for records, please include, but do not limit to the following terms and variations thereof: "undocumented immigrant," "illegal immigrant," "illegal alien," "ERO," "raid," "sweep," "deportation," "removal," "unaccompanied," "children," "undocumented worker," "anchor," or "DREAM Act." When searching for records, please include in your search, but do not limit to records sent or received from internet domains that include "@dhs.gov" "dhs.ice.gov" or "@ice.gov". The timeframe of this request January 3, 2011 through January 3, 2017	Completed	Maureen Onyeagbako	On September 4, 2018, Maureen Onyeagbako sent a response letter: On September 4, 2018, because your request required coordination with different sections in the department that have responsibility for the requested records, we extended the period to provide our response. For the reasons set forth below, we are unable to respond to your request for records as it is currently cast: As a preliminary matter, your request is ambiguous. It provides two different timeframes i.e., 2010 through 2016, and January 3, 2011 through January 3, 2017. Kamala D. Harris did not take office as California Attorney General until January 2011. As a result, we do not have any 2010 records responsive to your request. With respect to the other years, to the extent your request seeks records "sent to or received from" ICE and Attorney General Harris, we have not located any responsive records. Additionally, we did not locate any email with the internet email domains "dhs.ice.gov" and "@ice.gov." In the course of reviewing documents, however, we noticed that there are potentially responsive documents for the email domains "ice.dhs.gov." If you intended for this domain to be included in your request, please let us know. Finally, your request is overbroad. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond and prevent unnecessary litigation. (See Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) Although your request includes 14 search terms, you also assert that your request is not limited to these terms, but rather seeks all records "sent to or received from" ICE over a period of six years. Further, your request for records "sent or received from" the domain "@dhs.gov" is overbroad. That domain is associated with the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, an umbrella organization for multiple agencies, including ICE. We cannot reasonably identify and isolate records "sent or received from" ICE by relying on the "@dhs.gov" domain or the search terms you provided. For these reasons, we must deny your request at this time. We are willing to consult with you to determine if the request can be clarified to generate responsive records. (Gov. Code, section 6253.1.) If you wish to discuss this matter further, please contact me at 916-210-7324 or PublicRecords@doj.ca.gov. On September 4, 2018, Maureen Onyeagbako sent an extension letter.
PR A-2018-01720	Gibbons (Immigration records - DHS)	Darin	8/22/2018	In accordance with the California Public Records Act, I am requesting to obtain copies of the following public records: The records I request can be described as follows: All records, emails, attachments, data, training manuals, and presentations that were sent to or received from the Department Of Homeland Security ("DHS") and Former California Attorney General Kamala Harris' s office including, but not limited to Kamala Harris and her representatives between 2010 and 2016. When searching for records, please include, but do not limit to the following terms and variations thereof: "undocumented immigrant," "illegal immigrant," "illegal alien," "ERO," "raid," "sweep," "deportation," "removal," "unaccompanied," "children," "undocumented worker," "anchor," or "DREAM Act." When searching for records, please include in your search, but do not limit to records sent or received from internet domains that include	Assigned	Maureen Onyeagbako	On September 21, 2018, Maureen Onyeagbako sent a rolling production letter: On September 4, 2018, because your request required coordination with different sections in the department that have responsibility for the requested records, we extended the period to provide our response. As a preliminary matter, your request is ambiguous. It provides two different timeframes i.e., 2010 through 2016, and January 3, 2011 through January 3, 2017. Kamala D. Harris did not take office as California Attorney General until January 2011. As a result, we do not have any 2010 records responsive to your request. We have located documents responsive to other aspects of your request, and we intend to produce, in whole or in part, those that are not exempt from disclosure. However, the request is extremely broad, and we anticipate needing to narrow the request or modify the search terms to ensure a reasonable and timely response. For this reason, we anticipate producing documents on a rolling basis. As we continue to review the records we have located, we will redact or withhold any exempt or privileged information or communications. We will not produce any records or portions of records that fall under the following exemptions:

"@dhs.gov" "dhs.ice.gov"or"@ice.gov".
The timeframe of this request January 3, 2011 through January 3, 2017

The Attorney-Client Privilege

The PRA exempts the following from disclosure: "Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law, including, but not limited to, provisions of the Evidence Code relating to privilege." (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); *Roberts v. City of Palmdale* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370; see also *Evid. Code*, § 954.) The attorney-client privilege is absolute and disclosure may not be compelled, regardless of relevance, necessity, or any particular circumstances in a given case. (*Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Superior Court* (2009) 47 Cal.4th 725, 732; see also *Palmer v. Superior Court* (2014) 231 Cal.App.4th 1214, 1227.)

"Although exercise of the privilege may occasionally result in the suppression of relevant evidence, the Legislature of this state has determined that these concerns are outweighed by the importance of preserving confidentiality in the attorney-client relationship." (*Mitchell v. Superior Court* (1984) 37 Cal.3d 591, 599; see also *Kerner v. Superior Court* (2012) 206 Cal.App.4th 84, 111.) The attorney-client privilege protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, as well as communications made to others in advancement of the attorney-client relationship. (*Barber v. Municipal Court* (1979) 24 Cal.3d 742, 753-754; *STI Outdoor v. Superior Court* (2001) 91 Cal.App.4th 334, 340-341.) Documents are exempt from disclosure under the PRA pursuant to the attorney-client privilege under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), which incorporates confidentiality provisions contained in other laws. (*County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court* (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)

The Attorney Work Product Doctrine

The attorney work product doctrine protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories, which is maintained as confidential. (*Code Civ. Proc.*, § 2018.030.) The attorney work product doctrine creates a qualified privilege against discovery of general work product, and an absolute privilege against disclosure of writings containing the attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal theories. (*County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court*, supra, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 833, quoting *BP Alaska Exploration, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1988) 199 Cal.App.3d 1240, 1250; see also *Caito v. Superior Court* (2012) 54 Cal.4th 480, 488.) Documents are exempt from disclosure under the PRA pursuant to the attorney work product doctrine under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), which incorporates confidentiality provisions contained in other laws. (*County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court*, supra, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 833.)

There is no waiver of the privilege when attorney work product is provided to a party with a common interest who has an interest in maintaining the confidentiality of a significant part of the work product when the parties have a reasonable expectation that the work product will remain confidential, and the disclosure is "reasonably necessary for the accomplishment of the purpose for which the lawyer was consulted" as set forth in Evidence Code section 912, subdivision (d). (*OXY Resources California LLC v. Superior Court* (2004) 115 Cal.App.4th 874, 890-891.)

The Deliberative Process Privilege

The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision-making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency, and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. (*Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court* (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325, 1342-1343, 1346.) Any documents containing statutory interpretation, analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal would be covered by this privilege. Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected from disclosure pursuant to a PRA request through application of Government Code section 6255. If the public interest in nondisclosure clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure, the deliberative process privilege applies. (*California First Amendment Coalition v. Superior Court* (1998) 67 Cal.App.4th 159, 172-173.)

The Pending Litigation Exemption

Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (*Gov. Code*, § 6254, subd. (b).) The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption, but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (*County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court*, supra, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 831; *Fairley v. Superior Court* (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.) The pending litigation exemption also does not duplicate the attorney-client privilege because it exempts "litigation records generally," and not only those documents that are privileged.

(*Fairley v. Superior Court*, supra, 66 Cal.App.4th at p. 1422 fn. 5.)

As mentioned, we are continuing to review potentially responsive documents. As we progress with that review, we anticipate producing documents on a rolling basis. We expect to make our first production of documents to you on October 19, 2018.

On September 4, 2018, Maureen Onyeagbako sent an extension letter.

PR A-2018-01721	Combs (Retention schedules)	Brandon	8/22/2018	<p>Please consider this a formal request for access to and copies of public records and information pertaining to matters of great public concern, unique to California, and important to our members, supporters, and thousands of law-abiding people and taxpayers throughout California.</p> <p>RECORDS REQUESTED:</p> <p>1) For the period of June 1, 2018, to the present, all records and information about the Department's "record retention" (e.g., record retention, record destruction, record archival) policies, including such policies that are currently in effect, and any prior policy that was in effect on June 30, 2018, if different, and for each of its operating units (divisions, bureaus, etc.) including but not limited to California Justice Information Services (CJIS), if different or specific to a particular operating unit.</p> <p>Note that when a public official or employee uses a personal account to communicate about the conduct of public business, the writings may be subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act. This request potentially requires the production of records that exist or are maintained in electronic form, including but not limited to e-mails and chat/mobile phone messages. Records, including e-mails and other electronic records, are often subject to "retention" or "destruction" policies that require their automatic deletion at some point in time. If any records that are potentially responsive to this request are subject to such a retention or destruction policy, please prevent their deletion/destruction before you can locate, review and provide me with copies. For all records, please take all steps necessary to prevent the intentional or accidental destruction/loss of these records during the pendency of this request. I request that you confirm that you have placed a "litigation hold" on all responsive records and information. Please provide all records and information responsive to this request via e-mail attachment(s); if the files are too large to e-mail, please let us know and we will make arrangements for an alternative means of transmitting the responsive records and information.</p>		Completed	<p>On September 4, 2018, we sent you a letter indicating that we are interpreting your request to be for copies of all of the Department's record retention schedules that were in use during for the time frame you specified in your request, and that we would provide you with responsive records.</p> <p>Enclosed please find copies of the responsive records.</p> <p>This completes our response to this request.</p>
PR A-2018-01722	Combs (Ghost Guns - 3D Guns)	Brandon	8/22/2018	<p>September 4, 2018 response to extension letter: Thank you for your letters. We appreciate your acknowledgment that you do indeed hold public records responsive to our requests ("[A]n extension is needed to coordinate the response with different sections in the department that have responsibility for the requested records.").</p> <p>As these are matters of great importance and public debate, especially given the public interest in the conduct of its government, we ask that you provide the responsive records to us on a "rolling production" basis.</p> <p>If any records are ultimately withheld or otherwise not disclosed, please provide us with a list of them, the basis for withholding them, and the name of the person responsible for making such a determination.</p> <p>Please don't hesitate to reach out and let us know if you have any questions. We greatly appreciate your time and assistance with this request.</p> <p>August 22, 2018 request: 1) For the period of June 30, 2018, to the present, records and information containing or about any of the following subjects: "Code is Free Speech"; CodeIsFreeSpeech.com; Defense Distributed; Cody Wilson; ghostgunner.net; Ghost Gunner; Ghost Gunner 2; ghost gun; gun blueprints; firearm blueprints; 3D guns; downloadable guns; 3D</p>		Rolling Production	<p>September 19, 2018 response (First Production): On September 4, 2018, we extended our deadline to respond to this request to September 18, 2018.</p> <p>In conducting our search for records responsive to your request, we have checked with knowledgeable persons, searched logical places, and used search terms based on your request. Our search is almost complete. We have located and reviewed records from most of the sources we have checked.</p> <p>Some of the records we have identified that are responsive to your request are exempt from disclosure in whole or in part under the attorney work-product privilege and/or the attorney client privilege, the deliberative process privilege, and/or the pending litigation exemption. The following is an explanation of the exemptions that apply to the records we are withholding:</p> <p>Attorney Work-Product and Attorney-Client Privilege Exemption</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work-product privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 (which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client) and the attorney work-product privilege contained in Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 (which protects any writing reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential) are incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) o The documents responsive to your request include but are not limited to confidential communications containing legal advice and/or attorneys' impressions, conclusions, opinions, or litigation strategy about matters relating to the federal lawsuit against Defense Distributed, 3D printing, and "Ghost Guns." <p>Accordingly, these confidential communications are exempt from disclosure.</p>

				printers; self-made weapons; the "Liberator" firearm or its design; the file "liberator_complete.zip"; Arms Export Control Act. Please note that we do not seek publicly-available federal court documents that were not filed under seal.			<p>Pending Litigation Exemption</p> <p>o Some of the records you are seeking are exempt from disclosure because they pertain to Washington v. United States Department of State (W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:18-cv-01115-RSL), in which California is a plaintiff. Agencies may withhold records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code § 6254 subd. (b).) This pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819,831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.)</p> <p>Deliberative Process Exemption</p> <p>o The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>Many of these documents are subject to one of the exemptions to the PRA discussed above.</p> <p>With this letter, we are providing you with the non-exempt records, which we have redacted so as not to disclose certain private identifying information, such as e-mail addresses and telephone numbers, based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>As to your request that we confirm that we have placed a litigation hold on responsive records, please be advised that the Department, under its ordinary course of business, places litigation holds as necessary to preserve records and information in pending or anticipated litigation.</p> <p>We anticipate locating and reviewing the remaining records soon. We will provide you with any additional non-exempt records we identify on or around October 2, 2018.</p> <p>On September 4, 2018, public records sent an extension letter.</p>
PR A-2018-01723	Combs (Liberator Firearm)	Brandon	8/22/2018	<p>September 20, 2018 follow up: [S]hould your response be taken to mean that there are no records at all that are responsive to the request, or that some responsive records were identified but are being withheld under some claimed exemption?</p> <p>September 4, 2018 response to extension letter: Thank you for your letters. We appreciate your acknowledgment that you do indeed hold public records responsive to our requests ("[A]n extension is needed to coordinate the response with different sections in the department that have responsibility for the requested records.").</p> <p>As these are matters of great importance and public debate, especially given the public interest in the conduct of its government, we ask that you provide the responsive records to us on a "rolling production" basis.</p> <p>If any records are ultimately withheld or otherwise not disclosed, please provide us with a list of them, the basis for withholding them, and the name of the person responsible for making such a determination.</p> <p>Please don't hesitate to reach out and let us know if you have any questions. We greatly appreciate your time and assistance with this request.</p> <p>August 22, 2018 request: 1) All public records and information that are or refer to communications with Amazon/Amazon.com/Amazon AWS, Facebook, Microsoft, Twitter, Craigslist, Google, Reddit, Yahoo, or any other Internet company about "Code is Free Speech", CodeIsFreeSpeech.com, the "Liberator" firearm or its design, or the file "liberator_complete.zip".</p>	Completed	Public Records	<p>September 26, 2018 response: As indicated our September 18, 2018, response, "we did not identify any documents responsive" to your August 22, 2018, request, exempt or otherwise.</p> <p>On September 19, 2018, public records sent a response: On September 4, 2018, we extended our deadline to respond to this request to September 18, 2018.</p> <p>We checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places, and we did not identify any documents responsive to this request.</p> <p>As to your request that we confirm that we have placed a litigation hold on responsive records, please be advised that the Department, under its ordinary course of business, places litigation holds as necessary to preserve records and information in pending or anticipated litigation.</p> <p>On September 4, 2018, public records sent an extension letter.</p>
PR A-2018	Mason (CH, CDCR)	Cheryl	8/22/2018	Felony charges on John Joseph Moore and time spent in prison. Date of Birth [REDACTED].	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking prison and/or parole records from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, we must decline your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).

- 01 72 4	Record s)							<p>If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-20 18 - 01 72 5	Davis (Dangerous Weapons Permit Applications)	Jason	8/22/2018	All writings, as that term is defined in Evidence Code section 250, that constitute, refer, or relate to the status of Dangerous Weapons Permit Applications processing, e.g. spreadsheets used to track the progress and/or actions taken on Dangerous Weapons Permit Applications.		Assigned	Public Records	<p>On October 8, 2018, the response was re-sent due to an electronic delivery issue from the October 1 response.</p> <p>On October 1, 2018, the Public Records Coordinator sent a status update: On September 4, 2018, we extended our deadline to respond to this request.</p> <p>In conducting our search for records responsive to your request, we have checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places. In performing this search, we have interpreted your request to be one for documents designed and/or prepared to either (a) track the status of Dangerous Weapons Permit Application, or (b) inform someone about the status about a specific application.</p> <p>As a result, we have identified internal logs from 2016 to 2018 that track the status of applications and letters to applicants for Dangerous Weapons Permits regarding the status of their applications. With respect to both categories of documents we have identified, when we provide you with the records, we will redact any information that can be used to identify permit applicants-e.g. their names and the names of their employers-in order to protect their right to privacy. (See Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (c); Cal. Const., art. I, § 1.) With respect to the letters we will provide, because many of the letters we have identified contain standard language and only vary to the extent they contain information specific to the individual applicant, we will provide you with one exemplar of each type of letter that uses the standard language. This is because once personal information is redacted, many of the letters would be identical to each other.</p> <p>We anticipate we will be able to complete our redactions and provide you with the responsive records on or around October 18, 2018.</p> <p>An extension letter was sent on September 4, 2018 by the Public Records Coordinator.</p>
PR A-20 18 - 01 72 6	Maietta (Mugshots case)	Nicholas	8/22/2018	I am requesting current status of criminal case number BA464483. Specifically, I would like to know which defendants are in custody and I would also like to obtain address where all defendants can be served legal paperwork.		Completed	Robert Morger, SAA G	<p>Although we cannot provide you with the home address (See Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) we can provide the next court appearance date and location in the Los Angeles Superior Court. We have two court dates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Arraignment and Motion To Demur, 12/3/18, Dept. 30, at 8:30.- Motion to Modify Bail Conditions hearing continued to 9/10/18 at 10:30 in Dept. 54
PR A-20 18 - 01 72 7	Alvarez (3rd Party Records)	Christopher	8/23/2018	Christopher Benjamin Alvarez Whittier Court		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the</p>

							person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .	
PR A-2018-01728	Barron (Vital records)	Jane t	8/23/018	All out of Shasta County: case# SC RD CV-CH-16-0184346-000. DATE March 8, 2016. ATWELL VS PIERCE. May I get copies of all that are public record? Also case #MC RD IN-TR-18-0003367-001, 18-0003367-001. Records in the name of Theodore H. Pierce. Are you able to help with Cal. Marriage records?		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A-2018-01729	Atkinson (police reports/3rd party CH)	Terri	8/23/018	Shawn Atkinson [REDACTED]. I am the x wife and have custodial custody of our two boys. We are in a custody battle and i have just found out that my 15 year old was taken out of the house and put on the ground and questioned by police because of in incident involving Shawn. I Want to get all and any police reports involving Shawn for at least the past two years if not more. He was arrested a few years ago for drug dealing and i need to see if he has had anything with that and also violence.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking police report records, police reports are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01730	Felicien - Raycom Media	Tesalon (Child Fatality)	8/23/018	My name is Tesalon Felicien and I'm a digital producer with Raycom Media in Atlanta. We're building a national child fatality and near death database, and look to acquire a complete data set regarding child fatalities in California for the year 2017. We found a data set on oag.ca.gov but it excludes the names of the children. We would love to acquire that information as soon as possible. Feel free to reach me at (770)990-1241 or at (255)302-3725. You can also respond this email.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Everything the Department of Justice (DOJ) is able to provide is currently posted on the California Attorney General's OpenJustice website, specifically, here: https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications . Note, this only represents child deaths that were reported as homicide under the Uniform Crime Reporting definition; this is not a comprehensive list of all child fatalities as we do not have or collect that information. To the extent that you are requesting the names of the victims, due to the potential of secondary re-identification with the victim to offender relationship, we must deny your request. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PR A-2018-01731	Criscitello (Verity's filing)	Ra	8/23/018	I am trying to get a copy of a few of Verity's filings from the 2015 transaction. The System Restructuring and Support Agreement mentions exhibits that are not contained in the document online. They are: Exhibits A—transitional consulting agreement; B—Management Agreement; C—Commitment letter; and D—Purchase option agreements. Am I able to get copies of these?		Completed	Wendi A. Horwitz	This letter is in response to your request in which you seek various records pursuant to the Public Records Act as set forth in California Government Code section 6250 et seq. Specifically, you requested the following exhibits attached to the 2015 System Restructuring and Support Agreement: "A- transitional consulting agreement; B- Management Agreement; C- Commitment letter; and D- Purchase option agreements." During our recent telephone call, you indicated that you wanted a copy of the final documents. Enclosed please find a copy of all requested documents. With respect to the Commitment letter, it contains a few redactions that Daughters of Charity Health System requested be treated as confidential, in accordance with the provisions of California Code of Regulations, tit. 11, section 999.5, subdivision (c)(3).
PR A-2018-01732	McGuinness (rape kits)	Christopher	8/23/018	I would like any and all documents related to: 1. The total number of rape and sexual assault kits submitted by any and all law enforcement agencies in San Luis Obispo County to the BFS Santa Barbara Regional Laboratory from Jan. 1, 2013 to July 31, 2018. 2. The total number of untested rape and sexual assault kits originating out of San Luis Obispo County at BFS Santa Barbara Regional Laboratory, by year, for the years 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, and YDT 2018.	New Times	Completed	Shannon Patterson	The BFS Santa Barbara Laboratory received a total of 207 rape and sexual assault kits from San Luis Obispo County law enforcement agencies between January 1, 2013, and December 31, 2017. All of those kits have been tested.

PR A-2018-01733	Benton (small claims court records)	Christopher	8/23/018	2010 small claims court case and appeal. Isaac Yerushalmi vs. Christopher Benton and Motoki King. Re: Pearl master drum set I would like to request the public records and any judgments for the case in the description above.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a small claims court, you may wish to direct your request to the court's office.
PR A-2018-01737	Suhrbier (Court records)	Patriack	8/23/018	Any pleadings and other court documents which you may have archived for the following case. NAME: CASTILLO ALVARADO, Lino CASTILLO, Lino Alvarado - DOB: [REDACTED] - SSN: [REDACTED] - FBI UCN – 696435WA6 - ARRESTED or RECEIVED: 1994/10/10 - SID – CA10796756 - AGENCY: Police Department El Monte (CA 0192200) - AGENCY CASE: 943540 - CHARGE 1: Violation of Custody Degree	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01734	Briner (non-DOJ public records on internet)	Jennifer	8/24/018	Hello, I would like the record of my marriage separation removed so that it no longer appears in internet search results. This record is currently one of the top Google results for my husband's name, and we both prefer that the state of our marriage not be the first thing potential employers see. This record is viewable at: https://unicourt.com/case/ca-ora-jennifer-briner-vs-vasiliy-loskutov-872867	Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you are requesting to have your name removed from one or more public records sites. We note that your public records request is directed to the DOJ but seeks records from other departments, agencies, or private entities. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. We do not have records fitting the description provided, and must therefore decline your request as we do not have custody or control over the subject matter records. You may wish to contact the webmaster for the site which has the listing that you wish to have removed.
PR A-2018-01735	Goldson (Ticket Sellers)	Darnell	8/24/018	Complete list of ticket resellers (scalpers) (brokers) licensed in the State of California. Including but not limited to: Name of Business; Address; Phone numbers; email addresses; license expiration date; and license status (active, inactive).	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A-2018-01736	Gracia (court records)	Michael	8/24/018	Requesting any court proceedings involving Alicia Al-Aryan (DOB [REDACTED]) in the year 2007.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. You may also be able to request these from the court in which the case was heard. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. However, we note that your e-mail address indicates that you are with the United States Department of Justice. California law authorizes certain governmental and private organizations to conduct criminal offender record information background checks to help determine the suitability of a person applying for a license, employment, or a volunteer position working with children, the elderly, or the disabled. Law enforcement agencies, public and private schools, non-profit organizations, and in-home supportive care agencies are some of the organizations authorized to conduct these fingerprint-based background checks. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .

PR A-2018-01739	Gray (Crim Investigations)	Jared	8/24/2018	Records pertaining to criminal investigations from January - August 2018		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Since your request mentions investigations, please note that, in the event that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p>
PR A-2018-01740	McEachin	JoAnn	8/24/2018	<p>SAFeway FUEL CENTER HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT PETALUMA, CALIFORNIA</p> <p>January 8, 2014</p> <p>Revised September 19, 2017</p> <p>Prepared by: James A. Reyff and William Popenuck ILLINGWORTH & RODKIN, INC. Acoustics • Air Quality 1 Willowbrook Court, Suite 120 Petaluma, CA 94954 (707) 794-0400</p> <p>Comments: This is a request for the modeling files that were used to support the Health Risk Assessment. I have the Assessment itself but need to know what modeling methods were used. I'm sure you hear it all the time but, sincerely, time is of the essence in this matter. Thank you.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p>
PR A-2018-01741	Baum (Court Records)	Diane	8/27/2018	<p>Court Records for Brian J. Neumann, DOB [REDACTED], convicted of murder in November 1984, in Riverside, Calif. serving a sentence Life Without Parole</p> <p>Looking for the name of the victim</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p>
PR A-2018-01742	Alejandre (CH, court records)	Nicholas	8/27/2018	<p>Need to get a request on my background information. Just for Nicholas T. Alejandre of valley springs CA, 95252 and age 21. Also would like to view into case number. FL-2018-3498</p> <p>Also I committed a violation on my Temporary restraining order. My ex filed. But I would like to view to see where I stand. I don't know the case number however my ex girlfriends name is Sabrina Mireles, 20, [REDACTED] She did file it with my hometown sheriffs department which is Calaveras County sheriff. However it is in the hands of the DA. So i don't know where you could get it specifically? Maybe the case number is the same as my restraining order I gave you guys above?</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>

PR A-2018-01743	Greenh algh (investi gations , non-DOJ records)	Mich ael	8/27/2018	All records relating to the investigation of myself by California Adult Protective Services in 2017 and by Cloverdale City Police. Include all emails, reports, notes of conversations by investigators and any others involved or notified, officially or unofficially. This request has been made in writing and by email to both city and state agencies involved without result.	Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less	<p>We note that your request seeks investigative records relating to an investigation conducted by California Adult Protective Services and by Cloverdale City Police. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from California Adult Protective Services and Cloverdale City Police, you must direct your request to their offices.</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>You additionally mention that, "This request has been made in writing and by email to both city and state agencies involved without result." When the Legislature enacted the Public Records Act in 1968, it provided several judicial remedies for persons who believed that they had been wrongfully denied records to which they were entitled. These remedies include the right to seek injunctive or declaratory relief or a writ of mandate in the Superior Court. (See Government Code sections 6258-6259.) Absent special circumstances, the Legislature did not envision an enforcement role for the Attorney General in connection with other agencies' noncompliance under the Act. However, you may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options under the judicial remedies discussed above.</p>
PR A-2018-01744	Lange (Reuters) (Exec staff communications)	Jaso n	8/27/2018	<p>All communications between State Attorney General Xavier Becerra, including State Attorney General Xavier Becerra's executive staff, and any of the following groups of individuals:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • U.S. federal lawmakers or their staff, including the staff of their election campaigns • Representatives of the Federation for American Immigration Reform • Representatives of the Center for Immigration Studies • Representatives of NumbersUSA • Representatives of American Immigration Control Foundation • Representatives of National Organization for European American Rights <p>Please limit communications to those received in 2018. For purposes of this request, communications would include but not be limited to emails, written correspondence, calendar entries and phone logs.</p>	Co mpl ete d	Aar on Jon es	<p>September 20, 2018 response from Aaron Jones: We must deny your request, as it is currently cast. PRA requests should be sufficiently specific and focused to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) Disclosure is not appropriate when the public interest served by not disclosing the records clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6255.) In this instance, your request seeks all communications by the Office's entire executive staff with any of many thousands of individuals outside the Office- none of whom are named- over a period of many months, on any topic, with no limitation as to the subject matter of the communications. In these circumstances, it is doubtful that we could feasibly identify the full universe of responsive records, and even if we were able to do so, the burden on the Office of responding would be enormous. We understand that the request would likely encompass thousands of responsive records, all of which would need to be reviewed for potential privileges and exemptions to disclosure. Because your request fails to identify the requested records with reasonable particularity, and due to the exceptional burdens and expense that disclosure would entail, the public interest served by not disclosing the requested records clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure, as the request is currently cast. (Ibid.)</p> <p>If you would like to submit a more focused request, we would be happy to review the request. We would also be happy to discuss these issues with you in order to assist you in preparing a reasonably focused request, to the extent we can do so. If you would like to discuss these issues further, please contact me 415-510-3805 or aaron.jones@doj.ca.gov.</p> <p>On September 6, 2018, Aaron Jones sent an extension letter.</p>
PR A-2018-01745	Fergus on (police reports)	Rebe cca	8/27/2018	I am requesting the paperwork for case 18047424. This event took place at my house, i need to read through it. My husband Jason hones was arrest that day with 2 other people. I need to go through the report, I am being told what I told the cops are not in the report	Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PR A-2018-01748	Sanche z Lucero (police records)	Rom an	8/27/2018	I was directed to contact your offices for police records on my behalf. I have contacted local la enforcement, but they stated that records were no-longer available. However, they informed me to request my police reports from DOJ offices. I was a victim of a crime on 05/31/1997, 211 PC SAR, Case # 99-27843. I was also victimized on 10/19/2011 for robbery and I personally reported the incident to San Bernardino PD. Please assist me in obtaining these records.	Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>

PR A- 20 18 - 01 74 9	Hanse n	Debb ie	8/2 7/2 01 8	I'm a family friend who has been asked to help seek and trying to help legal advice. The records I'm requesting are for Danielle R. Hogan, arrested on August 18, 2018 for Elder Abuse (368(b)(1), at Via Pimpolla, San Clemente, CA 92673. The information I hope you can provide me is: - List of all pending churches against Mrs. Hogan, including any restraining orders and duration, agencies involved (i.e., CPS), next court appearance/date, recent developments, status and any helpful information available.	Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less	To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A- 20 18 - 01 75 0	Morale s (unspe cific case records)	Zora yda	8/2 7/2 01 8	It's a close case that happened between 2003-2005, i don't remember the year. It was about Interfering with a police officer. I don't know the Case Number.	Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PR A- 20 18 - 01 75 1	Wilson	Jami e	8/2 7/2 01 8	I am looking for any cases involving Rvan Thomas Wilson born [REDACTED] social security number [REDACTED]. He was born in Independence Missouri and served in the USMC. I have recently found out that I am his fourth wife, and he has a long string of domestic violence incidences. I am hoping to be his last, so I am looking for all public records on this man. I hope you can help me so he does not deceive another and abuse them as well. I was able to escape with our son alive, any information for my case may be pertinent. Thank you.	Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A- 20 18 - 01 75 6	Rinnels	Sami	8/2 7/2 01 8	Looking for any records for Mchael S. Mayfield. DOB [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]. He is my step father and there are concerns for my mother's safety. Local authorities have been notified. Thank you for any information you can provide.	Co mpl ete d	Erin Nick less	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death,

							<p>marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-01757	Olfati (August 2018) (Mugshots.com)	Parvin	8/27/2018	I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, Deputy Attorney General, employee, officer, agent or representative computer or other medium that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any DOJ communications regarding Mugshots.com that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patti Olfati, Patty Olfati and/or Olfati:	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • including but not limited to any that relate to any veiled or other threat to Olfati, • including but not limited to any that refer or relate to any action contemplated, planned, or taken regarding Olfati • including but not limited to any that refer or relate to any plan to stage incidents to entrap Olfati that would result in false, arrest, or any other adverse action; • including but not limited to any plan to stage incidents similar to the October 2014 sending of the City of Sacramento Police Department vehicles and officers outside the city limits to 24 Hour Fitness and Arden Way/Fair Oaks where they would use Kayvan Kazeminejad as bait to entrap Olfati into being arrested; • and including but not limited to any that are the product of any collusion or coordination with John Anthony Thiella, his friends and enablers. <p>The time period for this PRA/IPA request begins on January 1, 2018 and ends on the date of the DOJ response to this PRA/IPA request.</p>
PR A-2018-01801	Norris (Metzger request)	Trenton	8/27/2018	I am writing to request a copy of all documents that the California Attorney General's Office produced in response to the June 26, 2018, Public Records Act Request from Raphael Metzger to Deputy Attorney General Susan Fiering, as well as copy of that request and your office's responsive communication, if any.	Arnold & Porter LLP	Searching for Responsive Records	Laura Zuckerman <p>October 3, 2018 response: This letter is in response to your correspondence dated August 27, 2018, which was received in the Attorney General's Office on August 27, 2018, in which you sought various records pursuant to the Public Records Act as set forth in Government Code section 6250 et seq. Specifically, you requested "a copy of all documents that the California Attorney General's Office produced in response to the June 26, 2018, Public Records Act Request from Raphael Metzger to Deputy Attorney General Susan Fiering, as well as copy of that request and your office's responsive communication, if any."</p> <p>In further response to your request, enclosed herewith are copies of our second document production made in response to the June 26, 2018 Metzger PRA Request, Bates-labeled AGOMETZGER0000000521 - AGOMETZGER0000000835 (on enclosed disk), together with a hard copy of the accompanying enclosure letter we sent to Mr. Metzger, which is Bates-labeled AGONORRIS0016.</p> <p>August 30, 2018 response: In response to your request, enclosed herewith are the following records:</p> <p>(1) Copies of all correspondence with the Metzger Law Group concerning the June 26, 2018, Public Records Act Request from Raphael Metzger to Supervising Deputy Attorney General Susan Fiering ("June 26, 2018 Metzger PRA Request"), Bates-labeled AGONORRIS0001 – AGONORRIS0015. Please note that we have not produced the cover e-mails forwarding this correspondence, but we can produce them if you wish.</p> <p>(2) Copies of all documents produced to Raphael Metzger to date in response to the June 26, 2018 Metzger PRA Request, Bates-labeled AGOMETZGER0000000001 - AGOMETZGER0000000520 (on</p>

							enclosed disk). As I mentioned to you on the phone today, we are still reviewing records for responsiveness and privilege, and will send you a copy of any additional document production we make in response to the June 26, 2018 Metzger PRA Request.
PR A-2018-01808	McCarthy (investigation files)	Robert	8/27/2018	Under the California Public Records Act of § 6250 et seq. I am requesting an opportunity to obtain copies of public records that indicate whether Robert McCarthy (DOB: [REDACTED]) is now or has been under investigation by the Office of the Attorney General for filing numerous civil rights ADA complaints over many years against California places of public accommodation engaging in discriminatory practices within the meaning of the ADA. I have been told several times in 2018 by my probation officer that I am being investigated by the California Attorney General's office because I have filed many ADA complaints. Over the years, not one of my filed complaints has been dismissed by a judge because I lacked standing or the complaint lacked merit. This is the name of the person making the assertions: Tyson Sam, Probation Officer Adult Probation Department Superior Court in Maricopa County 6655 W. Glendale Avenue Glendale, Arizona 85301 602-619-3112 If you are investigating me, how did this information get leaked to the public? These assertions may be a Title V violation of intimidation/retaliation by a Title II agency. If there are any fees for searching or copying these records, please inform me if the cost will exceed \$50. However, I would also like to request a waiver of all fees in that the disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest and will contribute significantly to the public's understanding of the negative backlash from being a civil rights activist for senior citizens, the disabled, and other people dealing with unusual circumstances. This information is not being sought for commercial purposes. The California Public Records Act requires a response within ten business days. If access to the records I am requesting will take longer, please contact me with information about when I might expect copies of the requested records. If you deny any or all of this request, please cite each specific exemption you feel justifies the refusal to release the information and notify me of the appeal procedures available to me under the law. Thank you for considering my request.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PR A-2018-01819	Kilts (case records)	Michael	8/27/2018	I have been retained by the family of Jose Guzman to represent him concerning his appellate rights and possible filing of a Writ of Habeas Corpus in his criminal matter. Mr. Guzman has been unable to locate his copy of his jury trial transcripts. As a result, I am requesting from your office to obtain a copy of the trial transcripts. Please advise me what my office needs to do to follow-up with this request. If there are costs for copying please let me know. Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.	Law Offices of MICHAEL R. KILTS	Completed	Irene Chavez On October 5, 2018, Irene Chavez responded: As per your records request, our file does include four volumes of the Clerk's Transcript. The total page count of the four volumes of Clerk's Transcript are 1,000.4 pages included in our file in People v. Jose Robert Guzman, LASC No. B247575. We have enclosed a CD containing these documents. Please feel free to contact me at (213) 269-6186, should you have any questions or concerns regarding the above. We will consider this request for records a closed matter. On September 19, 2019, Irene Chavez sent the following fees letter: Your request to obtain copies of the reporter's transcripts for this case must be denied, per Government Code section 69954, subdivision (d), which states: (d) Any court, party, or person who has purchased a transcript may, without paying further fee to the reporter, reproduce a copy or portion thereof as an exhibit pursuant to court order or rule, or for internal use, but shall not otherwise provide or sell a copy or copies to any other person or party. We understand this statutory provision to require members of the public who seek reporter's transcripts of court proceedings to acquire them only from the reporter, and not from public agencies that may

								acquire them in the course of litigation. This statutory directive is incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). The Clerk of the Court of the Los Angeles County Superior Court, in which the legal proceedings were conducted, may be able to provide information concerning how to obtain transcripts of the proceedings. As per your records request, our file does include four volumes of the Clerk's Transcript. The total page count of the four volumes of Clerk's Transcript are 1,000.4 pages included in our file in People v. Jose Robert Guzman, LASC No. B247575. The cost of the requested records is \$100.04, at 10 cents per page., plus postage 68 cents, at a grand total of \$100. 72. Please make the check or money order payable to: "Department of Justice." It can be mailed to: Department of Justice, 300 South Spring Street, Suite # 1702, Los Angeles, California 90013, Attention: Senior Legal Analyst, Irene Chavez. Upon receipt of payment, we will forward you a CD with the requested records. If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed. Please feel free to contact me at (213) 269-6186, should you have any questions or concerns regarding the above. On September 6, 2018, Irene Chavez sent an extension letter.
PR A-2018-01824	Hill Spires (CH)	Terry	8/27/2018	[A] printout of my criminal record.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request as California state summary criminal history records are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code (PC) section 11076, explicitly outlined in PC section 11105, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records, or to the person who is the subject of the record. The Record Review and Challenge Program assists individuals who would like to order a copy of their California state criminal history record for their eyes only and/or dispute its material (see PC section 11120-11126). For more information regarding the Record Review process and how to obtain your record, please visit our website at www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints . Click on the link, "Criminal Records - Request Your Own" and follow the directions listed on the webpage. Your record review results will be mailed to you after you submit fingerprints for Record Review. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PR A-2018-01865	Tignor	James	8/27/2018	1. Copy of all documents relating to myself ... whether accusations, complaints, charged offenses by such including information and including CA (BFS) RRG 4-17-06. Report used to convict in this case but never disclosed any information on it: I ke who was it or any assault related exam by hospital - what hospital or any proof ... 2. Requesting all Bureau of Forensic Services supply "A" copy of all records on myself James William Tignor T-80217 ... DOJ investigatory and/or security files (ibid.), and legally privileged records (id., § 6254, subd. (k)). Government Code section 6255, subdivision (a), also provides supplemental grounds for withholding of these records.		Completed	Shannon Patterson	Regarding your first enumerated request: the DOJ has attached three sets of court documents related to James William Tignor name and Case No. NCR71728. These two-sided court documents, totaling of 21 pages, are titled "Information", Amended Information and "Refile Complaint". Regarding your second enumerated request: all associated records in DOJ's possession, custody, or control, that have been located are exempt from disclosure under the PRA, because of that law's protections of investigative records (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (c)), records of DOJ intelligence information, forensic evidence and/or security procedures (id., § 6254, subd. (f)),
PR A-2018-01752	D'Adamo (crime stats)	Kathryn	8/28/2018	Within the Annual Crime in California publications, there are records of the number of arrests which are prostitution related misdemeanors, comprised of violations of charges 266*, 315, 316, 647(b), 653.22(a), 653.23(a)(1), 653.23(a)(2), 25601 BP on tables 34 and 35. These numbers are currently broken down by gender, race and age. I am looking for these numbers broken down by County first, and then within each county to disaggregate the numbers by gender and race for years 2015, 2016 and 2017. Comments: If a raw dataset would be easier, that works as well. Prior to 2015 there was a dataset available online which had this information that could be broken down in this way, but wasn't organized as such. That would be fine if that's faster.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PR A-2018	Stukel	Gerald	8/28/2018	Any records, including police reports, witness statements, bookings, interviews, or similar documents referring to "Lauren Friedman," "Lauren Coia," "Thomas Friedman," or "Tom Friedman," from 2005 to present. All dispatch		Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we

- 01 75 3				records to [REDACTED] from 2005 to present.				suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PR A-2018-01754	Gregor y (evictions)	Trista	8/28/2018	Judgments or unlawful detainer which is evictions. I need to find out if I have one to because according to a payday loan I have one and I really need to find out exactly where it came from. I'm not was sure when the date of the evction was or any kind of information regarding it I just need to find out exactly and where I came from. My maiden name is Trista L Monahan my marriage name is Trista L Gregory		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking eviction records, you may wish to direct your request to the local superior court in which the case was filed.
PR A-2018-01758	Guard (Fortune Players Group)	Danielle	8/28/2018	a) All original documents, photocopies, films, photos, and electronic material taken from the Fortune Players Group, Inc. offices by the Department of Justice, Bureau of Gambling Control, on October 15, 2015. This includes, but is not limited to personnel files and all records for 2010. b) Copies of all documents taken from the Fortune Players Group, Inc. offices by the Department of Justice, Bureau of Gambling Control on October 15, 2015.		Completed	Rian Kopchak	The Gambling Control Act provides that the Bureau "shall maintain a file of all applications for licenses" and that the file is "open to public inspection." (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (b).) The Act specifies that except as provided therein, the records of the Bureau are exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (d), further specifies that it is unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act. A review of your records request suggests no applicable exception to the general exemption from disclosure under the Public Records Act set forth in Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (c). For the foregoing reasons, your request for the described records under the Public Records Act is denied.
PR A-2018-01759	Alperin (LEA contact list)	Elizabeth	8/28/2018	I'm hoping to make an information request for a list of all law enforcement agencies in California. I'd like to get the agency name, address, county and contact person if possible.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and consulted with knowledgeable persons and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Although our office does not maintain a master list of all law enforcement agencies in California, our research into this matter did bring us to find a page on the website for the Commission of Peace Officer Standards and Training that lists its participating law enforcement agencies. That page is https://post.ca.gov/le-agencies . The California District Attorneys Association also has a roster posted on the following web page: https://www.cdaa.org/district-attorney-roster .
PR A-2018-01760	Shariati (CH, court records)	Sheri	8/28/2018	I would like to access public court records or criminal/arrest background on someone. how can I do that? do I provide name here?		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking court records, we can only provide them if our office was involved in the case. If you have more information, such as a case name, or case number, please provide that to us. Many criminal cases are handled by the local district attorney, rather than the Office of the Attorney General. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed, or the District Attorney's office. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01762	Olfati (August 2018) (Stalking)	Parvin	8/28/2018	1. Communications to, from, with and/or about any female directed, encouraged, known or believed to be following Parvin Olfati at any time, including but not limited to May 29, 2018. 2. Communications to, from, with, and/or about jury duty in the Sacramento County Superior Court, including but not limited to any summons to Parvin Olfati for any jury service on any date in 2018, including but not limited to May 29, 2018. 3. Any toxics or substances placed in or near the person of Parvin Olfati, any automobile believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, and/or		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

				any house, building or hotel room believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any room or rooms the Hyatt Regency Sacramento. 4. If any of the records requested in this PRA/IPA request have been destroyed, transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please provide the records of such destruction, transfer, or unavailability, together with applicable record retention policies.			
PR A-2018-01761	Houck	Angie	8/29/2018	I am requesting records for case MSP18-01312 for Steven John Olsen, DOB [REDACTED], Olsen Family trust. Contra Costa County Superior Court.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed in Contra Costa County Superior Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PR A-2018-01763	Morelli	Rocco	8/29/2018	I am requesting the return of the original certified copies I was required to mail to California Insurance Department to received a California Insurance License. I received my CA License. I was told to contact your department to get these originals back. Case 397 C 1986 - 6 pages - Commonwealth of Pennsylvania vs. Rocco Morelli Case 1779 C 1986 - 5 pages - Commonwealth of Pennsylvania vs. Rocco Morelli Please advise how I proceed in getting these original certified copies returned to me. Thank you.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California Department of Insurance, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at http://www.insurance.ca.gov/ .
PR A-2018-01764	Jacoby (case records)	Kenneth	8/29/2018	I would like any and all documents related to criminal court case 235969, which took place in Superior Court of California, County of Alameda. I contacted the court first, but was told the file was eligible for destruction pursuant to GC 68152 and that I should contact the State of California Department of Justice Records Unit to conduct further research on the case.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Jacoby, Kenneth (case records) req 08.20.18 2018-01764
PR A-2018-01823	Dewhurst	Jesse	8/29/2018	Mugshot from DUI arrest, February 2016. It was in the French Camp jail.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The DOJ maintains the Cal-Photo network and application, which provides law enforcement and criminal justice public agencies access to mugshot images maintained by California's law enforcement agencies, as well as a connection to the photographs and data maintained by the California Department of Motor Vehicles. Pursuant to the Cal-Photo Policies, Practices, and Procedures, information accessed via Cal-Photo is confidential and for official use only by authorized law enforcement personnel and the release of Cal-Photo information for non-law enforcement purposes is prohibited. For this reason, we must deny your request. The DOJ responds only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of a local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In this instance, you may want to request the records from the arresting law enforcement agency.
PR A-2018-01825	Phomphachanh	Celia	8/29/2018	Case number 99M10262 I looked at Sac Courts and this is not my case number but has my XREF number on it. I need it to be fixed. How do I get this corrected? It was my sister case Sisavanh Phomphachanh 1/28/1978. In addition, I have my records expunged and it does not show the order of dismissal with DOJ. How can I get that added? Got the order of dismissal from 3/2017. It only shows up on Sac Courts public record.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .

PR A- 20 18 - 01 76 5	Gomez (Small Claim court records)	Fernando	8/3 01 8	San Bernardino court. Fernando Gomez Vs Johnnie L Carroll 10/2004 small claim.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a small claims court, you may wish to direct your request to the court's office.
PR A- 20 18 - 01 76 6	Subin (Gun Violence Restraining Orders)	Max	8/3 02 01 8	I would like to determine the number of Gun Violence Restraining Orders that have been filed by the courts in California. I am aware that California DOJ maintains records of all GVRs that are issued by the court. I am requesting all records that the DOJ maintains related to GVR. Please provide the information by County if possible. I am a graduate student at Cal State Northridge completing my graduate project. If you can provide the information on an Excel spreadsheet, I would appreciate it.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	On September 24, 2018, Jaimie Tackett responded: The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the 2016 and 2017 data broken down by county along with contextual documentation. On September 10, 2018, Jaimie Tackett sent an extension letter.
PR A- 20 18 - 01 76 7	Tingle (Annual Report of Sec 597 Violations)	Rose	8/3 02 01 8	Copy of the most recent annual report published by the Department of Justice to include information concerning arrests for violations of Section 597 (cruelty to animals provisions). Adopted 2016, reference West's Annotated California Codes. Penal Code. Part 4. Prevention of Crimes and Apprehension of Criminals. Title 3. Criminal Statistics. Chapter 1. Department of Justice. Article 1. Duties of the Department. § 13012.8. Contents of annual report; violations of § 597	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The information you are seeking can be found on the AG's OpenJustice website, Crime in California 2017 publication, here: https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications . Specifically, please see Tables 33 and 36, Cruelty to Animals.
PR A- 20 18 - 01 76 8	Owens (Monthly Arrest & Citation Data)	Emily	8/3 02 01 8	I would like an extract of the Monthly Arrest and Citation database, aggregated at the law enforcement agency level, rather than the county level. Currently, the Open Justice Crime Statistics offers annual counts of arrests broken down by county, year, type of offense, type of arrest disposition, age range, gender, and ethnicity (see https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/crime-statistics/arrest-dispositions). I would like this same data, but aggregated by agency (preferably ORI), year, type of offense, type of arrest disposition, age range, gender, and ethnicity, for each year from 2010-2017	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and enclosed the responsive documents.
PR A- 20 18 - 01 80 6	Olfati (August 2018) (toxic substances)	Parvin	8/3 02 01 8	Therefore, pursuant to the PRA, IPA, and Article I, Section 3(b) of the California Constitution, I hereby request that DOJ produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, employee, officer, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between January 1, 2018 and the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to: 1. Communications to, from, with and/or about any person or persons directed, encouraged, known or believed to be entering without permission onto [REDACTED] and/or [REDACTED] at any time, including but not limited to any date in August of 2018. 2. Communications to, from, with, and/or about any person directed, encouraged, known or believed to be placing any substance or toxics onto [REDACTED] and/or [REDACTED] at any time, including but not limited to any date in August 2018. 3. Any toxics or substances placed in or near [REDACTED] and/or [REDACTED] 4. Any deletion of cellphone video or picture recordings of any wet	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

				spot or item with the logo "Sands Regency", including but not limited to any deletion from any cellphone with the numbers [REDACTED] and/or [REDACTED].				
				5. If any of the records requested in this PRA/IPA request have been destroyed, transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please provide the records of such destruction, transfer, or unavailability, together with applicable record retention policies.				
PR A-2018-01832	Zayzay	Kearrah	8/30/2018	I need a background check for William Schneider DOB: [REDACTED]. I need any and all records regarding William Schneider from 01/1974-Present. I am a paralegal with Fitch, Johnson, Larson, & Held and we have an open work comp litigation against William Schneider. The attorney here at my office that is working this case is William R. Moody [REDACTED]. Thank you. Please let me know if there is anything else that I need to provide to get this documentation. Thank you.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code (PC) section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. (Also see PC section 11105.) Note, court information through the appropriate county superior court is public record. For additional information and to request your own record, the following is the Record Review website: https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review . If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.	
PR A-2018-01834	Jacoby (case records)	Kenneth	8/30/2018	Thank you for your initial search. I do have the following additional information on the documents I seek, which hopefully will aid your search: Case name: THE PEOPLE OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA VS. OLSON, CLIFFORD Court: CRIMINAL MANAGER - FREMONT File Date: 11/30/2009 Case Type: MISDEMEANOR/INFRACTIONS Case Status: DISPOSED	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted another search of the DOJ's legal indexes, and have been unable to locate any responsive records. You have identified the court as "Court: CRIMINAL MANAGER – FREMONT." You may wish to direct your request to their office, or to the local District Attorney's Office in the event that their office prosecuted the case.	
PR A-2018-01882	Talla (CLETS)	Vasudha	8/30/2018	Sure, here's some additional detail on what we'd like to discuss. We're interested in the interoperability of CLETS and Nlets, as it relates to DMV information. While we know that Nlets users can access DMV information, we'd like to know more about how that happens. Does Nlets have to go through CLETS to get DMV driver's license and vehicle registration information for immigration enforcement, and if so, how does that work? And/or does Nlets pull directly from the DMV database, and if so, how does that work?	ACLU	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	This letter responds to the email you sent to California Justice Information Systems Chief Joe Dominic on August 30, 2018, requesting further information regarding the interoperability of California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS) and National Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (Nlets) information, as it relates to California Department of Motor Vehicles information. Your August 30, 2018 email appears to seek the same information requested in your August 1, 2018 letter, which we responded to on August 29, 2018. We believe our August 29, 2018 letter addressed your questions regarding CLETS and Nlets and we refer you to that.
PR A-2018-01769	Baxter (County Records)	Jared	8/31/2018	Document Number 97-1295997, a Regulatory Agreement dated December 18, 1996 and recorded in the Los Angeles County Recorder's Office ("Official Records") under the aforesaid document number on August 20, 1997 Comments: This document details the use restrictions on a development project located at 4648-4668 Huntington Dr S, LA, CA 90032 as a result of receiving tax credit financing.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the Los Angeles County Recorder's Office, you may wish to direct your request to their office.	
PR A-2018-01770	Baxter (LA City Planning Record)	Jared	8/31/2018	City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning Case Number AA-2002-3848-PMEX, a lot line adjustment with a primary address of 4688 Huntington Dr that was accepted on July 9, 2002 and approved by the City on August 9, 2002, with assigned staff being Garland Cheng. Document relevant to the history of the Huntington Hacienda Apartments in El Sereno	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning, you may wish to direct your request to their office.	
PR A-	Childs (Specifi	Beau	8/31/2	Adjudicated Mentally Defective Comments: Would need copies of cases 1861307002293 NRI # 1628378713 and 18611317100441	Completed	Maria E.	Maria Silva called the requester and referred them to the court.	

2018-01-17	ca Case # records)		018	NRI # 1633100813 in order to petition for relief. Okay. Thank you.		ete d	Silva	
PR-2018-01-17-2	Barb (Marriage Record s)	Carol yn	8/31/2018	Marriage license(s) and/or marriage certificate(s) for Peter J Taboer Comments: I'm searching for any proof that Peter J Taboer, born in Austria in 1968, has ever married or applied for a marriage license in California.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR-2018-01-17-3	Gamboa (Blue Shield Breach)	Paul	8/31/2018	I am seeking the production of documents related to a breach of protected health information that was self-reported to the California Attorney General's office by Blue Shield of California. By way of additional background, the Blue Shield of California Privacy Office received confirmation on March 23, 2018 that a breach had occurred in November 2017 during the 2018 Medicare Annual Enrolment Period when a Blue Shield employee emailed a document containing PHI to an insurance broker. I am not seeking any PHI or beneficiary information, but I would like to see any non-privileged records provided to the Attorney General's office related to that admission, including but not necessarily limited to the identity of the insurance broker who received that PHI from Blue Shield of California.		Completed	Amos Hartston	Enclosed is the data breach notification sample submitted to the Attorney General's office by California Physicians' Services, d/b/a Blue Shield of California, which references the date of data breach as November 2017. For your information, our office publishes data security breach notices we receive pursuant to California Civil Code sections 1798.29(e) and 1798.82(f) on our website at https://oag.ca.gov/ecrime/databreach/list . The data breach notices are listed in reverse chronological order, and also are searchable. We respectfully deny the remainder of your request. Any additional records that you seek would be confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General, and, therefore, exempt from disclosure. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to, or investigations conducted by, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).)
PR-2018-01-17-4	Podergois (Sale of Gambling Licenses)	Richard	8/31/2018	I am requesting the documentation of sale of gambling license of The Mint from James L Podergois to Steven Labiak August 25 2016. Porterville CA. My name is Richard Powerhouse, son of James L Podergois previous owner of The Mint card room Porterville CA. (now deceased) reason for my request of documentation of sale of license is due to skepticism of sale. I have copy of and have listened to the minutes of meeting August 25,2016 sale of license approved. My father James Podergois was not present at this meeting. Steven Labiak the person purchasing license was present. And his answers to questions being asked by bureau were shaky and not altogether true. My father had been ill for some time. And died 6 weeks after said meeting. Why am i questioning? Because Mr Libiak states he purchased licensed and/ or exchanged legal fees as payment in the amount of \$5,000. Knowing my father he would never agree to the sale of license for such a price. As he had been offered in the past \$825,000. Surely this does not make sense at all. Why wouldn't he sale for higher amount and then pay the legal fees of \$5,000 and still profit from the sale? That's who I know my father to be as a businessman. Since my father was not present at time of approval I would like to see where or if he did in fact agree to this arrangement. Mr Libiak had contact with my father, he knew of illness, yet when questioned as to why my father was selling license his answer was "He's tired" an elderly man and just Tired .. Please there were other discrepancies and all I ask is to be able to verify my father's agreement to the transaction. If you will		Completed	Rian Kopchak	Except as provided in the Gambling Control Act (Act), the records of the Bureau of Gambling Control (Bureau), a subdivision within the Department of Justice, are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) In addition, subdivision (d) of Business and Professions Code section 19821 makes it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Act. A review of your records request suggests no applicable exception to the general exemption from disclosure set forth above. Accordingly, any records that would be responsive to your request are exempt from disclosure by the terms of the Public Records Act, pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Your request for disclosure of these records is therefore denied.

				please send me a copy of documents showing my father's signed agreement I would appreciate it. I have requested information from Mr Libiak and he refused. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.			
PR A-2018-01775	Reed (Contracts)	Richard	8/31/2018	Please provide any and / or all copies of contracts held between the California State Office of the Attorney General and Cook Brown LLP, Sacramento, CA. Please feel free to contact me with any questions at [REDACTED]	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A-2018-01776	Hoorn (Santa Cruz PD Bodycam Footage)	Ronald	8/31/2018	All bodycam footage of responding officers on 08/29/2018 (Santa Cruz Police Dept .) leading to a trespass violation being issued (P846267) All similar incident complaints of same PSO at SSA employed by Tripple Canopy Security AddressAll records and body cam footage involving Ticket # P 846267 Trespass. Badge #481 employed by Tripple Canopy Security Address12018 Sunrise Valley Dr # 140, Reston, VA 2019112018 All records and body cam footage from the investigation process that was conducted by any and all police respondents that lead to the above complaint (P846267) All records and video of the SSA office in lobby and in public where this attack on me occurred. Address is 169 Walnut Ave, Santa Cruz Ca. All officers that responded continuously threatened to imprison me for failure to ID without any probable cause. Violations of my 4th and 5th Amendment of The United States Constitution. Police need to be informed and educated about their improper conduct DEMANDING ID while being detained and using those threats against citizens. PSO at SSA brutally attacked me fiscally doing bodily harm, unlawful detention, while photographing a Tittle 41 notification that was posted in the lobby clearly violation of my 1st Amendment rights under the Constitution. I am an elderly disabled senior doing news story and am still quite shook up by the attack.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you are seeking police reports and body camera footage from Santa Cruz Police Department. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. Your request also includes complaints about officer conduct. If you have a complaint against a police officer or sheriff's deputy, you should first contact the local law enforcement agency. Each law enforcement agency in the state is required by Penal Code section 832.5 to establish a procedure to investigate citizens' complaints. You can obtain a written description of the procedures from the law enforcement agency. If unable to resolve your complaint with the law enforcement agency, contact the district attorney's office or the grand jury in the county that has jurisdiction. If your complaint involves alleged criminal misconduct and the local agencies do not act upon it, you may write to the Attorney General's Office. Additional information on how to submit a complaint to our office can be found at https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/civilrights/citizencomplaintpolicy.pdf .
PR A-2018-01777	Arciniega (LA Court Case)	Miriam	8/31/2018	Complaint in Case No. LA088891-02 Comments: This request is being made on behalf of Javier Arciniega, Defendant in this case. I am his sister and are looking for information on the charges being made.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01778	Briley (Divorce Decree)	Marsaha	8/31/2018	Divorce decree from 2008 in Riverside County, CA	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at:

							https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A-2018-01812	Olfati (August 2018) (surveillance)	Parvin	8/31/2018	<p>1. Any and all policies and/or procedures used by DOJ regarding the placement of persons under "intelligence" investigation or other surveillance, including but not limited to any that mention, refer to, and/or relate to any of the following activities by the person placed under "intelligence" investigation or surveillance:</p> <p>A. Filing police reports and/or crime reports with local law enforcement agencies</p> <p>B. Filing PRA, IPA and/or other information requests with government agencies</p> <p>C. Communicating with Members or committees of any legislative body, including but not limited to the California State Assembly.</p> <p>D. Communicating with any news media, including but not limited to newspapers, magazines, web sites, television stations, and/or radio stations.</p> <p>2. Any and all policies and/or procedures used by DOJ regarding the placement of persons under "intelligence" investigations or other surveillance, including but not limited to:</p> <p>A. Any access to the targeted person's medical records and /or records of any use of services at any medical providers including but not limited to hospitals, Health care providers, pharmacists, Doctors, Laboratories, medical imaging, MRI, radiology, and other medical procedures and pharmacies</p> <p>B. Any entry into the targeted person's residence or house or any houses where the targeted person may stay</p> <p>C. Any inspection of and/or taking of any of the targeted person's documents or other personal property</p> <p>D. Any access to the targeted person's banking information</p> <p>E. Any access to the targeted person's safe deposit box</p> <p>F. Any access to the targeted person's car or any car in which the targeted person is using other than the targeted person's own car</p> <p>G. Any access to the targeted person's service providers, including but not limited to auto mechanics, gas and electricity, cable providers including exfinity, Comcast, Consolidated Communications, Security Alarm Providers including but not limited to ADT, Monitronics, bay Alarm,</p> <p>H. Any access to any hotel where the targeted person may stay</p> <p>I. Any access to the targeted person's mail (including incoming and outgoing)</p> <p>J. Any access to the targeted person's phone conversations, text messages, social media (including but not limited to the social medias known as Telegram), and international telephone calls</p> <p>K. Any 24/7 or other monitoring, following, stalking, and/or videotaping of the targeted person</p> <p>L. Any communications or actions taken regarding the targeted person's civil, administrative, and/or other legal matters to which the targeted person is a party, including but not limited to Public Records Act and/or Information Practices Act requests.</p> <p>M. Any communications or actions taken regarding the targeted person's animals or pets (including but not limited to cats and dogs), including but not limited to veterinarians and/or pet or animal hospitals</p> <p>N. Any communications or actions taken regarding having the targeted person summoned for jury duty</p> <p>3. Any policies or procedures used by DOJ regarding actions taken when it is reported to or learned by DOJ that false information was used to place any person under any "intelligence" investigation or other surveillance.</p> <p>4. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal,</p>	Completed	Nancy Doig	<p>On September 21, 2018, Nancy Doig responded: On September 10, 2018, we extended our deadline to respond to this request to September 24, 2018.</p> <p>With respect item 1 of your request, seeking records about policies that would govern the communications of persons under investigation with law enforcement agencies, government agencies, members of legislative bodies, and/or media outlets, we do not have any responsive documents.</p> <p>With respect to items 1-3 of your request, as was indicated in our response to your July 17, 2018, request, the DOJ's publicly-disclosable policies that would relate to the treatment of persons under investigation are available via the link provided in the response to your previous inquiry, which is: https://oag.ca.gov/sites/allfiles/agweb/pdfs/publications/doj-law,c1,fQ1:ce-policyprocedurcs-manual.pdf.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking information concerning investigative techniques and law enforcement strategies not contained in the manual linked above, those records are exempt from public disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) and section 6255. Providing the non-public policies would reveal portions of the general "' game plan' or strategy" employed by Department staff in its investigations, which could in turn enable persons being investigated by the Department to avoid detection or hide potential evidence. (See Eskaton Monterey Hospital v. Myers, 134 Cal.App.3d 788, 793.) There is "no public interest in a disclosure which would enable" persons who have violated the law "to escape detection." (Id. at p. 794.)</p> <p>With respect to item 4 of your request, copies of the Department's records retention policies are enclosed.</p> <p>On September 10, 2018, Public Records sent an extension letter.</p>

				transferor non-availability of any of the records requested herein, together with applicable records retention policies.			
PR A-2018-01831	Olfati (comms with LEAs)	Parvin	8/31/2018	[P]lease produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, reports, documents, letters and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any California Department of Justice (DOJ) communications with any law enforcement agency (state, federal, local, or otherwise) that mention, refer to, and or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Olfati, created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ computer or other medium on or after January 1, 2004, and including but not limited to communications to, from, and/or about the DOJ Division of Law Enforcement. Any intelligence or other investigations and surveillance from January 01, 2004 to the day of response to this PRA.	Completed	Nancy Doig	On September 21, 2018, Nancy Doig responded: On September 10, 2018, we extended our deadline to respond to this request to September 24, 2018. With respect item 1 of your request, seeking records about policies that would govern the communications of persons under investigation with law enforcement agencies, government agencies, members of legislative bodies, and/or media outlets, we do not have any responsive documents. With respect to items 1-3 of your request, as was indicated in our response to your July 17, 2018, request, the DOJ's publicly-disclosable policies that would relate to the treatment of persons under investigation are available via the link provided in the response to your previous inquiry, which is: https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/publications/doj-law.c1,fQ1:ce-policyprocedurcs-manual.pdf . To the extent that you are seeking information concerning investigative techniques and law enforcement strategies not contained in the manual linked above, those records are exempt from public disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) and section 6255. Providing the non-public policies would reveal portions of the general "game plan" or strategy" employed by Department staff in its investigations, which could in turn enable persons being investigated by the Department to avoid detection or hide potential evidence. (See Eskaton Monterey Hospital v. Myers, 134 Cal.App.3d 788, 793.) There is "no public interest in a disclosure which would enable" persons who have violated the law "to escape detection." (Id. at p. 794.) With respect to item 4 of your request, copies of the Department's records retention policies are enclosed. On September 10, 2018, Public Records sent an extension letter.
PR A-2018-01843	Hermina	John	8/31/2018	[A]ny and all complaints and related materials (including responses and documents submitted against the above-referenced entity) filed against Excelsior College, which is located in A bany, New York, specifically pertaining to the Associate Degree In Nursing ("ADN")/leading to RN/Nursing Program, including, but not limited to any and all complaints about an exam known as the Clinical Performance in Nursing Exam ("CPNE") and the wait time for taking the said Exam. The information is requested for the period beginning on January 1, 2014 through the present time.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)
PR A-2018-01845	Jones (complaints and investigations)	Christopher	8/31/2018	This request is being made for Public Records. We ask that you please provide us with copies of any and all documents or communications maintained by your office relating to any complaints, investigations, citations or reviews of telephone calls, telephone solicitation recorded message calls (or robo-calls) or telemarketing made by or on behalf of SGS Automotive Services, Inc., between the dates of January 1, 2012 to the present. Such phone calls may have been placed from the phone number 800-340-4080. This specifically includes but is not limited to, all documents related to any investigation conducted or citations issued to SGS North America, Inc. or any person calling on its behalf or related to these types of calls or violations or alleged violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA), 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq. or state or federal do not call laws. This also includes all documents related to any consumer or public complaint related to these types of sales calls made by this entity or any program, protocol or process adopted as a result of any investigation.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) This completes our response to your request for records.

PRA Requests

Received From: 9/1/2018

Received To: 9/30/2018

Total: 178

Request ID	Requestor Last Name	Requestor First Name	Received Date	Request Description	Request or Business Name	Request Status	Responder	Response Summary
PR A-2018-01779	Detweiler	David	9/4/2018	Declaration Under the California Uniform Transfers to Minors Act filed between 7/10/2010 and 12/31/2011. Transferor-Custodian any of: Rita Louise Detweiler, Rita Louise Lamoree, Howard Russell Detweiler, Howard Russell Detweiler Jr., Detweiler Family Trust, for minor David Scott Detweiler.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a financial trust, you may wish to contact the financial institution through which the trust was established.
PR A-2018-01780	Detweiler	David	9/4/2018	One "Declaration Under the California Uniform Transfers to Minors Act" filed between 3/18/2005 and 12/31/2011; Name of Transferor-Custodian any of: "Rita Louise Detweiler," "Rita Louise Lamoree," "Howard Russell Detweiler," "Howard Russell Detweiler Jr.," "Detweiler Family Trust," "Ana Maria Nava-Colin," "Ana Maria Nava," "Ana Maria Colin," "Ana Maria Detweiler" Name of Minor: "David Scott Detweiler"		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a financial trust, you may wish to contact the financial institution through which the trust was established.
PR A-2018-01781	Betty (personal records)	Nikiya	9/4/2018	A list of names of the individuals, documents and records referencing Nikiya Betty (self) between the years of: 1970-2020, including any aliases or previous names (e.g. unknown, girl). Records of interest: Court cases, public property records, financial records, notifications of the public, birth certificate, clinical trial/research participation, missing persons records, change of name, places of residence, schools and institutions of study, etc. The records may be with another individual. A birth certificate is suspected to have been registered (delayed), amended or issued to this person between the years of: 1976-1987. Possible aliases: First name - N ki Nikki N kiya Behtya Mary Hailey Lisa Kira Samantha Sam; Last name - Betty Bett Betty Beatty Beatty Anton Antonacropolis Antona Anton-Betty.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)

								Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01782	Beentjes (DMV records)	Richard	9/4/2018	I am requesting a copy of forms that was submitted to CA Dept . of motor vehicles on Tuesday , August 28, 2018 by Courtney Mau. The DMV document gave Courtney Mau illegal ownership of the 1979 Chevy pick up truck .I did not sign nor did my wife sign any forms stating the release of ownership. We are the registered owners (Richard G. Beentjes and Tonia Beentjes) DMV suggested that Courtney Mau possible had forged both our names on other documents that gave her the illegal posession of our truck's title.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Since you are seeking records from the Department of Motor Vehicles, you would need to submit your request to that agency. Information on contacting their office can be found at http://www.dmv.ca.gov .
PR A-2018-01783	Wong (vital records)	Harmony	9/4/2018	Divorce record		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public

								Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A-2018-01784	Tracey (property info, ID theft)	Daniela	9/4/2018	Name of Home owner of [REDACTED] I need to find out who's using my I'd and s.s. number, hacking my phone and emails please help me Hi some people I knew stole all my ids and s.s number Jan 2016, I just realized the boyfriend I'm living with has something to do with it..... My phones being hacked and no o e has my number cause i changed it 2 times this week...I got a call today from a california phone number which was [REDACTED] and she left message on my voicemail she wants to'buy' my house (I don't own a house) I live now in Vegas,the address she told me she wanted to buy my house was 471 Cleveland ave..I need to know if someone bought a house out there under my name (Daniela Tracey) and ss number...I need an idea of who is using my info and hacking my phone...		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides. You additionally mentioned that you may be a victim of identity theft. Information on how to report fraud and identity theft can be found at https://www.justice.gov/criminal-fraud/report-fraud).
PR A-2018-01785	Wilder (Firearm statistics)	Sandra	9/4/2018	Per the Public Records Act, please send me counts of individuals that own a firearm, along with a count of firearms, broken down by residence zip code, age of owner, sex of owner, firearm type, category, make, year firearm was acquired, and whom the firearm was acquired from. Please contact me with any questions.		Completed	Public Records	January 9, 2019 - to date fees were not received. October 16, 2018 request for fees: On September 14, 2018, we extended our deadline to respond to this request. As a preliminary matter, the Department does not have complete data regarding all firearms owned by California residents. For example, certain reporting laws related to long-gun firearms did not become operative until January 1, 2014, and, as a result, we would not have data on some classes of firearms acquired before that date. Additionally, California law only allows the Department to provide specific firearm ownership information to law enforcement personnel, the courts, or the registered owner of the firearms. (Cal. Pen. Code, §§ 11105, 11106.) Because of these limits, the Department cannot provide a complete response to your request. The Department will be able to provide a report with information concerning the counts of individuals that own firearms, broken down by zip code and firearm type (only hand/long gun) that has been reported to it, but please be aware that, when reporters (e.g., firearms owners, dealers) provide us with the information from which we would compile this report, zip code is an optional field. Therefore, the zip code

								<p>information may not be complete. Also, the Department is not made aware when an individual moves or passes away, so any list provided could potentially include data regarding individuals who no longer live in California.</p> <p>Because the Department does not run the type of report we can provide as part of its regular course of business and it had not already had to run this report for another purpose, it would need to task an Information Technology Specialist I (Range C) with engaging in programming to extract the data and run the report. This programming would take approximately 15 hours, at a cost of \$626. The Department is allowed to charge for this programming. (See Gov. Code, § 6253.9, sub. (b).)</p> <p>If you would like us to provide you with a report with information concerning the "counts of individuals that own firearms, broken down by zip code" and "firearm type (only hand/long gun)" that has been reported to the Department, please make a check payable to the California Department of Justice in the amount of \$626 and send it to: California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-06 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>If we do not receive payment within 20 days, we shall consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p> <p>An extension letter was sent by Public Records Coordinator, Erin Nickless.</p>
PR A-2018-01786	Heo (CA Insurance Code section 10134, et seq. records)	Elizabeth	9/4/2018	Documents served on the Attorney General's Office pursuant to California Insurance Code section 10134, et seq. from (08/01/2018 through 08/31/2018). Please contact me to arrange a time to inspect and copy these records.		Completed	Howard Wayne	We will provide you with such documents. Please contact Nancy Quach at 415-703-5648 to schedule a time for you to review these records. If you wish to copy these documents, you will need to provide your own scanning equipment.
PR A-2018-01787	Yi (Divorce Decree)	Han	9/4/2018	Divorce Decree		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .

PR A-2018-01788	(Prison/CH/Death records)	She by	9/4/2018	Ronnie Lee Wallace, Born on [REDACTED], in los angeles, CA. He was in prison in san quentin state prison. He is related to me, wanted to see if I could get ahold of his public records. Criminal arrests history. Also records on his death.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code (PC) section 11076, explicitly outlined in PC section 11105, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records, or to the person who is the subject of the record. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security . Court information through the appropriate county superior court is public record. To the extent that you are requesting records on the individual's death, after consulting with various programs within the DOJ, the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request. You may want to check with San Quentin State Prison. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PR A-2018-01789	Gonzalez Abrego (Accident Report)	Luis	9/4/2018	An accident report on 02/04/2016. By the 10 freeway and Arlington. Docket citation/ File: 160098521.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PR A-2018-01790	Colon (3rd Party CH & Traffic records)	Felix	9/4/2018	I am looking for any and all criminal or traffic records for Jewley Adams. Jewley Adams DOB is [REDACTED]. These infractions would have incurred in Cottonwood, or Red bluff located within Tehama County CA. The traffic violation occurred in Dec. 2017 in Red Bluff driving without a license and speeding greater than 55 mph. Her known addresses for any of these records would be [REDACTED] If these records can be located please send information on how these copies can be retrieved. If social security is needed it can be provided. I do not have any case numbers for these matters		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .

PR A-2018-01791	Avalos (personal records)	Jesus	9/4/2018	I would like all of my record information such as date, case name, case number, document description, etc. Thank you.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-01792	Smith (Specific Case Info)	Joel	9/4/2018	Case Number F17935 file date 05/28/2009		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional</p>

							information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A-2018-01793	Gomez (Unlawful Detainer)	Danny	9/4/2018	Unlawful detainer Case number: 30-2014-00750353-CL-UD-NJC Date: 10/14/2014		Completed	Erin Nickless You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking unlawful detainer records, you may wish to direct your request to the local superior court in which the case was filed.
PR A-2018-01794	Clark (Paloma Del Sol Bylaws)	Laura	9/4/2018	Paloma Del Sol HOA Bylaws, 2016 Paloma Del Sol is located in Temecula, CA 92592		Completed	Erin Nickless You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from a Home Owner's Association (HOA), you may wish to direct your request to the HOA's office.
PR A-2018-01795	Kaisler (Specific Case Info)	Denise	9/4/2018	Adrienne Thompson vs. Citrus Community College District 1/24/2018 Crisanta Serrano vs. Citrus Community College District et al 06/22/2017 Avery Leale vs. Citrus Community College District 06/22/2017 Sylvia Pinedo vs. Citrus Community College District et al Any and all information pertinent to these cases, especially the original complaints and current status.		Completed	Erin Nickless Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A-2018-01796	Justice (vital records)	Brooke	9/4/2018	Marriage license for Brooke Bienfang to Steven Robert's on [REDACTED] in Santa Ana, CA aorange County. Needing for passport and to board a cruise ship. Can be just emailed.		Completed	Erin Nickless To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public

							Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A-2018-01798	Willard (Seller's permit - Organix)	Stacy	9/4/2018	Please provide copies of all seller's permits issued to Organix, Inc., number C3823279, at any time.		Completed	Erin Nickless You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter shows that you may be searching for records from the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/taxes-and-fees/faqseller.htm
PR A-2018-01799	Kater (case info)	Alex	9/4/2018	- Looking for case information on Nicole Kater who is accused of parental kidnapping Tierra Zion Gehl-Kater - The arrest warrant was issued in 2005 in Northern California - The missing person listing is: https://oag.ca.gov/missing/person/tierra-zion-gehl-kater - FBI case #: 7SF138620		Completed	Jaimie Tackett To the extent that you are requesting court records, the California Department of Justice (DOJ) does not maintain such records. You may want to contact the courts for this information. To the extent that you are requesting criminal history records of the named individual, California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code (PC) section 11076, explicitly outlined in PC section 11105, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records, or to the person who is the subject of the record. To the extent that you are seeking warrant information, the DOJ maintains the Wanted Persons System, a 24-hour pointer system available to law enforcement agencies, which is accessible through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). CLETS was established for the express purpose of permitting peace officers and law enforcement agencies to conduct official business via a secure telecommunications network.

							(Gov. Code, §§ 15151-15153.) Accordingly, any information transmitted via CLETS to or from the Wanted Persons System is confidential and exempt from disclosure. For all of the reasons stated above, we must decline your request. Lastly, to the extent that you are requesting information relating to the missing persons case, everything that is published in the link that you provided contains all of the information on the named individual with respect to that case. The DOI responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.	
PR A-2018-01800	Blonien	Jarhett	9/4/2018	All communications between the Department of Justice and cities and counties pertaining to amendments to their local gaming ordinances.		Completed	Riann Kopchak	Except as specifically provided in the Gambling Control Act, the records of the Bureau, a subdivision within the Department of Justice, are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) In addition, subdivision (d) of Business and Professions Code section 19821 makes it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department of Justice to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act. A review of your records request suggests no applicable exception to the general exemption from disclosure set forth above. Accordingly, any records that would be responsive to your request are exempt from disclosure by the terms of the Public Records Act, pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Your request for disclosure of these records is therefore denied. The decisions described herein have been made by Associate Governmental Program Analyst Kaylee Joseph and Staff Services Manager I Riann Kopchak.
PR A-2018-01814	Alvarez (Bizjournals)	Felicia	9/4/2018	September 6, 2018 clarification: Hi, thanks for the follow-up. The time frame is 3-1-18 to the present day. September 4, 2018 request: Hi. I am requesting public records of bids, intents to purchase, proposals to acquire, or other documents that signify a group's intent to buy the assets of the following hospitals: O'Connor Hospital 105 Forest Avenue, San Jose, CA 95128 St. Louise Regional Hospital 9400 No Name Uno, Gilroy, CA 95020 Seton Medical Center 1900 Sullivan Avenue, Daly City, CA 94015 Seton Coastside 600 Marine Boulevard, Moss Beach, CA 94038 Proposals may cover one or multiple of the listed hospitals.		Completed	Wendi A. Horwitz	On September 7, 2018, Wendi Horowitz responded: We have no documents responsive to your request. On September 6, 2018, public records (EN) sought clarification: We are seeking clarification of your request, in which you requested the following: "[P]ublic records of bids, intents to purchase, proposals to acquire, or other documents that signify a group's intent to buy the assets of" Your request then listed several hospitals. Can you please specify a time frame for the records that you are seeking? Thank you in advance.
PR A-201	Chou	Marian	9/4/2018	My client is Lidia Marleny Grande Garcia. She also called Lidia M. Calderon. Her D.O.B. is [REDACTED]. I am a licensed D.C. attorney. I will need her complete conviction records from your state to assist her to file for citizenship.		Completed	Jaimie	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history

8-01851				Her cell phone is [REDACTED] if you have any question to ask her. My office phone are 202-783-2794; 789-1186.			Tackett	records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code (PC) section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. (Also see PC section 11105.) An exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. For additional information and to request your own record, the following is the Record Review website: https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review . California law also allows individuals to request record clearance from the DOJ for Visa/Immigration purposes. The following link describes the basic steps to complete a request for a background check: https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/visaimmigration . Failure to follow these procedures may result in a delay or the rejection of an application for a DOJ response under PC Section 11105(c)(9). The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. Note, court information through the appropriate county superior court is public record.
PR A-2018-01861	Brodie	Derek	9/4/2018	I was arrested for DUI back in December of 2007, I believe it may have been on the 23rd. I am requesting the Humboldt County court documentation of the Charge Information and Hearing Information stating that the case was Finalized. Thank You for your time.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining</p>

							Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01862	Kennedy	Michele	9/4/2018	What is the procedure to obtain complaints through the Freedom of Information Act relating to Style We, Inc. and Tranplus Union, Inc.?		Completed	Erin Nickless You have requested information regarding the Freedom of Information Act, which is a federal law applicable to federal, not state, governmental agencies. Therefore, any request for public records made to our Department would be treated as if it were made under the California Public Records Act, found in California Government Code Section 6250 et seq. Your inquiry mentions that you are seeking complaints. Please note that consumer complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) If you would still like to submit a records request to our office, the best way to submit a request for records to our Public Records Unit would be to use our online request form, which can be found at https://oag.ca.gov/contact/publicrecords_form .
PR A-2018-01802	Christo	Robyn	9/5/2018	Records of time billed in Matter ID No. SF2014408670, Client Agency No. 00017 (In re the Eva Lindskog Trust aka People v. Shine) for the following five professionals: 1. Elizabeth S. Kim (409.50 hours) 2. Joseph N. Zimring (.75 hours) 3. Maria Elena Hernandez (44.50 hours) 4. Marie F. Lee (994 hours) 5. Susan J. Kawala (2,376.25 hours). Requested records include detail for all of the following fields: 1. "Prof Name" 2. "Date/Trans No." 3. "Matter ID/Client Agency" 4. "Matter Description/Stage/Narrative" 5. "Section/Task Code" 6. "Units."		Completed	Caitlin W. Noble For the reasons set forth below, we must decline your request. First, the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the Eva Lindskog Trust also known as People v. Shine are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Second, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of

								Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Third, the records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (t) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).].)
PR A-2018-01803	Williams	Jeremy	9/5/2018	Francine Hendricks filled out a police report 1-3 days following rape. I do not have an exact date. This was filled out at the Long Beach Police department in 1996 or maybe 1997. She was attending school at Brooks College at the time.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PR A-2018-01805	Wilson-Smith (Divorce)	Chris	9/5/2018	Divorce Record I believe that my spouse has filed for divorce without my knowledge.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A-2018-	Koch (CCW permits)	John	9/5/2018	Do you know the state-wide total for civilian (2-year) CCWs as of 2017 Dec 31?		Completed	Erin Nickless	As a preliminary matter, we are interpreting "CCW" to refer to a Concealed Carry Weapon license. As of December 31, 2017, the Department had

018 07							a total of 106,812 active civilian CCWs identified on its system. Please keep in mind that CCW permits generally expire and need to be renewed every two years, so the "total number" can fluctuate on a daily basis. This completes our response to your request.
PR A- 201 8- 018 09	Wilder	Devin	9/5/ 201 8	Case # M089025 Dated 03/23/2012 San Diego Central I am in the process of getting my Contractors license and I need certified court documents for this case number M089025		Comple ted	Erin Nickl ess We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with San Diego Central Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PR A- 201 8- 018 10	Hill	Paige	9/5/ 201 8	Divorce date for a Cory Wayne Ferguson, son of Timothy Layne Ferguson, of San Benito County.		Comple ted	Erin Nickl ess To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A- 201 8- 018 11	Doughe rty	William	9/5/ 201 8	My name is William Dougherty Jr., I am requesting my fathers death certificate in order to take care of the estate. I am currently in Panama in the province of Chiriqui. At the moment I am not able to travel to retrieve this document in person and at the moment dont have family to help me with this matter.		Comple ted	Erin Nickl ess To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .

PR A-2018-01837	Molina	Yolanda	9/5/2018	<p>Offense: DUI County: Los Angeles State: CA When: 1973 Who: Daniel Patrick Kiley Comments: My name is Yolanda and I am assisting Dr. Daniel Patrick Kiley in obtaining his ND Dental License. The North Dakota Board is in need of his police report/arrest summary in order to issue his license. I initially requested the police report/arrest summary with the LAPD R&I Division however, I am unable to proceed with the request until I am able to obtain the EXACT booking number and DR number to locate the report especially since it occurred in 1973. They need the number because that is how it is stored in microfiche and there is no other way to look it up without that number. I have been instructed to submit a public records request in order to obtain this information. If needed I have a signed release on behalf of Daniel Kiley. Thank you for your time and attention to this request!</p>		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code (PC) section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. (Also see PC section 11105.) An exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. For additional information and to request your own record, the following is the Record Review website: https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review. If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. Note, court information through the appropriate county superior court is public record.</p>
PR A-2018-01871	Olfati (2016 complaint)	Parvin	9/5/2018	<p>[P]ursuant to the PRA, IPA, and Article I, Section 3(b) of the California Constitution, I hereby request that the DOJ produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, Deputy Attorney General, officer, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between January 1, 2018 and the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to, from, with and/or about the USPS that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati, and/or [REDACTED].</p> <p>If any of the records requested in this PRA/IPA request have been destroyed; transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please provide the records of such destruction, transfer, or unavailability, together with applicable record retention policies.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Letter dated September 14, 2018: Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.</p>
PR A-2018-01873	Olfati (USPS)	Parvin	9/5/2018	<p>Therefore, pursuant to the PRA, IPA, and Article I, Section 3(b) of the California Constitution, I hereby request that the DOJ produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, Deputy Attorney General, officer, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between January 1, 2018 and the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to, from, with and/or about the USPS that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati, and/or [REDACTED].</p> <p>If any of the records requested in this PRA/IPA request have been destroyed; transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please provide the records of such destruction, transfer, or unavailability, together with applicable record retention policies.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.</p>
PR A-2018-01813	Roloff (duplication costs)	Christine	9/6/2018	<p>September 18, 2018 response: Do you ever provide the documents on a CD? September 18, 2018: Thank you for the extensive response below. I am looking at the Information Practices Act, not the PRA. When your agency responds to a request under the Information Practices Act, do you provide copies of the documents on a CD? If so, what is your charge? September 6 request: I work for the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, which has a per</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>September 24, 2018 response: Yes, we often utilize CDs to reduce postage costs when the copies requested are too voluminous to e-mail to the requester. Our process for calculating duplication fees is outlined in more detail on the attached .pdf, section 04327 on the</p>

				page charge for copies of documents provided in response to a request under the Information Practices Act, but does not have a system-wide charge when those documents are provided on a CD. Does DFW have a charge for that or do you provide the copies on the CD free of charge? If DFW has a charge, what is it? I would appreciate any help!				eleventh page of the attachment. September 18, 2018 response: Our duplication costs would be the same for the Information Practices Act as what we charge for the PRA. September 12, 2018 response: You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Department of Fish and Wildlife, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to submit a Public Records Request to their office can be found on the Department of Fish and Wildlife's web page at https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/General-Counsel/Public-Records-Requests . Though you are seeking information about the Department of Fish and Wildlife, I would like to note that the Department of Justice charges ten cents per page for documents that have to be copied or scanned, plus the cost of mailing the documents or disc. If the total cost of duplication is going to be less than \$5.00, we often waive the fee if the cost of the staff time taken to process the payment exceeds the benefit of seeking fees. If a document is already in .pdf and we do not have to scan or copy the document to send it to the requester, we do not charge duplication costs.
PR A-2018-01815	Anderson (property info)	Cody	9/6/2018	property search on Current Property owner: 1050 Carolyn way, Beverly hills, CA property search on Current Property owner: 118 S Beverly Dr STE 215, Beverly hills, ca		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PR A-2018-	Rayman (case records)	Lacey	9/6/2018	1038120 Anna Doe vs Jameel Kawaja Case Number 1038120 Court Santa Barbara - Anacapa Judicial Officer		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review

018 16				Anderle, Thomas P File Date 01/11/2001 Case Type Unlimited Other PI/PD/WD (23) Case Status Judgment			records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A- 201 8- 018 17	Charell (CH, protecti ve order)	Marilyn	9/6/ 201 8	Offense: Aggravated Assault against Chris McCurdy Incident on 7/8/2018 at [REDACTED] Previous incidents occurred several times at [REDACTED] Amber Charell indicated she filed a protective order for her (soon to be ex-daughter in law) and my granddaughter, Dillinger Charell against Chris McCurdy. Would I be able to receive a copy? I take care of my granddaughter, and I would like a record of this.		Comple ted	Jaimi e Tack ett To the extent that you are requesting the offense information, unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. An exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review . To the extent that you are requesting protective order information, we must deny your request. The California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS) is a statewide database designed to store information identifying persons named in restraining orders and is accessible via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the DOJ, is restricted by law. (Gov. Code § 15152). Access to records relating to CLETS inquiries is similarly restricted. Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)). The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In this instance, you may want to request this information from the courts as these records are public.
PR A- 201 8- 018 18	Guerrero (Family court, adoption)	Krystal	9/6/ 201 8	Child support or adoption		Comple ted	Erin Nickless Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are

								instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding adoption. Our research into the matter shows that you may wish to contact the adoption agency that was involved, or the Department of Social Services for the records you are seeking. More information on requesting records from the Department of Social Services can be found at http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests# .
PR A-2018-01820	Collins (consumer complaints)	Chris	9/6/2018	I had a question regarding access to information on consumer complaints that the California AG receives. We are a data provider to a number of home improvement financing companies. These companies lend money to consumers via a network of contractors. Most of these companies take consumer protection very seriously and they want stay on top of any bad behavior from their contractors (misleading consumers, targeting elderly, etc.). They can kick the contractor out of their financing program if their behavior warrants it. On a monthly basis, if we sent you a list of company names, would you be able to let us know if there were any complaints filed against those companies? We would not need the details or consumer name - just the record that a complaint was filed. I think this could be a win win for these companies and the AG in protecting consumers. I am also reaching out the CSLB, so any explanation on the overlap with complaints filed with the CSLB would be very helpful.		Completed		
PR A-2018-01821	Moctezuma (CH minutes)	Amarett	9/7/2018	No. G052280. I am contacting your office in regard to my personal criminal trial minutes and if there is a fee i would like to make a payment any information regarding my case would be appreciated as well as the name of my appeal attorney and the status of that appeal.		Completed	Cynthia S. Lumley	Our records indicate that your appellate attorney was Jan B. Norman, State Bar No. 117117. According to the State Bar of California website, the current contact information for Ms. Norman is: Jan Burns Norman 10627 Fitzroy Ave Tujunga, CA 91042-1502 Phone Number: (818) 273-9282 Fax Number: (818) 273-9282 Email: janbnorman@aol.com We recommend that you contact Ms. Norman directly and request copies of your case file, which may possibly result in no monetary charge to you. If that is not satisfactory, please contact us with a more focused request. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) Your request for "criminal trial minutes" may refer to the Clerk's Transcript of your criminal case, but it is not clear. For these reasons, we must decline your request for records as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific

							request would be likely to generate additional records. (Gov. Code, section 6253 .1.) If you wish to discuss this matter further, please contact me at the address above.
PR A-2018-01822	Best (UAVs around Disneyland)	Emma (Muckrock)	9/7/2018	Pursuant to the California Public Records Act, I hereby request the following records: Records relating to or mentioning the alleged unauthorized UAVs over and around Disneyland, as described in the FBI email on the 8th page of the attached document released through FOIA.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A-2018-01826	Brown (vital records)	Pamela	9/7/2018	Divorce Decree for Stanley Ricker Brown and Pamela J. Brown Nee Hurst married 1972 Divorced 1976(?) Attorney David Ellis Los Angeles County I believe the above information is correct. If you cannot find it maybe a copy of the marriage certificate would be OK. I need it to obtain a copy of my birth certificate from Illinois.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Page%20Birth%2C-Death%2CFetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A-2018-01835	Pickens	Jason	9/7/2018	In response to Morandum of Decision, case number #BH011841..., I wanted to know if you guys can send me a copy of my court case Number BA449525-01 at C.C. B. Superior Court of CA, Los Angeles County. I was petitioning Penal Code 2900.1 and have been trying to get a copy of my sentencing transcripts, because I did not plea to 2 years with ½ ... In my "word for word" transcript I can prove they was not talking year's or prison, they was ta king days and trying to calculate my time until they said I only owe 485 days with 450 days credits time serve, no tail., that's why they put on my abstract of judgment/"minute order", they maid a mistake and put, inmate is to serve the (low) term of 2 years, which is 16 months...their low term of 2 years, , which is 16 months...their low term of 16, 2, and 3 is (16!) months on 594(a) vandalism over \$400 1170(h)(1) p.c. states 16, 2,and 3. Now on paperwork I have proof on a second level screening 602 response, I got copies of a nunc pro tunc order prepared on (6/4/18) by D. Fang the clerk, fixing all the mistakes and errors by striking the low term of 2 years,,, and adding the mid term of 2 years – admitting CDCr wrote them and told them to fix... anyways a person is suppose to do 365 days off of 2 years with ½. The judge said I have 215 days actual days and 215 good time work time for 430 days time served... "No Tail" and that's the reason I took the deal in the first place... the judge said the county should release me with 450 days with only 485 days to do, means if I serve 35 days I would have served day for day all my time, but the county is letting people out early. Don't worry because you would only have to serve 35 days which he thinks I won't have to do it though.. they have been making so many mistakes maybe the judge thought my 430 days covered 16 months and the clerk thought 430 days covered 2 years with ½. Either way I took my plea base on time being served with no tail. I could have got reinstated and went back to the program..., that's the first thing they asked me – do I want the program back? I said yes! Until they said, time served if I want to plea out now... (So I said OK!) Ok if nothing else can you send me a copy of my transcripts for (BA449525-01) in court room 123, clerk (Delys Fang) on (4-30-18) to prove, Penal Code 2900.1 – time served under invalid judgment ect; credits upon subsequent commitment for same act... they maid and fixed enough errors. I have everything except (sentencing transcripts) which in at 3rd level screening with 602 appeals log number N.K. S. P.C-18-01778/that's (N.K.S.P) log number #N.K.S.P-C-18-01778 can I reopen another case, or resumitt, send	Completed	Philip J. Lindsay	The Attorney General's Office does not have any documents regarding case number BA449525-01. You may want to request these documents from your attorney, if you were represented by one, the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office, or the Los Angeles County Superior Court. Transcripts can be requested directly from the court reporter.

				application or sentencing transcripts.				
PR A-2018-01847	Mejares (investigation report)	Rita	9/7/2018	A copy of a report related to an elder care facility named Lafayette Healthcare. The investigation was done by the Department of Social Services, but she was told by a District Attorney to contact the Department of Justice.		Completed	Aviva Burmas	The records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)").) When we spoke on September 11, 2018, you mentioned that the family of Phillip Terhune has filed a civil lawsuit against you and that your attorney asked you to request the investigative findings from our office. I informed you that the records you are seeking are not disclosable under the Public Records Act and suggested you consult with your attorney regarding other avenues of obtaining the requested information.
PR A-2018-01827	Milman (Court Cases)	Brenna	9/10/2018	I am the plaintiff in a lawsuit and need to answer discovery for the defendants. One of the form interrogatories asks for me to provide any court cases I've been a party to. I was wondering if I could get that information. Just the title and case number for the 2 civil court cases I've been a party to. I'm not sure how else to go about this to best answer this discovery.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to the office in which the case was filed.
PR A-2018-01828	Hughes (vital records)	Jane	9/10/2018	1972 or 1973 Fresno Co, CA Marriage for Jane L. Wilkens to Kenneth S. Parsley 1976 Fresno Co, CA Divorce for Jane L. Parsley from Kenneth S. Parsley 1977 Fresno Co, CA Marriage for Jane L. Parsley to Ray N. Hughes 1995 San Luis Obispo Co, CA Divorce for Jane L. Hughes from Ray N. Hughes		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at:

								https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A-2018-01829	Hernandez (Custody Records)	Gabriela	9/10/2018	hello, i am gabriela hernandez. so unfortunately, i lost the court documentation in which it tells that my grandmother, eudocia cervantes torres, took custody over me. i not only just need a copy of it, i do need it for school as well. my birth mothers name is jessica hernandez and my dads name is cesar javier cervantes. also, i was born in Stanford hopspital, in palo alto. this document had to be filed in the year 2006 or so. i am trying to give all the information that i have in order to search for the document as i really need it. i do not have the case number or name.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking child support and/or custody records, you may wish to direct your request to the court that issued the child support or custody order, or the California Department of Child Support Services (DCSS). Information on how to contact DCSS can be found on their website at http://www.childsup.ca.gov/home/dcscscontactinformation.aspx.</p>
PR A-2018-01830	Miller (3rd Party Records)	Shannon	9/10/2018	<p>All records for Linda Marie Allomong. Other last names could be O'Connor, Flores, Walters Born [REDACTED]. Murdered November 22, 1975</p> <p>I am looking for any and all records I can regarding my mom who was found murdered the day after my 7th Birthday. Her murder was never solved. I am trying to gather as much information as I can. Thank you</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal</p>

								Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01833	McCoy (Prop. 47 convictions)	Justin	9/10/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records that specify how many individuals annually were sentenced to incarceration (for any duration) due to criminal drug convictions in the State of California before as well as after the passage of Proposition 47 (2010-present) and how many individuals were sentenced to incarceration due to criminal drug convictions for longer than one year before and after the passage of Proposition 47 (2010-present).		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The Department of Justice (DOJ) discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information, found here: https://oag.ca.gov/research-center/request-process . The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov . Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PR A-2018-01836	Daughertry (2015 Refugio Oil Spill)	Deon	9/10/2018	September 14, 2018 clarification: I'm trying to access pretty straightforward information on the case, People v. Plains All American Pipeline, L.P., but I can't seem to locate it online. Specifically, I'm looking for the initial filing, response and transcripts of testimony. Could you please point me in the right direction? September 10, 2018 request: I would like access to records from the People v. Plains All American Pipeline LP regarding the 2015 Refugio Oil Spill.		Completed	Dennis Beck	September 14, 2018 response to clarification: First, I assume that you are seeking documents from the criminal prosecution of Plains, which is People v. Plains All American Pipeline, L.P., Santa Barbara County Superior Court case no. 1495091. This is the case in which, on September 7, 2018, a Santa Barbara County jury returned guilty verdicts on several criminal charges against Plains (https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/attorney-general-becerra-and-santa-barbara-county-district-attorney-dudley). Please let me know if this is correct. If that is the case, attached is a copy of the indictment against Plains that was in force at the start of the jury trial. In California criminal law practice, a defendant is not required to file a formal document of answer or response to an accusatory pleading like an indictment or a complaint. Rather, a defendant will plead "guilty" or "not guilty" in open court when the defendant is arraigned by the court on the charges.

								<p>As for transcripts of testimony, I assume that you are looking for transcripts of the oral testimony given during the jury trial. (Please let me know if this is correct.) If so, you need to contact the court reporter who transcribed that testimony. California Government Code section 69954, subdivision (d), reads: "Any court, party, or person who has purchased a transcript may, without paying a further fee to the reporter, reproduce a copy or portion thereof as an exhibit pursuant to court order or rule, or for internal use, but shall not otherwise provide or sell a copy or copies to any other person or party." The Attorney General's Office interprets this subdivision as requiring members of the public seeking court reporter transcripts of oral court proceedings to acquire them only from the court reporter, and not from the public agency that may have purchased them in the course of the litigation. To get copies of the transcripts of the trial testimony, you should contact the court reporter, Michelle Sabado, at michelle.sabado.csr@gmail.com. Please let me know if you are requesting any other records at this time.</p> <p>On September 14, 2018, Dennis Beck sent a follow up: I am again following-up regarding your California Public Records Act request. As noted below, I left you a voice mail message and sent you an e-mail this past Wednesday, September 12, 2018, asking that you contact me to discuss your request and to clarify which types of records or other documents you are seeking by way of your request. I have not heard back from you, either by phone or e-mail. I also left you another voice mail earlier this afternoon.</p> <p>Please contact me at your earliest convenience to discuss your request.</p> <p>On September 12, 2018, Dennis Beck sent a clarification request: I am a Deputy Attorney General for the California Attorney General's Office. I left you a voice mail earlier this morning and I am following-up with this e-mail. I am contacting you in reference to your request under the California Public Records Act (attached). In that request, you said, "I would like access to records from the People v. Plains All American Pipeline LP regarding the 2015 Refugio Oil Spill." I would like to discuss your request with you to get clarification regarding the types of records or other documents you are seeking. Please contact me via this e-mail address or by phone at 916-210-7801.</p>
PR A-2018-	Rose (probate records)	Susan	9/10/2018	my brother Thomas E Warren was left two properties in the will of Robert c Lambert who died [REDACTED]. One of the properties @ [REDACTED] had to be sold because of a lawsuit and claim against it by a third party. (possible name Herbert Alber) not totally sure about that. I think the sale is dated Nov 30 1999. I am trying to find the name of the law firm that handled that sale. and all documents relating to the court case.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We

01838								have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding a probate matter. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office.
PR A-2018-01839	Lutz (consumer statistics)	Ethan	9/10/2018	My name is Ethan Lutz and I work for US PIRG, a non-profit advocacy firm that does work for consumer financial protection. I am working on a report about state-level consumer financial protection, and I had a few questions about California's consumer protection division of the AG office: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• How much money per year, or at least in the past year, has been collected and returned to consumers as a result of enforcement activity?• What percent of consumer complaints are resolved with relief to consumers?• Is the database public? Can I take a look at consumer complaints?• What are some examples of high-profile cases within the consumer protection area?		Completed	Amons Harts ton	Your requests related to consumer complaints to our Office, and our Office's investigation of consumer complaints, encompass confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to, or investigations conducted by, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. Thus, those materials are not included in this response. In addition, you ask several questions about our Office's consumer protection work. The Public Records Act provides the right to inspect certain records. We are not required to respond to questions. Our Office, however, does publish public information about recent consumer actions, settlements, and news releases on our website at https://oag.ca.gov/consumers/actions and https://oag.ca.gov/media/news . In addition, our website contains biennial reports and other information about our consumer protection work that may be helpful to you. We hope the information we are able to provide is of assistance to you.
PR A-2018-01840	Decoulos (Incorporation, consumer complaints)	John	9/10/2018	Please email the following information of Loan Depot, 26642 Towne Centre Drive, Foot Hill Ranch, CA 92610-2808: <ul style="list-style-type: none">1. Date of Incorporation2. Names of officers.3. Number of complaints		Completed	Erin Nickless	In regard to items 1-2 of your request, you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter shows that you may be seeking records related to the California Secretary of State's Office. If so, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact their office can be found online at

							http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/ . In regard to item 3 of your request, o the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PR A-2018-01841	Kick (consumer complaints and investigations)	Russ	9/10/2018	1) All INTERIM and FINAL/CLOSING reports, memos, and similar documents that your office has created regarding the Equifax breach. 2) All letters and similar correspondence sent to Equifax, informing them of your findings, requirements, etc. 3) All documents that Equifax has sent to your office in the course of the investigation/probe.		Completed	Amos Hartson Your requests related to our Office's investigation encompass confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to, or investigations conducted by, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. Thus, those materials are not included in this response. We are providing, however, the following non-exempt documents in response to your requests: Submitted Breach Notification Samples from Equifax, dated September 7, 2017, and October 13, 2017; Office of the Attorney General, "Attorney General Becerra Issues Consumer Alert Following Equifax Data Breach," Press Release (Sep. 10, 2017); Office of the Attorney General, "Attorney General Becerra Continues Efforts to Address Equifax Data Breach, Urges Consumers to Take Action to Protect Against Identity Theft," Press Release (Sep. 15, 2017); Office of the Attorney General, "In Wake of Equifax Data Breach, Attorney General Becerra Urges Credit Agencies to Provide Free Credit Freezes," Press Release (Oct. 10, 2017); Office of the Attorney General, "Attorney General Becerra: In Wake of Equifax Data Breach, All Californians Should Sign Up for Free Credit Monitoring by Wednesday's Deadline," Press Release (Jan. 29, 2018); Submitted Breach Notification Samples from Equifax, dated March 26, 2018. We hope the information we are able to provide is of assistance to you.
PR A-2018-01842	Wallace	Curtis	9/10/2018	1. that you provide petitioner, Curis Lee Wallace [F43888], a party of interest in case No. VA093233: a. Gun shot residue test results; b. Names of officers directing traffic in the parking lots the night of the alleged incident; c. The reports and supplemental reports of the officers directing traffic in the parking lots the night of the alleged incident; d. A copy of the security video surveillance tapes from the area businesses that shows that the alleged incident did not happen as alleged by the police and district attorney exculpatory evidence; e. A copy of the ballistic results of the handgun that is registered to me; f. A copy of the ballistic results for the second handgun that was recovered the night of the alleged incident;		Completed	Irene Chavez Our office does not have any records responsive to your request, therefore, you might attempt to contact the Downey Police Department or any other involved law enforcement agencies for further investigative reports.

				<p>g. The name of the forensic lab used by the Downey Police Department between 2005 thru 2008;</p> <p>h. The name of the forensic lab used by the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office from 2005 thru 2008;</p> <p>i. If you or your office is not in direct possession of a thru h above then provide me with the current location, and name of the facility, person, and/or agency having direct access and possession thereof;</p> <p>j. That you honor your oath of office contract by simply performing these lawful demands in order to not breach, break, violate said oath of office contract solemnly swearing not to deny and/or deprive me due process and equal protection of the law.</p>			
PR A-2018-01849	Resetar (crime statistics)	Amber	9/10/2018	<p>I am requesting that your department provide me with crime statistics for 2017 for the following offenses that occurred on our campus or on public property within and immediately adjacent to our property:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Murder/Non-negligent Manslaughter • Manslaughter by Negligence • Rape • Fondling • Incest • Statutory Rape • Robbery • Burglary • Aggravated Assault • Motor Vehicle Theft • Arson <p>Please specify if any of the above-listed offenses were categorized as Hate Crimes. In addition, please include statistics for any incidents of Larceny-Theft, Simple Assault, Intimidation, or Destruction/DamageNandalism of Property that were classified as Hate Crimes. I also need the category of bias for each Hate Crime according to the eight categories for which we are required to report: Race, Religion, Sexual Orientation, Gender, Gender Identity, Disability, Ethnicity, and National Origin.</p> <p>Please include statistics for arrests only for the following Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) categories:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Liquor Law Violations • Drug Abuse Violations • Weapons Violations: Carrying, Possessing, Etc. <p>Please include separate statistics for all incidents of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, and Stalking. For Clery Act reporting, we are required to use the following definitions for those terms:</p> <p>Domestic Violence: The term "domestic violence" includes felony or misdemeanor crimes of violence committed by a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the victim, by a person with whom the victim shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabitating with or has cohabitated with the victim as a spouse or intimate partner, by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the victim under the domestic or family violence laws of North Carolina and other relevant jurisdiction, or by any other person against an adult or youth victim who is protected from that person's acts under the domestic or family violence laws of the jurisdiction.</p> <p>Dating Violence: The term "dating violence" means violence committed by a person-(A) who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the victim; and (B) where the existence of such a relationship shall be determined based on a consideration of the following factors:</p> <p>(i) The length of the relationship.</p> <p>(ii) The type of relationship.</p> <p>(iii) The frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship.</p> <p>Stalking: The term "stalking" means engaging in a course of conduct directed at a specific person that would cause a reasonable person to-(A) fear for his or her safety or the safety of others; or (B) suffer substantial emotional distress.</p> <p>I am requesting the required statistics for the University of North Carolina Wilmington for the following specific addresses for the time frames listed below:</p> <p>Holiday Inn Express Palm Desert 74675 Hwy. 111 Palm Desert, CA 92260 2/15/2017 to 2/18/2017</p> <p>I would appreciate it if you would forward this information to me as soon as you get an opportunity, but no later than September 10, 2018. We are in the process of publishing Q_ur annual report, and we will need to include these statistics in the final draft.</p> <p>In addition, if a serious crime that may cause an ongoing threat to our campus community is reported to your department, we would appreciate it if you would notify our University Police Department immediately. The institution has a legal responsibility to notify the campus community in a timely manner about any crimes on and immediately around the campus that pose an ongoing threat to the community.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>After consulting with various programs within the California Department of Justice (DOJ), the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request as the DOJ does not collect or receive the Clery Act data; it is submitted by the local agencies directly to the federal government. Furthermore, as we are currently a Uniform Crime Reporting Summary state, we do not collect data in the manner or specificity you are seeking. To obtain this information, you may want to contact the local agencies having jurisdiction over the locations identified in your request.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>

PR A- 201 8- 021 33	Shores	April	9/10 /201 8	I'm am waiting to see if I am able to purchase a fire arm , and if I am able to qualify for a cow in the State of California.		Comple ted	Publi c Reco rds	<p>We would first like to note that we are assuming the term "cow" in your request was intended to read "CCW," which is a shorthand often used to describe Carry Concealed Weapons permits. The Department does not make determinations regarding individuals' eligibility to obtain CCWs. If you want to apply for a CCW, you will have to apply with your local law enforcement agency. (See Pen. Code, §§ 26150 & 26155.)</p> <p>As to your inquiry regarding your general ability to purchase a firearm, if DOJ has records with information that is related to your eligibility, those records would most likely be kept as part of the Attorney General's duties under Penal Code sections 11105, 11106, and 30000. For example, the categories of information kept by the Department that might speak to your ability to own a firearm include summary criminal history information (Pen. Code, § 11105), copies of licenses to carry firearms (id. at § 11106, subd. (a)(l)(B)), and information regarding persons who owned firearms on or after January 1, 1996, and subsequently became prohibited from owning firearms (id. at § 30000, subd. (b)). But the law limits the distribution of the information collected pursuant to these statutes to specified persons, primarily law enforcement officers. (See id. at §§ 11105, subds. (b) & (c), 11106, subd. (a)(2), & 30000, subd. (b).) Those persons do not include members of the public making California Public Records Act requests. Therefore, we cannot provide you with any records reflecting that kind of information under the California Public Records Act. (See also Cal. Const., art. I, § 1 [protecting California citizen's-right to privacy]; Gov. Code, § 6254, subc.i. (k) (incorporating other prohibitions and exemptions regarding the distribution of records and information into the Public Records Act).)</p> <p>To the extent your Public Records Act request seeks those kinds of records-and even if you were to clarify that you only seek such records that specifically pertain to you-your request is denied.</p> <p>Notwithstanding our denial of your Public Records Act request, it is possible for an individual who wants to check her own personal eligibility to own a firearm to do so. However, this request must be made using procedures that allow the Department to verify that the individual purporting to seek information about herself is, in fact, who she says she is. For an individual to determine if she is eligible to possess, receive, own, or purchase a firearm she must to submit a Personal Firearms Eligibility Check (PFEC) form to the Department, along with a copy her California Driver License or Identification Card, and a check or money order for the fee of \$20.</p>
------------------------------------	--------	-------	-------------------	---	--	---------------	---------------------------	---

							<p>The form must be signed and notarized, and include an impression of the individual's right thumbprint. If the individual seeking to determine her eligibility is a non-U.S. citizen, she must also submit a copy of her Alien Registration or I-94 Card.</p> <p>For your convenience, a copy of the form one would use to make a request for a PFEC is included with this letter. For more information regarding this process, please see the Bureau of Firearms website, FAQs, Personal Firearms Eligibility Check Program, which is available at https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pfecfaqs.</p>
PR A-2018-01844	Hernandez (case records)	Irmas	9/11/2018	<p>Case name: Francisco J. Fernandez Case #: KA113015-01 Case date: Feb 2017 City: Pomona, Calif. Judge: Salvatore _____ I am seeking court transcripts for plea agreement, sentencing and victims statement.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a local court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>
PR A-2018-01846	Holliday (water rights permit)	Nicholas	9/11/2018	<p>I am looking for the "Special use permit" from the National Forest granted to the previous owners of our ranch for the easement and transmission of water through the national forest to our Ranch for irrigation. Our California water right number is #57 for this particular water right. It would have been granted from the Shasta Trinity national forest out of the Big Bar Ranger District.</p> <p>Some records we have show "2710-water transmission" from National Forest on Aug 14 1962 to Paul Kaut Another says "File code : 2720" from National Forest on Jan 8 1996 to James and Mary Carpenter and says please contact Lisa Wrenn-Duncanson</p> <p>We have some paperwork that is related to but not the actual "Special Use Permit"</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. If you have more information about the records you are seeking, please provide that to us. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to water rights, you may wish to direct your request to the office of the California State Water Board. Information on how to contact their office regarding public records can be found at https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/resources/public_records/.</p>
PR A-2018-01848	Weiner (building permits)	Ronald	9/11/2018	<p>Building permits and all other forms relative to the construction of AIN 4467 024 009 5731 Bonsall Dr., Malibu, CA 90265</p> <p>I wish to view in person or online all documentation affiliated with constructing this house.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for</p>

								records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PR A-2018-01850	Olfati (July 20, 2017 letter)	Parvin	9/11/2018	I am sending this letter, pursuant to the Public Records Act (PRA) and Information Practices Act (IPA), to request that the Attorney General's Office send me a copy of the Attorney General's Office response to the Parvin Olfati July 20, 2017 letter stating that the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) had recommended that my complaint regarding ADT be filed with the Department of Justice (DOJ), and attaching the July 18, 2017 DCA letter.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We are enclosing a copy of the July 31, 2017 letter that our Public Inquiry Unit sent in response to your July 20, 2017 letter.
PR A-2018-01852	Villery (settlements against CDCR)	Jared	9/11/2018	Pursuant to the California Public Records Act ("CPRA"), California Government Code §6253, I'm requesting to inspect public records pertaining to settlements of lawsuits that have been brought against former and/or current employees of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation ("CDCR"). Because taxpayer funds are used to resolve such actions, these records are subject to mandatory disclosure to the public. Generally, any member of the public is entitled to inspect any public records at an agency's office during normal office hours, without being required to pay for the cost of copying any documents. See GC §6253(a). However, because I am incarcerated, I cannot inspect records in this manner. Despite this obstacle, I still have the right under California law to inspect public records without having to pay for the cost of copying them, unless I specifically request such copies. The responding agency has a nondiscretionary, ministerial duty to facilitate the inspection of such records. Specifically, I wish to inspect any and all "Settlement Agreements" entered into from January 1, 2014 to September 5, 2018, which resolved lawsuits filed in the U.S. District Court, for the Eastern District of California, against former or current employees of the CDCR, which were brought by former of current state prisoners for any reason.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request encompasses a time frame in excess of four years. A search for records responsive to your request as it is currently cast would require each section with potentially responsive records to be canvassed, requiring staff or each individual deputy attorney general to review every individual assigned matter to determine if they have any documents responsive to your request. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>To attempt to accommodate your request, we have instead run a search for responsive records from the past six months. As of the date of this letter, we have already identified at least 285 pages of records that would be responsive to your request. We need additional time to search for additional documents that may be responsive to your request. Please note that when we calculate this page count, we are not including cases in which a CDCR employee is dropped from the case prior to the settlement agreement being signed. If you wish us to include these as well, please let us know.</p> <p>In the event that you would like to narrow your request, we are enclosing one settlement agreement as a sample to assist you in assessing how to proceed with your records request.</p> <p>The Department of Justice is authorized to charge the direct cost of duplication for providing copies of records in response to public records requests. (Gov. Code § 6253 subd. (b).) The cost for making a photocopy of a paper record is \$0.10 per page.</p> <p>Your request for records has generated 285 pages of disclosable records from our initial</p>

								<p>search for documents, resulting in a duplication fee of \$28.50. An additional charge of \$6.70 is due to pay the postage fee (regular U.S. ground mail) for mailing the documents. You may avoid this additional fee by arranging to have someone pick up the documents at our Sacramento Office. The total cost for copying and mailing in this instance is \$35.20.</p> <p>Please make the check payable to the California Department of Justice and send your check to:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Suite 1760 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>Upon receipt of your check in the above-stated amount, we will promptly forward to you the disclosable records responsive to your request. If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p> <p>We hope the information we have provided is of assistance.</p>
PR A-2018-01854	Bosola	Mark	9/11/2018	Requesting 911 call transcript, police report, and any other documents/records that may be considered public with regard to incident at 4333 Athens Street, San Diego CA 92115 on 18 August 2018. The case number is 18025922. Thank you. - Mark Bosola		Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request seeks records of a 9-11 call and police reports. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PR A-2018-01857	Hill	Eric	9/11/2018	I'm asking for a copy of a court case that was in Ventura County California. The case number is; 2012008953 and date of case 2012, need help because Ventura Country as destroyed their records. Case description, under the influence of a controlled substance.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Responded via telephone that this was criminal history and gave him the number for record review unit.
PR A-2018-01896	Tidwell	Juan	9/11/2018	Under the California Public Records Act, Gov Code section 6250-6258, I am requesting access to all prior laboratory results, data, and underlying notes from your agency with respects to Laboratory case NO: 05-008855; 05-002305; and 05-011705. The Submitting agency was the Sacramento Police Department Laboratory of Forensic Services located at 4800 Broadway, Suite 200- Sacramento CA 95820-1530. I request that any and all applicable search, duplication, handling and/or mailing fees be waived, based upon the attached application for in forma pauperis status by a prisoner. Should said costs not be waived, I then request a written notification of any and all applicable cost for said information to be sent to me by mail and a statement of reasons as to why such attached costs cannot be waived, along with supporting legal authority and/or regulations. If you deny all et any part of this request, please cite each specific exemption you think justifies your refusal to release the information and notify me of appeal procedures available under the law.		Completed	Shannon Patterson	We must decline your request. A discovery request made in the context of a case, whether a state court criminal trial or appeal, or a federal habeas or other postconviction matter, should be directed to opposing counsel for response under controlling authority. In your request, you are seeking information developed by this office in conjunction with an investigation by another law enforcement agency. The Department of Justice, Bureau of Forensic Services is an investigating agency in this case, and the flow of investigative information and test results takes place

							through the attorney(s) representing the State. (See, e.g., Pen. Code § 1054 et seq.)
PR A-2018-01898	Thomson (CCWs)	Robert	9/11/2018	I would like to request public records for Torrance police departments issued and denial for concealed weapons permits from 2014 to present.		Completed	Erin Nickless Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. While the Department of Justice does track data related to Concealed Carry Weapons (CCW) permits, the specific information you are seeking would be available through the local police or Sheriff's Department as the issuing agency. Since you are seeking records related to Concealed Carry Weapons permits from the Torrance Police Department, you may wish to direct your request to their office. The California DOJ has no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)
PR A-2018-01855	Rosenstreich (crime on specific property)	Aaron	9/12/2018	A violent crime occurred in 2006 at 3458 Coolidge Ave, Oakland, CA. What was the nature of this crime? I am deciding on purchasing a house at 3458 Coolidge Ave, Oakland, CA and it has been disclosed to me that "a violent crime" occurred in 2006 on the property, but the details of the crime and the resolution are being withheld. Before I purchase this home I'd like further details on what happened.		Completed	Erin Nickless You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides. This information may also be contained in a police report. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PR A-2018-01856	Blutstein (America Rising -Darin Gibbons PRA requests)	Allan	9/12/2018	Copies of all public records requests submitted by the Republican National Committee (e.g., Darin Gibbons) since September 1, 2017 that seek records pertaining to former AG Kamala Harris, as well as the records released in response to those requests.		Rolling Production	Erin Nickless January 8, 2019 response: On September 24, 2018, and October 24, 2018, our office produced documents responsive to your request. One of our responses to Mr. Gibbons, dated September 21, 2018, stated that our production would continue on a rolling basis. We have recently produced additional documents to Mr. Gibbons. Enclosed please find our letter to Mr. Gibbons dated December 28, 2018, as well as the documents that were produced in response to his request. On October 24, 2018, the Public Records Coordinator sent our second set of responsive documents: On September 24, 2018, our office produced documents responsive to your request. At that time, one of our responses to

								<p>Mr. Gibbons, dated September 21, 2018, stated that our production would continue on a rolling basis. On October 23, 2018, we provided additional documents to Mr. Gibbons. We will produce additional responsive documents to you as they become available. Enclosed please find our letter to Mr. Gibbons dated October 23, 2018, as well as the documents that were produced in response to his request.</p> <p>On September 24, 2018, the Public Records Coordinator sent our first set of responsive documents: Attached are the records we have identified as being responsive to your request. Please note that one of our responses to Mr. Gibbons, dated September 21, 2018, states that our production will continue on a rolling basis. As such, we will likewise produce those responsive documents to you as they become available.</p>
PR A-2018-01858	Currie (divorce records)	Saralyn	9/12/2018	I would like to receive my two divorce records; both from George D Currie.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p>
PR A-2018-01859	Avila (divorce)	Jessica	9/12/2018	divorce certificate		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p>
PR A-201	Ortega	Lazarus	9/12/2018	This request is made pursuant to the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act 5 U.S.C. §552 and the Privacy Act 5 U.S.C. §552a(d)(1) for a full disclosure and release of all records and/or data contained in the files of your Department and/or Agency under my name and/or identifier to my name. This request sought herein is		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies.</p>

8-01906				<p>for Bond Information and/or Commercial Crimes Bonding Information and/or Case Bonding Information and/or Commercial Crimes Bonding Certification 5 U.S.C. §552 (a)(2)(A)(B) of records that are secured and maintained by your Department and/ or Agency.</p> <p>The records sought specifically but not limited to are the compiled files containing:</p> <p>(1) Criminal Case Bonding Information (2) Commercial Bond Certification (3) Noted Criminal Case Bonding and/or the Bond(s) which secured the financing and/or the pledge for the financing of the Criminal Case listed above (4) Certified true and correct copies of the Bond(s) and identification number(s) (5) Certified indication of the amount secured per Bond per each offense charged (6) The eigiiration date and specified interest for the specified length of time of these Bond(s) (7) Which governmental body and/or whom or what "person(s)" i.e. corporations companies, associations, firms, partnerships, societies, joint stock companies, individuals and/or officers (a) secured the Bond(s) (b) hold the Bond(s) (8) Any and all other records and data concerning the Bond(s) not otherwise exempt by 5 U.S.C. §552 (a)(6)(C),(b)(7), 5 U.S.C. §552a(j)(2),(k)(2) or law Public Citizens v. Dept. of Justice (1989) 491 U.S. 440, 105 L. Ed 2d 377, 109 S. Ct. 2552; Dept. of Justice v. Reporters Comm. (1989) 489 U.S. 749, 103 L. Ed 2d 774, 109 S. Ct 1448; Detroit Free Press v. Dept. of Justice, 73 F. 3d 93 (1998); F.B.I. v. Abramson, 465 U.S. 615 72 L. ed 2d 376, 102 S. Ct. 2054 (1982) including exemption - u.s.c. §552(b)(3).</p> <p>If the information, records and/or data requested are placed, filed, secured and/or held in a separate, different and/or distinct file by or under another name, number or identifier other than the case docket number and/or identification(s) Listed above I authorize and request your Department and/or Agency to open and/or access that file for all the information, records and/or data requested herein.</p> <p>It is further requested that your Department and/or Agency in response to all the information requested, specifically inform me if and to what governmental body and /or to whom and/or what "person; , previously described, has been released and/or disclosed any of the information and/or material requested herein, their name, title purpose and need for such information and/or material, the date of release, and the specific information and/or material released and/or disclosed such information and/ or material and the specific reference to authority, statute or regulation governing such release and/or disclosure 5 U.S.C. §552a(b)(1)---(12), (c)(1)---(4), or law, Abraham & Rose, P.L.C. v. U.S. 138 F. 3d 1075 (1998); Ray v. Dept. of Justice 720 F. 2d (1983).</p> <p>Your Department and/or Agency is advised that the Bonding and/or Bond(s) info, data or reports in total are no longer accord exempt status unless under specific exemptions noted, and only with reference to specific citation of authority, Nemetz v. Department of the Treasury, 446 F. Supp. 102 (1987); Akins v. Federal Election Com'n, 101 F.3d 731 (1996); Gummoc v. Gore, 180 F. 3d 282 (1999); Solar Sourses Inc. v. U.S., 142 F. 3d 1033 (1998).</p>			<p>We have searched our records and legal indices and consulted with knowledgeable persons and found no records responsive to your request as it pertains to criminal bonding. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>In the event you are seeking criminal records, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed by contacting the Record Review Unit at:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Record Review Unit P.O. Box 903417 Sacramento, CA 94203-4170</p> <p>In regard to your search that we provide "all records and/or data contained in the files of your Department and/or Agency," under your name, we request that you provide more specifics, such as dates, case numbers, and any other information that can help us narrow your request. We must deny your request, as it is currently cast. PRA requests should be sufficiently specific and focused to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) If you would like to submit a more focused request for Public Records, please contact us at:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Suite 1760 Sacramento, CA 95814</p>	
PR A-2018-01863	Campo s (personal records)	Alicia	9/13/2018	I would I ke a complete copy of my records		Comple ted	Erin Nickl ess	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of</p>

								another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01864	Templeton (court, CH records)	Tyler	9/13/2018	I am looking for court records pertaining to Anthony Michael Penwell. Born on [REDACTED] Convicted offense 288(a) Lewd or Lascivious acts with a child under 14 years of age. Convicted 2006 Release 2006 Last known address [REDACTED] Mr. Penwell has started attending our church and it has come to leaderships attention that he is a registered sex offender. We are in the process of completing due diligence to best protect the children of our community. Comments: Tyler [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining

							Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01866	Lanza	Kym	9/13/2018	Nycole Lynne (Lee) Davis DOB: [REDACTED] 4'9". 90 LBS. L/K/A Indio, CA. Civil or Criminal Records Request Daughter missing. Husband posing as her on internet. Looking for Nycole Lynne Lee Davis DOB: [REDACTED] 4'9". 90 LBS. L/K/A INDIO, CA.		Completed	Erin Nickless To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01867	Roland (Keddie Murders investigation)	Kim	9/13/2018	September 21 follow up: Many of the files in the Keddie Murders were released in 2008 (by Plumas County Sheriff Greg Hagwood) for a documentary including 2 full interviews conducted by DOJ Agents Harry Bradley and Prince Albert Crim. It seems a lot of the information has already been made public, the case is 37 years old, and many of the people who were interviewed are now deceased. Is there no chance that the rest of these files will ever be released? September 13 request: (1981 Keddie Murders Case) Interview of Richard James Sharp conducted by Special Agents Harry Bradley and P.A. Crim 0927 hours on 4-13-1981 I am requesting information on the 1981 Keddie Murders Case for personal research. Please contact me if the cost of copies exceed \$75.		Completed	Erin Nickless September 26, 2018 response to follow up: To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].) September 20, 2018 response: To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f)

								expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)
PR A-2018-01868	Rupp	Miriam	9/13/2018	<p>Shasta County Total AOD Related Arrests by year starting at 2015 and the following years. Specifically, Totals for all alcohol and all drug arrests.</p> <p>Total Felony Arrest totals for each topic: narcotics, marijuana, dangerous drugs, other drugs, and driving under the influence.</p> <p>Misdemeanor Arrests with totals for each topic: marijuana, dangerous drugs, other drugs, drunk, liquor laws, driving under the influence, and glue sniffing.</p> <p>Total Arrests for Shasta County 2015 2016 2017</p> <p>Alcohol</p> <p>Drugs</p> <p>Felony Arrests:</p> <p>Narcotics</p> <p>Marijuana</p> <p>Dangerous Drugs</p> <p>Other Drugs</p> <p>Driving under the influence</p> <p>Misdemeanor Arrests:</p> <p>Marijuana</p> <p>Dangerous Drugs</p> <p>Other Drugs</p> <p>Drunk</p> <p>Liquor Laws</p> <p>Driving under the influence</p> <p>Glue Sniffing</p>		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.</p>
PR A-2018-01869	Enriquez (court records)	Rosario	9/13/2018	<p>I am hoping to get records of Defendant Marcos Enriquez. Case was opened in 2009 of June or July. I do not know the case number but I am trying to get a hold of that.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the</p>

							record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .	
PR A-2018-01870	Schroeder (arrest data - dictionary and metadata)	Gregor	9/13/2018	The data dictionary and metadata for the ninety-three variables associated with the complete 1980-2017 arrest dataset used to create the "Crime in California 2017" report published by the California Department of Justice Information Services Division. Previous request for the complete dataset made on August 15th, 2018 was fulfilled without a data dictionary or description of the ninety-three variables in the dataset. These variables are (YEAR, NCIC_NAME, GENDER, RACE, AGE_GROUP COUNTY, SC001_sum to SC076_sum, HOMICIDE, F OTHERSEX, F OTHER, VIOLENT, PROPERTY, F_DRUGOFF, F SEXOFF, F_ALLOTHOTHER, M_OTHERDRUG, M_ALLOTHOTHER, F TOTAL, M_TOTAL, S_TOTAL).		Completed	Nicole Arnai z	Attached please find the Data Dictionary that explains the additional 7 Summary Codes to supplement the Data Dictionary you have from last year (which is still valid).
PR A-2018-01872	Tignor (DNA records)	James	9/13/2018	All (B.FS.) (D.N.A.) records from the custodian of records thru & by the CA Attorney General and Office or Controller of (B.FS) DNA records on myself and any related reports including chain of custody of and medical reports & all assault records of any & all used to prosecute (sic) me in this Tehama Co. DIA No. F07-35304, NCR: 71044 - NCR: 71728 D.N.A. case. I sign (sic) this under perjury that this is my true identity and request for my records to use in defense at court.		Completed	Shannon Patterson	We must decline your request for the reasons set forth below. A discovery request made in the context of a case, whether a state court criminal trial or appeal, or a federal habeas or other postconviction matter, should be directed to opposing counsel for response under controlling authority. In your request, you are seeking information developed by this office in conjunction with an investigation by another law enforcement agency. The Department of Justice, Bureau of Forensic Services is an investigating agency in this case, and the flow of investigative information and test results takes place through the attorney(s) representing the State. (See, e.g., Pen. Code § 1054 et seq.) To the extent that you are seeking your CAL-DNA Data Bank Program forensic DNA profile, California Penal Code section 299.S(a) exempts DNA Data Bank Program records from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. A criminal defendant may obtain his or her DNA profile and related information only as discovery in an ongoing criminal proceeding pursuant to court order. (Pen. Code, § 299.S(g).) Significant criminal and civil penalties could be imposed upon Department of Justice employees for violating these disclosure restrictions. Moreover, the records you request qualify as Department of Justice "intelligence information," and are thus exempt from Public Records Act disclosure under Government Code section 6254(f). (See American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 449-450 [interpreting "intelligence information" to include personal identification information] .) Similarly, Civil Code section 1798.40(b) exempts criminal investigative records from disclosure under the Information Practices Act. Finally, the information you request constitutes privileged official information within the

							meaning of Evidence Code section 1040. That provision similarly prevents its disclosure.
PR A-2018-01891	Zarillo (adoption records)	Kim	9/13/2018	Ms. Zarillo is seeking her own adoption records.		Completed	Erin Nickless The Public Records Coordinator called Ms. Zarillo to let her know DOJ does not maintain adoption records.
PR A-2018-01900	Bradford (initiatives)	Charles	9/13/2018	"on the three strikes & two strikes. I had no strike but when I got this case I became a three striker. I had a violence case in 91. And this case 2014, for PC 246.3(a) discharging a firearm with negligence & PC 25850(a) The case as a misdemeanor. From three strikes to a dill 9 years from life cases 2 years for the case 2 doubled = 4 plus 5 years to 667(a) (1) PC667 (a) + 5 years per prior state prison, PC 667.5 (b) + 1 yr per prior, PC 667.5(b) + 1 yr per prior, PC246.3(a) 2 yrs state prison, The total 9 years. 80% (33%) 5 years 6 ms, 1 year good time. 8 years to do. Doing to much time for a nonviolent case my full term base term is done 4-2016 This case is a nonviolent case.		Completed	Anabel Renteria It appears you are requesting information regarding Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018", a copy is enclosed. This initiative did no qualify for the November 2018 General Election. This completes our response to your request.
PR A-2018-01874	Miller	Jessica	9/14/2018	judgement records from approx 1982 for Eric Kendal Hasting		Completed	Michael Dolida On October 8, 2018, Michael Dolida The records of all our case files are organized and maintained electronically in a case management software application called Prolaw. While the Prolaw system shows case files for an Eric Hasting and an Eric Kendall Hastings, none are for the time frame as indicated in your request. If you are able to provide any additional information such as an appellate case number, we will conduct a further search. Without any additional information, we have no responsive records to your request. If you have not done so already, you may try contacting the local District Attorney's Office and/or local state courthouse where the offenses were committed. These public agencies may have additional information in case files or court files that can be disseminated to members of the public. On September 21, 2018, Dawn Stott sent an extension letter.
PR A-2018-01875	Carreon Aguirre (vital records)	Carmen	9/14/2018	Case # MSD98-00118 FOR DIVORCE Default on 12/01/1998 in Martinez, Ca Carmen Marisol Gonzalez VS Jose Luis Gonzalez In need of a copy of my divorce decree, I'm applying for my U.S.A citizenship and immigration requested proof of my divorce. I live out of state and at this moment it is impossible for me to fly to California. I had asked my sister to get it for me but the office refuses to file the application when in the application she's requesting my divorce decree to be send to my out of state address.		Completed	Erin Nickless To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Page%20Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A-2018-	Edwards (3rd Party	Dianna	9/14/2018	Clarification received 9/14/18: We are needing to see if there are any criminal or civil records for the individual within the past 10 years if poss ble. Please let us know if there are any fees for uncertified copies if there are any records. 9/14/18 request: We are seeking a records search for the following individual:		Completed	Erin Nickless September 20, 2018, response: To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records based on the information

018 76	Record s)			<p>Debbie Laverne Anderson (AKA: Debbie Laverne Cleveland) DOB: [REDACTED] If there are no records for this individual, please let me know via email.</p>				<p>provided. Please let us know if Debbie is short for Debra, Deborah, or other name. If there is any additional information, please provide that to us. If these were private cases or cases handled by a district attorney, it is unlikely that we would have those records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed or to the local district attorney's office.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>September 14, 2018 response: If you have additional information, such as the nature of documents you are seeking, case names, case numbers, or a time frame that we can reference to help identify the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PR A- 201 8- 018 77	James (CCWs)	Stephe n	9/14 /201 8	<p>This request is for the state-level (all counties) list of Carry Concealed Weapon (CCW) license holders in California. Please provide a list with the names and city or county of all CCW license holders in the state. This is not a request for the home address of any license holder, only the city or county of the license holder, consistent with the authority included with this request. See the "comments" section. I would prefer to receive these records in electronic form (such as a pdf file) by email.</p> <p>In 2017, the Contra Costa County Sheriff's Office provided it's CCW list in response to a Public Records Act request from ABC7 News. Citing safety and privacy, home addresses were not provided. See this link: http://eastcountytoday.net/sheriffs-office-releases-ccw-permit-holders-n...<http://eastcountytoday.net/sheriffs-office-releases-ccw-permit-holders-names-and-locations-to-abc7/></p> <p>According to BallotPedia and the Open Government Guide, the list of CCW license holders is a public record under California law.</p> <p>See this link:</p>		Comple ted	Publi c Reco rds	<p>The Department does not keep a list of the names of every person in the State of California that hold a Concealed Carry Weapon license (CCW). Furthermore, to the extent the Department might have information available to it with which to compile such a list, the information would be provided to the Department pursuant to Penal Code section 26225. The Department is only authorized to provide personal information obtained pursuant to Penal Code section 26225 to certain people or entities in order "to assist in the investigation</p>

				https://ballotpedia.org/Public_access_to_concealed_carry_lists			<p>of crime, the prosecution of civil actions by city attorneys ... , the arrest and prosecution of criminals, and the recovery of lost, stolen, or found property." (Pen. Code, § 11106, subd. (a)(l)(C).) Members of the general public making Public Records Act requests are not on the list of entities or persons who can be given that information. (See Pen. Code, §§ 11105 & 11106, subd. (a)(1)-(2).)</p> <p>In sum, the Department has no records that are responsive to your request and include the names of every person who holds a CCW. Moreover, if the Department had a list with the names of CCW holders, that list would be exempt from production in response to a PRA request. (See Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k) [incorporating disclosure prohibitions contained in other statutes into the Public Records Act].) Though we cannot provide you with a list of all CCW s identified by the name of the persons who have CCWs, we can provide you with a list containing information in the sample enclosed with this letter. A person provided with this list could not tie the entries to specific individuals, in contravention of Penal Code section 11106. Because a complete list would be hundreds of pages, and it is unclear if you would see much utility in a list that does not include names, please advise if you would like us to send a list with the categories of information included on the enclosed example.</p>
PR A-2018-01878	Smalls (adoption records)	Carla	9/14/2018	<p>I am requesting the release of sealed adoption records. I have no additional record information. My Birth Certificate has been amended to show my adoptive parents, I have no information to begin this search. The time frame for the adoption I can narrow to 1976-1979. My date of birth is [REDACTED], my birth Certificate shows Carla Elizabeth Bowers (William and Elizabeth Bowers as my parental units) I do not know if an agency was involved. I've also filled out Confidentiality for sibling waivers and have that on file with the state. Please let me know if there is any additional information I can provide to help with this request. I've recently become ill and feel the need to close this gap in my live for my children.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California.</p> <p>We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding adoption. Our research into the matter shows that you may wish to contact the adoption agency that was involved, or the Department of Social Services for the records you are seeking. More information on requesting records from the Department of Social Services can be found at http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#.</p>
PR A-2018-01879	Malone (case records)	Bryan	9/14/2018	<p>Any and all records of material evidence and information provided to the State of California Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General, by Deborah Cullen, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. Sec. 3730(b)(2) and/or the California False Claims Act, prior to Ms. Cullen's filing a complaint as Plaintiff and Relator in the matter of U.S.A. and State of California, ex rel. Deborah Cullen v. Association of Behavior Consultants, et al., CASE NO. 15-cv-01188-EDL (N.D. Cal. 2015)</p> <p>Our firm, LeVangie Law Group, represents defendants Association of Behavior Consultants, Inc. and William Palyo in the above-referenced matter. Please feel free to contact me or my paralegal, Jill Shallenberger</p>	Completed	Suneta Fernandes	<p>We must decline your request. Under the California False Claims Act ("CFCA"), the Attorney General is required to diligently investigate violations under Government Code Section 12651 . (See, Gov't Code section 12652, subd. (a)(l).) The qui tam plaintiffs complaint is filed under seal and a confidential</p>

				(jill.shallenberger@llg-law.com<mailto:jill.shallenberger@llg-law.com>) with any questions. Please provide the requested records electronically, if possible. Thank you for your assistance.			investigation proceeds. (Gov't Code section 12652, subd. (c)(2).) The Attorney General's Office opened an investigation immediately upon receiving notice of Ms. Cullen's allegations. Thus, any documents provided by Ms. Cullen pursuant to her CFCA claims fall within the investigative records exemption. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f) .].)
PR A-2018-01883	Kirk (police reports)	Holly	9/14/2018	Copy of all ploce reports for my residence at 2675 Queens Way Redding, CA 96001 And All case records for case #s SCRDCVFL18-0190079-000 & SCRDCVFL18-0190235-000		Completed	Erin Nickless To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PR A-2018-01888	Ortega (warrants)	Eva	9/14/2018	Search for any warrants Id number is [REDACTED] Date of birth [REDACTED] Los Angeles CA		Completed	Jaimie Tackett The California Department of Justice (DOJ) maintains the Wanted Persons System, a 24-hour pointer system available to law enforcement agencies, which is accessible through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). CLETS was established for the express purpose of permitting peace officers and law enforcement agencies to conduct official business via a secure telecommunications network. (Gov. Code, §§ 15151-15153.) Accordingly, any information transmitted via CLETS to or from the Wanted Persons System is confidential and exempt from disclosure. As such, we must decline your request.

								The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In this instance, you may want to request this information from local law enforcement.
PR A-2018-01890	Olfati (Jury Duty)	Parvin	9/14/2018	I hereby request the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce any and all documents that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any DOJ department, Deputy Attorney General, officer, agent, employee, contractor and/or representative communication with the Sacramento County jury commissioner and/or any Sacramento County jury commissioner officer, agent, employee, and/or representative, including but not limited to the jury commissioner himself or any employee named "Jennifer", that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati, and/or Olfati, that were created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ computer or other medium between January 1, 2018 and the date of your response to this letter. If any of the records requested herein have been destroyed or are otherwise available, please provide the records of their destruction, together with applicable records retention policies.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PR A-2018-02153	Doherty	Moira	9/14/2018	X-Reference No. 3674947, Defendant David M. Howell, III, DOB [REDACTED], as I am the victim and these records are necessary to provide to the court for criminal		Completed	Public Records	December 5, 2018 response: Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) of the California Government Code exempts investigative files from disclosure to the public. However, state and local law enforcement agencies are required to disclose certain information ("Disclosable Information"). Disclosable Information includes the names and addresses of persons and non-confidential witnesses involved in an incident and the statements made by such witnesses. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).) Disclosable Information also includes a description of any property involved, the date, time, and location of the incident, and all diagrams that may have been a part of the report. (Ibid.) Disclosure of Disclosable Information is not required if it would endanger the safety of a witness or other person involved in the investigation, or if disclosure would endanger the successful completion of the investigation or a related investigation. In addition, nothing in the applicable rule requires the disclosure of that portion of those investigative files that reflects the analysis or conclusions of the investigating officer. Similarly, California Penal Code sections 11105 and 11106 exempt records of firearms sale, ownership, and possession, as well as state summary criminal history information from release to the public. Such records may only be disclosed to specified persons, including the individuals referred to in Section 11105, subdivision (b). This list is very specific and does not include members of the public making requests pursuant to the PRA. Per your request dated January 9, 2017, in which you requested much of the same information, you were provided Disclosable Information regarding David M. Howell, in a letter dated January 24, 2017. Another copy of that letter is enclosed. The only additional information that we can provide to you is that David M. Howell's case

							was dismissed by the court on June 22, 2018. This concludes our response to your latest request.
PR A-2018-01884	Kandel (NBC Uni) (Probation Department reports)	Jason	9/17/2018	I'd like to request copies of all "formal reports and responses" from the Probation Department to the California Department of Justice from Jan. 1, 2016 to the date this request is processed. The Probation Department stated on its agency website it would be providing these documents to your agency after it had been under a federal DOJ consent decree. The department said it would supply your agency with this information in the second paragraph in the "Summary" section here: <a href="http://probation.lacounty.gov/wps/portal/probation!/ut/p/b0/04_Sj9CPykss...<http://probation.lacounty.gov/wps/portal/probation!/ut/p/b0/04_Sj9CPykssy0xPLMnMz0vMAfGjzOLdDAwM3P2dgo0MXE2dDBxNnU2dQz1DDE1MjfQLsh0VAcvZ7m4!/>">http://probation.lacounty.gov/wps/portal/probation!/ut/p/b0/04_Sj9CPykss...<http://probation.lacounty.gov/wps/portal/probation!/ut/p/b0/04_Sj9CPykssy0xPLMnMz0vMAfGjzOLdDAwM3P2dgo0MXE2dDBxNnU2dQz1DDE1MjfQLsh0VAcvZ7m4!/>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Justice Statistical Center within the California Justice Information Services Division receives Adult Probation Summary numbers that are reported monthly by county probation agencies. Moreover, the file is used to produce gross counts that provide a statistical profile for felony and misdemeanor probation by county, type of placement, reasons for removal from probation and the number of persons on supervision caseloads. This information can be found on the AG's OpenJustice webpage, here: https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data . Note, we do not receive reports on each individual that is on probation, and what we collect does not have a complimentary federal collection/submission (it's California only). The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PR A-2018-01885	Landres	Nyssa	9/17/2018	I am a graduate student at the W.A. Franke College of Forestry and Conservation at the University of Montana. My thesis focuses on the use of the public trust doctrine by thirteen western state wildlife agencies. My objective is to determine how state wildlife agencies define, conceptualize, and use the public trust doctrine. To that end, I have searched the California Department of Fish and Wildlife's publicly available administrative materials, plans, documents and online resources in order to determine whether and/or how the doctrine is being applied in decisions made by the agency. The purpose of this inquiry is to ensure that I am not missing potentially valuable information or documents demonstrating the agency's application of the doctrine. In particular, I want to make sure that I do not miss any examples of how the doctrine might have influenced an agency decision or management plan.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Department of Fish and Wildlife, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to submit a Public Records Request to their office can be found on the Department of Fish and Wildlife's web page at https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/General-Counsel/Public-Records-Requests .
PR A-2018-01886	Mihalov	Elizabeth	9/17/2018	I am requesting a transcript of the 9-1-1 call I made on December 28, 2003 at around 1:15pm, assuming the records from that call have not since been purged. It was a call that I made after finding James Wakefield, my then-boyfriend, in the middle of a suicide attempt (i.e. trying to hang himself in the garage of the home he was living at). It was so long ago that I no longer remember the address or landline phone number that I had called from, but the home was owned by Richard Brockman (deceased as of 2004). While December 28, 2003 was easily the most traumatic day of my life, seeing a transcript of my 9-1-1 call might help me in my healing process.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you are seeking a transcript of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.

PR A-2018-01887	Keyes (restraining orders, CH)	Tiffany	9/17/2018	Restraining order filed against Sergio Dennis (Torrance, California) by Christina Goodrich (Torrance, CA); also an alcohol related offense (Sergio Dennis)		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>To the extent that you are requesting the offense information, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request.</p> <p>The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code (PC) section 11076, explicitly outlined in PC section 11105, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>To the extent that you are requesting restraining order information, we must deny your request.</p> <p>The California Restraining and Protective Order System is a statewide database designed to store information identifying persons named in restraining orders and is accessible via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the DOJ, is restricted by Jaw. (Gov. Code§ 15152). Access to records relating to CLETS inquiries is similarly restricted. Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA (Gov. Code,§ 6254, subd. (k)).</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In this instance, you may want to request this information from the courts as these records are public.</p>
PR A-2018-01889	Glidden (Vallejo Times-Herald) (Vallejo Marine Terminal)	John	9/17/2018	All writings as that term is defined under the California Public Records Act relating to the Vallejo Marine Terminal project in Vallejo, California, including but not limited to communications of any kind between the California Attorney General's Office and Orcem, Vallejo Marine Terminal or the City of Vallejo regarding the project or internal communications (including emails) about it.		Completed	Erin Ganahl	<p>On October 11, 2018, Erin Ganhal responded: We are enclosing all responsive, non-exempt records in our possession. However, some of the records that you seek are exempt from disclosure.</p> <p>Specifically, some of the records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General, including complaints made to the Attorney General about allegedly unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Thus, correspondence initiated by this office for purposes of investigating whether the approval process for the Vallejo Marine Terminal and Orcem Cement Plant Project ("the Project") complies with applicable laws, such as the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), is exempt from disclosure under the investigatory records exemption in Government Code section 6254, subdivision</p>

								<p>(f). Other documents obtained in the course of such an investigation, as well as complaints from the public made to the Attorney General concerning possible violation of CEQA or other laws, are similarly exempt. FN 1</p> <p>These records are further exempt from disclosure under the PRA' s public interest balancing test, Government Code section 625 5. The Attorney General is vested with enforcement of many of the state's important laws, and the public has an interest in the Attorney General being able to successfully enforce those laws. Investigations by the Attorney General's Office could be hampered if records of those investigations are subject to public disclosure. For example, successful investigation into an entity's legal compliance sometimes requires the Attorney General's Office to communicate freely with other state agencies and/or with experts. Disclosing these records to the public would thus reveal investigatory strategy and tactics, and inhibit the free exchange of information, hampering the public's interest in successful investigations and enforcement of the state's laws. Thus, records of communication with experts and other state agencies in the course of investigating whether the Project is in compliance with the law are exempt from disclosure.</p> <p>You have also requested documents that are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale {1993} 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present matter, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the Project are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Similarly, communications between the Attorney General's Office and client agencies are also confidential and exempt from disclosure. In addition, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Under the Public Records Act, government agencies are not obligated to provide a privilege log or list of every record withheld along with a statutory justification for withholding. (Haynie v Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1074 [where the California Supreme Court noted that public agencies must articulate the specific PRA exemption pertaining to records withheld. "What section 6255 does not require, however, is for the agency to go further and describe each of the documents falling within the statutory exemption." (Italics in original.)].) Moreover, providing such a log would be unduly time-consuming and burdensome under the public interest balancing test. (Haynie v Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1074-75; Gov. Code § 6255.) Thus, we are not providing a privilege log or list of the records withheld. Enclosed, however, please find all non-exempt and non-privileged documents responsive to your request. These include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Email correspondence between the Attorney General's Office and the City of Vallejo; 2) Email correspondence between the Attorney General's Office and attorneys for the applicants for the Project; 3) An October 4, 2016 email and letter sent on behalf of Fresh Air Vallejo to the Attorney General's Office and numerous other individuals and agencies regarding the Project, as well as the response and related communications; 4) Email correspondence regarding the State Lands Commission's Environmental Justice Policy; 5) Vallejo Times-Herald articles and editorials regarding the Project; and 6) Agenda for September 11, 2018 Vallejo City Council Hearing. <p>In addition, our files contain copies of the City of Vallejo's environmental review documents, which can be accessed here: http://www.ci.vallejo.ca.us/cms/One.aspx?pgId=504593. Those documents are extremely voluminous. Thus, rather than providing you with copies, we request that, if you wish to obtain copies of those records, you do so by downloading them from the public website provided.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								FN 1: 1 It is worth noting that investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (J).].) On September 27, 2018, Erin Ganahl sent an extension letter.
PR A-2018-01892	Cox (personal records)	Sierra	9/17/2018	Looking to find out if there are any records, judgments, etc in my name I need to be aware of. Thanks		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A-2018-01909	McDonald (CH Records)	Jeana	9/17/2018	Seeking Public records of a Prison Inmate DB0254		Completed	Erin Nickless	Explained via telephone that CH records are exempt
PR A-2018-01920	Olfati (VSP)	Parvin	9/17/2018	Pursuant to the Public Records Act (PRA), Information Practices Act (IPA), and Article I, Section 3(b) of the California Constitution, I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, Law Enforcement Division, Deputy Attorney General, officer, office, agency, employee, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between January 1, 2018 and the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to Vision Service Professionals (VSP) and/or any VSP officer, employee, agent, contractor or representative that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Olfati, including but not limited to communications regarding sending any VSP mailing or mailings. If any of the records requested in this PRA/IPA request have been destroyed, transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please provide the records of such destruction, transfer, or unavailability, together with applicable record retention policies.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PR A-2018-01926	Collins	Donald	9/17/2018	I'm writing your office in hopes that this office can help me by locating [sic] the following information. On 6.7.82 I was placed on a five (5) year probation in San Bernadino [sic] County. On 8.10.87 that probation was revoked. The information I'm looking for is: the court in L.A. county that revoked my probation and why, the name of the judge that revoked it, the name of the District Attorney and my attorney. This information may be under case #A459253, #VCR829, #355357 I'm also trying to locate copys of search warrants and all related information. This information would be under case # FSB-11389.		Completed	Erin Nickless	In regard to your request for probation records, we must decline your request. Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. In this case, for probation records, you would need to contact the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Their address is as follows:

								California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation PRA Administrators 1515 S Street suite 314S Sacramento, CA 94283-0001 To the extent that you are seeking court records related to case numbers A459253, VCR829, and 355357, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. In regard to your request for warrants related to case number FSB-11389, we must decline your request. The California Department of Justice (DOJ) maintains the Wanted Persons System, a 24-hour pointer system available to law enforcement agencies, which is accessible through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). CLETS was established for the express purpose of permitting peace officers and law enforcement agencies to conduct official business via a secure telecommunications network. (Gov. Code, §§ 15151-15153.) Accordingly, any information transmitted via CLETS to or from the Wanted Persons System is confidential and exempt from disclosure. You may want to contact local law enforcement for any outstanding warrants. As an additional note, your request references warrants related to case FSB-11389. We believe that you are referring to a case which is entered in our database as case FSB11398. While we cannot disclose warrants, you have asked for warrants and “all related information.” If you have more specific information as to which documents you seek, please provide that information to us.
PR A-2018-01893	Combs (gun violence restraining orders)	Brandon	9/18/2018	BACKGROUND: The California Legislature has found and declared that "Firearm violence is a significant public health and public safety problem in California and nationwide." Penal Code section 14230(a). It also found and declared that "Too little is known about firearm violence and its prevention. This is in substantial part because too little research has been done. The need for more research and more sophisticated research has repeatedly been emphasized." Penal Code section 14230(e). California Penal Code section 14231.5 states: "Notwithstanding any other law, the Department of Justice shall make information relating to gun violence restraining orders that is maintained in the California Restraining and Protective Order System, or any similar database maintained by the department, available to researchers affiliated with the University of California Firearm Violence Research Center, or, at the department's discretion, to any other nonprofit educational institution or public agency immediately concerned with the study and prevention of violence, for academic and policy research purposes, provided that any material identifying individuals is not transferred, revealed, or used for other than research or statistical activities and reports or publications derived therefrom shall not identify specific individuals." RECORDS REQUESTED: 1) For the period of January 1, 2017, to the present, all records about gun violence restraining orders, including		Rolling Production	Jaimie Tackett	January 2, 2019 response from Jaimie Tackett: On December 6, 2018, having previously extended the time we had to respond to your request, we provided a partial response to your request and requested clarification as to the other parts of your request. On December 7, 2018, you sent an email reply to our response, in which you objected to some of the exemptions we claimed and agreed to some proposed clarifications we proffered in our December 6, 2018, response. The following outlines the history of this request and contains our response to your December 7, 2018, email. We discuss each item of your original request in turn. Request Item No. 1 You Sought:

			<p>but not limited to statistics, that you have used and/or are in your custody or control, including but not limited to records/information located within the California Restraining and Protective Order System, and any other database maintained by the Department of Justice.</p> <p>2) For the period of January 1, 2017, to the present, all records that are communications with, or are about, the University of California Firearm Violence Research Center, UC Davis Health System, UC Davis Health System's Violence Prevention Research Program.</p> <p>3) For the period of January 1, 2017, to the present, all records that are communications with, or are about, other persons (including but not limited to natural persons, public agencies, private entities, educational institutions, or nonprofit organizations) with which you have communicated or shared information relating to gun violence restraining orders.</p> <p>Note that when a public official or employee uses a personal account to communicate about the conduct of public business, the writings may be subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act. This request potentially requires the production of records that exist or are maintained in electronic form, including but not limited to e-mails and chat/mobile phone messages. Records, including e-mails and other electronic records, are often subject to "retention" or "destruction" policies that require their automatic deletion at some point in time. If any records that are potentially responsive to this request are subject to such a retention or destruction policy, please prevent their deletion/destruction before you can locate, review and provide me with copies. For all records, please take all steps necessary to prevent the intentional or accidental destruction/loss of these records during the pendency of this request. I request that you confirm that you have placed a "litigation hold" on all responsive records and information. Please provide all records and information responsive to this request via e-mail attachment(s); if the files are too large to e-mail, please let us know and we will make arrangements for an alternative means of transmitting the responsive records and information.</p>			<p>For the period of January 1, 2017, to the present, all records about gun violence restraining orders, including but not limited to statistics, that you have used and/or are in your custody or control, including but not limited to records/information located within the California Restraining and Protective Order System, and any other database maintained by the Department of Justice.</p> <p>We responded:</p> <p>To the extent that you are requesting any and all records that possibly make reference to Gun Violence Restraining Orders (GVROs), given the size of the Department, we must deny your request. The Department has offices in six different cities and employs hundreds of people. In order to respond to your request, each division of the Department of Justice, including each section, branch, unit or bureau would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof to review each and every individual matter assigned to that deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to determine if they have any documents referencing GVROs. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring a more extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) As such, we deny this item of your request for records as cast, except as described in the following paragraphs.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the above issues with the over breadth of your request as currently cast, it appears that your primary concern in making this request is obtaining data in the Department's possession about GVROs. To the extent that you are requesting data maintained in the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS), we enclose data regarding the number of GVROs filed by California courts in 2017 divided by county. We are also enclosing a document that provides some context for interpreting the data. With regard to any other data within the database that is not reflected here, we must deny your request. CARPOS is a statewide database for use by court clerks and law enforcement personnel designed to store information identifying persons named in restraining orders and is accessible via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). (Fam. Code, § 6380.) CLETS was established by the Legislature for the express purpose of</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							<p>permitting peace officers and law enforcement agencies to conduct official business via a secure telecommunications network. (Gov. Code, §§ 15151-15153).</p> <p>As such, access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the Department, is restricted by law. (Gov. Code, § 15152). Access to records relating to CLETS inquiries is similarly restricted. Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)).</p> <p>We enclosed the documents as described in the penultimate paragraph above.</p> <p>You Replied:</p> <p>We seek access to and copies of the same records required to be made "available to researchers affiliated with the University of California Firearm Violence Research Center. " To the extent that responsive records are provided to "researchers affiliated with the University of California Firearm Violence Research Center, " we would like access to and copies of those same records.</p> <p>Note that, "[n]otwithstanding any other provisions of law, whenever a state or local agency discloses a public record which is otherwise exempt from this chapter, to any member of the public, this disclosure shall constitute a waiver of the exemptions specified in Section 6254, 6254. 7, or other similar provisions of law." Gov't. Code § 6254.5.</p> <p>Our Response to Your Reply:</p> <p>To the extent researchers affiliated with the University of California Firearm Violence Research Center (the "UCD Research Center") have been granted access to CARPOS data, it has been pursuant to the California Firearm Violence Research Act, which expressly provides an exception that requires, "the Department of Justice [to] make information relating to gun violence restraining orders that is maintained in the California Restraining and Protective Order System, or any similar database maintained by the department, available to researchers affiliated with the University of California Firearm Violence Research Center." (Pen. Code, § 14231.5.)</p> <p>The provision of this data to researchers at the UCD Research Center does not waive our ability to protect that data from disclosure under the PRA. First, Government Code section 6254.5, specifically states that a state agency does not waive its ability to claim exemptions to disclosure under the PRA when information is disclosed to a person or entity as "required by law." (Gov. Code, § 6254.5, subd. (b).) As indicated, the law requires the Department to make certain information available to the UCD Research Center. (Pen. Code, § 14231.5.)</p> <p>Second, the PRA excludes any "agent, officer,</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>or employee of a ... state ... agency acting within the scope of his or her ... employment" for the definition of "[m]ember of the public." (Gov. Code, § 6252, subd. (b).) State agencies include "every state office, officer, department, division, bureau, board, and commission or other state body or agency, except" members of the legislative and judicial branch. (Id. at subd. (f).) As such, employees of the University of California at Davis obtaining data as part of their work for the university-e.g., the researchers at the UCD Research Center- are not "members of the public" as contemplated by Government Code section 6254.5.</p> <p>The fact that the Legislature meant to maintain the Department's ability to protect CARPOS data from public disclosure when it enacted laws requiring the Department to share certain data with the UCD Research Center is supported by the California Firearm Violence Research Act itself, which states that researchers can only use the data they obtain "for academic and policy research purposes" and must take special precautions to ensure "that any material identifying individuals is not transferred, revealed, or used for other than research or statistical activities," and that any "reports or publications derived therefrom shall not identify specific individuals." (Ibid.)</p> <p>As such, we continue to deny item 1 of your request beyond providing the documents we provided to you on December 6, 2018, even if the additional records you seek are records we have already provided to the UCD Research Center.</p> <p>Request Item No. 2</p> <p>You Sought:</p> <p>For the period of January 1, 2017, to the present, all records that are communications with, or are about, the University of California Firearm Violence Research Center, UC Davis Health System, UC Davis Health System's Violence Prevention Research Program.</p> <p>We Responded:</p> <p>As indicated above, the Department has six offices and employs hundreds of people. We have various sections and divisions within the Department whose employees might reference the entities you have identified, particularly the UC Davis Health System. These section and divisions include our Health, Education, and Welfare; Licensing, and Torts sections and the Bureau of Medical Fraud and Elder Abuse. Due to the procedures we would need to follow to identify all possibly responsive documents, as described in our response to request number one, the expense and inconvenience of engaging in a search for the documents would not be in the public interest (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							<p>440, 452-453.) Consequently, we will be unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently cast.</p> <p>Given that your overall request appears to be focused on GVRO data shared with the University of California at Davis (UC Davis), we recommend focusing this request of documents in the custody of our Research Center- which serves as the Department's primary clearing house for academic researcher requests- that deal with GVROs. However, a preliminary search for all exchanges between the Research Center and UC Davis turned up threads involving topics unrelated to GVROs- e.g. , tobacco grants. Nevertheless, if you agree with the proposed refined approach, we will proceed using that search criteria.</p> <p>Your Replied:</p> <p>We accept your proposed search approach.</p> <p>Our Response to Your Reply:</p> <p>We have checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places, and identified documents that would be responsive to a request for:</p> <p>Communications with, or are about, the University of California Firearm Violence Research Center, UC Davis Health System, UC Davis Health System's Violence Prevention Research Program, (a) that are in the custody of the Department's Research Center, and (b) that deal with GVROs. As indicated in our December 6, 2018, letter, based on a preliminary review, many of the documents we have identified as responsive are wholly or partially exempt from disclosure pursuant to the PRA under the deliberative process privilege, the attorney-client and attorney work-product privileges, and limitations on sharing information outlined in Penal Code sections 11105 and 11106. (See Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k) [incorporating disclosure exemptions and prohibitions contained in other laws].) 1</p> <p>We need to review them more closely to ensure privileged information is not inadvertently released. To the extent there are disclosable documents, we will provide them on or about January 28, 2019.</p> <p>Request Item No. 3</p> <p>You Sought 3:</p> <p>For the period of January 1, 2017, to the present, all records that are communications with, or are about, other persons (including but not limited to natural persons, public agencies, private entities, educational institutions, or nonprofit organizations) with which you have communicated or shared information relating to gun violence restraining orders.</p> <p>We Responded:</p> <p>This request also suffers the over breadth issues we have raised in our responses to requests 1 and 2. Given the size of the</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>Department, we would advise limiting the scope of this request to our Research Center; our Law Enforcement Support Program, which oversees CARPOS; the Hawkins Data Center; and our Legislative, Regulations, Safety and Electric Billing Section all within the California Justice Information Services Division, which maintains our databases and/or handles requests for data, and the Bureau of Firearms. If you agree with this clarification (or search approach), we can proceed with our search and provide you with any non-exempt records.</p> <p>You Replied:</p> <p>We accept your proposed search approach.</p> <p>Our Response to Your Reply:</p> <p>We have checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places, and identified documents that would be responsive to a request for:</p> <p>For the period of January 1, 2017, to the present, all records that are communications with, or are about, other persons (including but not limited to natural persons, public agencies, private entities, educational institutions, or nonprofit organizations) with which you have communicated or shared information relating to gun violence restraining orders, within the custody of one of the following Department bureaus or sections:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Research Center, • The Law Enforcement Support Program; • The Hawkins Data Center; • The Legislative, Regulations, Safety and Electric Billing Section, which are all within the California Justice Information Services Division; and • The Bureau of Firearms. <p>As indicated in our December 6, 2018, letter, based on a preliminary review, many of the documents we have identified as responsive are wholly or partially exempt from disclosure pursuant to the PRA under the deliberative process privilege, the attorney-client and attorney work-product privileges, and limitations on sharing information outlined in Penal Code sections 11105 and 11106. (See Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k) [incorporating disclosure exemptions and prohibitions contained in other laws].) We need to review them more closely to ensure privileged information is not inadvertently released. To the extent there are disclosable documents, we will provide them on or about January 28, 2019.</p> <p>Your Request for Confirmation of a Litigation Hold</p> <p>You requested:</p> <p>[That we] confirm that you have placed a "litigation hold" on all responsive records and information.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								<p>We Responded: As to your request that we confirm that we have placed a litigation hold on responsive records, please be advised that the DOJ, under its ordinary course of business, places Litigation holds as it deems it necessary to preserve records and information in pending or anticipated litigation. You did not take any issue with this response. As indicated above, should we have disclosable documents, we anticipate providing you with documents responsive to items numbered 2 and 3 of your request on or about January 28, 2019.</p> <p>An extension was sent September 28, 2018 by Public Records Coordinator.</p>
PR A-2018-01894	Lautzenhiser (fingerprint destruction)	John	9/18/2018	Mr. Lautzenhiser states that a letter that appears to be from the California State Bar has been sent to him along with what appears to be a Supreme Court Order filed on May 23, 2018. The case number appears to be 2018-05-23 – though this matches it the date provided. The order mentions California Rules of Court 9.9.5. Mr. Lautzenhiser says that the letter is telling California attorneys that the California Department of Justice disposed of fingerprint cards, and that California attorneys are being required to get refingerprinted at their own expense. He wants someone from our office to confirm that we either did or did not destroy fingerprint cards. If they were destroyed, he would like to know when and get an explanation as to why they were destroyed.		Completed	Nicole Arnai	Nicole Arnai called Mr. Lautzenhiser for clarification. The PRA request has been withdrawn, as he is seeking an explanation rather than records.
PR A-2018-01895	Diener (vital records)	Renee	9/18/2018	October 1974 Divorce Decree: Renee Szeliga / Brian Szeliga I need a copy of the divorce decree for banking purposes - Name change from Szeliga to Diener		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A-2018-01897	Edgar	Rupert	9/18/2018	All - This is for me on me		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For

								example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01899	Bruce (Defensive Driver Training)	David	9/18/2018	I am trying to find some information regarding the driving courses online in the State of California. Please let me know if you can help me to get the information, or please head me to the right direction. The questions are below: 1. An estimate number of completions for "state approved" defensive driving schools for the year of 2017. 2. An estimate number of "state approved" defensive driving schools in the State of California. Thank you so much! Ivonne Marquez		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter shows that the Department of General Services (DGS) provides a Defensive Driver course. If you are seeking records related to DGS, you may wish to direct your request to their office by visiting their website at https://www.dgs.ca.gov/dgs/Home/feedback.aspx .
PR A-2018-01901	Rodriguez (case records)	Monique	9/18/2018	Hello need another copie M225ROM001 4/10/1981 562653125 B8654222 if you could email it to me thank you Monique Rodriguez		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation

								from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records for cases, you may wish to direct your request to the office of the Court in which the case was filed.
PR A-2018-01902	Baum	Diane	9/18/2018	Case # CR-23472, Riverside Superior Court #5, sentenced October 3, 1985 : Brian J. Neumann, date of birth: [REDACTED] Looking for name of victim		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with Riverside Superior Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PR A-2018-01903	Turner (land claim)	Michael -Allan	9/18/2018	I am requesting certified copies sent by me and received(mail proof) in your office from Turner, michael-allan Consisting of 2 items 1. Notice of release, revocation and the land claim regarding the warranty and account name Michael Allan Turner file #102998 . 2. The reply back to the beneficiary from the AG office to the above account, notice sent to the beneficiary incorrectly addressed as presumed trustee. These notices are needed for proof to the Hawaii land trustees(land holders) that one here has fulfilled the condition precedent by removing the disability in law under the statutes of uses by express notice of release of all fee simple trust property and revocation of rights and duties to perform as agent to the account name, therefore deforced . One here no longer uses the registered name (only the warranty /receipt it truly represents) therefore all responses should refer to me as the living man called michael-allan: as one does not act in the public capacity and have withdraw my consent for its public use so will not respond to a name that is not mine without the clarification of the face that it is holding account only, for my beneficial interests which have been claimed and are yet still being held, putting me in the position of reversion. Therefore district court is my only option for the trustee breach of my land claim should we not be able to come to settlement.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to locate records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another

							<p>state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information regarding a land claim, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.</p>
PR A-2018-01965	Phan (complaints)	Chan	9/18/2018	All whistleblower complaints against San Francisco Chinese Hospital, including any findings and investigations on the hospital's contracting and hiring practices.		Completed	<p>Scott Chan</p> <p>Your request seeks records that are subject to the exemptions listed below, thus, we must decline your request.</p> <p>The records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355.)</p> <p>The records that you seek are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030, the attorney work-product privilege. The attorney work-product exemption absolutely exempts from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. It also is incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>The records that you seek are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>The records that you seek are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the deliberative process privilege. The deliberative process privilege is applied to the Public Records Act through Government Code section 6255. It exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is</p>

							<p>nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.)</p> <p>The records that you seek are additionally exempt from disclosure under the official information privilege of sections 1040 and 1041 of the Evidence Code. Evidence Code sections 1040 and 1041 are incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption through Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Any information and documents acquired in confidence during the course of an Attorney General investigation and are not normally made available to the general public are privileged where there is necessity for preserving the confidentiality of the information and documents.</p>
PR A-2018-01904	Logan (3rd Party records)	Lonnie	9/19/2018	05/02/2016-06/24/2017 Amya Logan J265302 Official Record Comments:		Completed	<p>Erin Nickless</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement</p>

							agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01905	Ta (case records)	Bich Ngoc	9/19/2018	Lawsuit filed by: Bradalyn Marie Henderson Location: the Bay area, California Time range 2000 - 2018 I would like to obtain record for the following individual listed above.		Completed	Erin Nickless We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PR A-2018-01907	Connolly (billing practices)	Joseph	9/19/2018	I am requesting information related to the Office of the Attorney General's billing practices and procedures related to litigation of family law cases, whether representing a local child support agency (LCSA) or the state Department of Child Support Services (DCSS). If the information I seek is available online, I request that you provide that information in your response. If copies of certain practices and procedures are available, I request you waive any potential copying, reproduction, mailing or other costs, or otherwise inform me in advance what fees may apply to obtain copies of information I seek. 1. What person or entity opened Matter ID "SA2016100950" on March 4, 2016? What authority(ies) govern(ed) the opening of that matter, its management, and billing? 2. What person or entity opened Matter ID "SA2016104284" on October 28, 2016? What authority(ies) govern(ed) the opening of that matter, its management, and billing? 3. What authority(ies) govern the ultimate responsibility for the legal fees incurred in (a) Matter ID "SA2016100950", and (b) Matter ID "SA2016104284"? (i.e., the California Attorney General's Office, DCSS, or an LCSA?) 4. What authority(ies) governs the billing practices of the Attorney General's Office as they relate to legal services rendered to (a) DCSS, or (b) any LCSA? 5. What authority(ies) governs the decision making process in legal representation of DCSS and/or an LCSA by the Attorney General's Office? This includes but is not limited to a request for legal services by DCSS or an LCSA, the records involved in seeking and approving of legal services, the billing for legal services, and the financial responsibility for incurred legal expenses.		Assigned/Pending Production	Cheryl L. Feiner On October 15, 2018, Cheryl Feiner responded: Please see responses to your questions below: 1. We do not have documents responsive to this request. 2. We do not have documents responsive to this request. 3. We are still conducting our search for any responsive documents. 4. We do not have documents responsive to this request. 5. Clients send their referrals to the Office of the Attorney General and those referrals are reviewed by the Supervising Deputy Attorney General to ensure there is no conflict of interest, the action is properly authorized by the client, the action is legally warranted, and the litigation is in the best interest of the client and the State.

				<p>6. What authority(ies) governs the decision making process of the Attorney General's Office in determining whether to appeal a Superior Court order in a family law matter, or to contest an appeal of a Superior Court order in a family law matter? What criteria are used to determine (a) whether to support an appeal, or (b) contest an appeal?</p> <p>7. Similar to question 6, what authority(ies) governs the decision making process, if any, of the Attorney General's Office in settling or recommending settlement of a contested family law matter? What criteria are used to determine settlement decisions or recommendations? This applies to any stage of litigation or potential litigation; i.e., prior to appeal, during appeal, or post-appeal.</p> <p>8. What authority does the Attorney General's Office have to provide any legal services to DCSS or an LCSA once a case has been transferred back to the DCSS or an LCSA?</p>			<p>6. We are still conducting our search for any responsive documents.</p> <p>7. All settlement proposals received shall be communicated promptly to the client or the client representative, accompanied by a recommendation as to whether the settlement offer should be accepted or declined.</p> <p>8. We are still conducting our search for any responsive documents.</p> <p>We will get back to you as soon as we have additional information. Thank you.</p> <p>Cheryl Feiner sent an extension letter on September 26, 2018.</p>
PR A-2018-01908	Elkind (ProPublica)	Peter	9/19/2018	<p>October 24, 2018 follow up: Hello Kaylee—I have reviewed your office's response to my records request. I note the conclusion that the license application is disclosable. Presumably, that should include any attachments and exhibits to that application. However, the exhibits to the application (Exhibits 1 and 2) appear not to have been provided.</p> <p>I would appreciate your correcting this oversight, and providing me with copies of those releasable documents.</p> <p>September 19, 2018 request: As I mentioned on the phone, I'm a reporter for ProPublica. I'm writing because I'm seeking all available records on an individual who was licensed in California as an executive of a casino gaming resources supplier (presumably for Indian casinos).</p> <p>Specifically, I'm seeking records on Thomas J. Barrack Jr., who submitted an application to the state in 2009. Mr. Barrack was an officer and owner of Station Casinos. Ultimately, after reviewing his background application materials and a report prepared by the Bureau of Gambling Control, the California Gambling Commission approved his application at its meeting on Sept. 9, 2009. (His license is no longer active.)</p> <p>I am interested in copies of all application materials, including attachments, submitted by Mr. Barrack, as well as all investigative reports or memos prepared by the Bureau of Gambling Control for consideration by the Gambling Commission prior to its decision on suitability. I'm also interested in copies of all correspondence accompanying submission of those documents.</p>	Completed	Rian Kopchak	<p>November 5, 2018 response to follow-up: Attached are the exh bits that were to be included with Mr. Barrack's application.</p> <p>October 2, 2018 response: Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (b), provides that the Department "shall maintain a file of all applications for licenses" and that the file is "open to public inspection." Accordingly, the initial application for a state gambling license for Mr. Thomas J. Barrack Jr. is included with this response. Personal information has been redacted in accordance with the Information Practices Act of 1977 (Civ. Code, § 1798 et seq.).</p> <p>Except as specifically provided in the Gambling Control Act, the records of the Bureau, a subdivision of the Department of Justice, are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) In addition, subdivision (d) of Business and Professions Code section 19821 makes it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department of Justice to knowingly disclose or furnish records of information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act. A review of your request suggests no applicable exception to the general exemption from disclosure set forth above, other than the specific exception for the license application itself.</p> <p>Accordingly, other than the redacted license application, any records that might be responsive to your request are exempt from disclosure by the terms of the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).) Your request for disclosure of records other than the license application is therefore denied.</p> <p>Associate Governmental Program Analyst Kaylee Joseph and Deputy Attorney General Colin A. Wood assisted with this response.</p>
PR A-2018-	Harris (CCW Statistics)	Danielle	9/19/2018	<p>I am looking for the following statistics for San Francisco County:</p> <p>1- a) How many CCW permits have been issued over the last 30 years (or however long statistics are available)? b) How many were issued to civilians, ie, non-law enforcement or military personnel?</p> <p>2- a) How many CCW permits have been sought over the same period? b) How many were sought by civilians,</p>	Assigned	Nancy Doig	Assigned DAG Nancy called to obtain more information. Request is being processed.

019 10				ie, non-law enforcement or military personnel? Affirm Information Accurate: Yes				
PR A- 201 8- 019 44	Gallups	William	9/19 /201 8	Any and all background checks or inquiries that have been submitted with out my permission. A background check from any agencies, I believe a background check was done from San Joaquin county sheriffs Department with out my knowledge or permission		Comple ted	Jaimi e Tack ett	To the extent that you are requesting information regarding background checks conducted or inquiries made for employment purposes without your permission, after consulting with various programs within the California Department of Justice (DOJ), the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request. To the extent that the DOJ has the information you seek relating to criminal history inquiries conducted by the San Joaquin County Sheriffs Office, we must decline your request. The California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS) was established for the express purpose of permitting peace officers and law enforcement agencies to conduct official business via a secure telecommunications network. (Gov. Code, §§ 15151-15153.) Accordingly, any information transmitted via CLETS is confidential and exempt from disclosure. Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).) The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PR A- 201 8- 019 11	DuBois (Medica id)	Sandra	9/20 /201 8	2016 Inventory of personally identifiable information as required by volume III of MARS-E, section 2.6 Security (SE). CMS, through MARS-E, requires that medicaid offices create an inventory of personally identifiable information that lists various information systems containing Personally identifiable information and a classification for the sensitivity and risk if that system is compromised.		Comple ted	Erin Nickl ess	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to Medicaid, you may wish to direct your request to that agency.
PR A- 201 8- 019 12	Guay (person al records)	Daniel	9/20 /201 8	I require all possible California records to accompany for my State of California contractors exam application. Court case # :09WM05365 Questions ? My Phone is [REDACTED]		Comple ted	Erin Nickl ess	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody.

							<p>(Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, court records may be available through the local court in which a case was filed.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-01921	Olfati (Wellness check)	Parvin	9/20/2018	<p>This is a Public Records Act (PRA)/Information Practices Act (IPA) request for California Department of Justice (DOJ) documents regarding DOJ communications with the City of Sacramento Police Department that mention, suggest, direct, authorize, refer to, and/or relate to sending City of Sacramento Police Department officers, counselors, agents, employees and/or representatives on any "welfare check", "wellness check" or other visit to the residence of Parvin Olfati, [REDACTED] on any date in September 2018, including but not limited to September 7, September 12, and/or September 19.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PR A-2018-01923	Wynn (towing)	Julia	9/20/2018	<p>I want records of person who vandalised and illegally towed my car. Signage lacks required information section 22658 (a). This incident happened on private property. I saw something I'm saving something. I want to know who I am dealing with. Julian Covert [REDACTED]. His vehicle licence [REDACTED], possibly owned by his employer, Towbes Group. Other possible licence plates #s [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. His phone: [REDACTED].</p> <p>My husband planned to move car immediately after getting me safely upstairs after surgery. He completely forgot. We had no idea what had happened and looked all over for car. Took 2 days and \$814 to get it back.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history record information, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code (PC) section 11107.6, explicitly outlined in PC section 11105, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>To the extent you are seeking towing information, the DOI maintains the Stolen Vehicles System, an on-line statewide database that maintains records that are of interest to law enforcement agencies, which is accessible through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). CLETS was established for the express purpose of permitting peace officers and law enforcement agencies to conduct official business via a secure telecommunications network. (Gov. Code, §§</p>

							15151-15153.) Accordingly, any information transmitted via CLETS to or from the Stolen Vehicles System is confidential and exempt from disclosure. Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).) As such, your request for records is denied. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In this instance, you may want to request information from local law enforcement.
PR A-2018-01931	Arconti (NBCU ni) (complaints)	Julie	9/20/2018	I was hoping to find out if there are any complaints or open investigations filed against the business "Kuvava Law Firm" located at 550 Las Gallinas Avenue, San Rafael, CA 94903 from the past 10 years - present.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PR A-2018-01913	Diehl (West Gun Shows)	Philip (SD Union Tribune)	9/21/2018	October 16, 2018 e-mail: Is there any new information on this request? September 21, 2018 request: Any records related to an investigation of Crossroads of the West Gun Shows, and its owner, Bob Templeton, his son Jeff Templeton and daughter Tracy Olcott. The investigation was requested May 21, 2018, in a letter from Tim Fennell, CEO of the Del Mar Fairgrounds in San Diego County.	Completed	Public Records	On October 24, 2018, Public Records responded: To the extent your request seeks possible "[r]ecords of complaints to, or investigations conducted by ... the office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice," or records that, if they exist, would be contained in "investigatory or security files compiled by" the Department, the records would be exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).) Additionally, in deciding whether and how to conduct an investigation, the divisions of this office might rely upon work performed by Deputy Attorneys General, and that work and the advice given is often protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product privilege, and/or the deliberative process privilege. (See, e.g., Evid. Code, §§ 954 [protecting confidential communications between the attorney and the client], 1040 [describing official information privileges]; Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.30 [protecting any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential]; Gov. Code, 6255, subd. (a) [exempting records from disclosure when 'on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record'].) The Public Records Act exempts from disclosure records for which their disclosure "is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law, including, but

								not limited to, provisions of the Evidence Code relating to privilege." (Gov. Code, § 6254, sub. (k).) As such, if this office were conducting the described investigation and/or if it at one point considered conducting the described investigation, some of the records reflecting that investigation or decision likely would also be exempt from disclosure to the extent they are privileged. We have checked with knowledgeable persons and in logical places that might contain noninvestigatory records, and we did not find records responsive to your request. On October 1, 2018, Public records sent an extension letter.
PR A-2018-01914	Fagen (3rd Party Records)	Kristi	9/21/2018	Records on David Andrew Armstrong born [REDACTED]. Age 39. Owes me 7.9 million in Restitution and is currently violating his probation by not paying me while he currently has a job states his parole officer. His wife sepideh Armstrong is also liable for the Restitution Payment but refuses to pay a penny while she lives a lavish lifestyle, Our system is a horrific unethical disgusting system		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information

							relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01915	Dillon	Zachary	9/21/2018	<p>I am seeking a record of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Information on how many CCW licenses have been applied for and issued in San Francisco County since 1991. 2. How many of the licenses that were granted were granted to civilians (persons not then active or retired law enforcement or military, and not working at a job requiring them to be armed) 3. The names or any other identifying or demographic information about the applicants that were denied a license as well as for the applicants that were granted a license. <p>I believe these records are in the possession of the Attorney General as they are required to be retained under PC 11106(a)(1)(B).</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Death in Custody & Arrest-Related Deaths data is available to the public on OpenJustice, a transparency initiative led by the California Department of Justice (DOJ) that publishes criminal justice data so that the DOJ can understand how it's doing, hold itself accountable, and improve public policy to make California safer. http://www.openjustice.doj.ca.gov/. California law enforcement agencies report to the DOJ information on any death that occurs while an individual is: (1) in the process of arrest; (2) killed by use of force by law enforcement; (3) in the temporary custody of a law enforcement agency; or (4) incarcerated in a city, county, or state correctional facility. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>Please find attached the responsive records for your review. Note, we have only received death in custody forms from California City Correctional Facility. We have not received forms from any other CoreCivic-operated facility.</p>
PR A-2018-01916	Lee (SOS records)	John	9/24/2018	<p>First Form submission: I'm needing my state entity file number on record w the Secretary of state in order to resign for service of process of state agent my impression is that this number was given to me at birth which is [REDACTED] the date the trust was made was 04/22/1971 this information is needed for the Secretary of state business entity division</p> <p>Second Form Submission: My state entity file number for John Roy Lee for service of process the birth date of me/him is [REDACTED] born at San Leandro hospital California the birth certificate number is [REDACTED] local registration district number is [REDACTED] document said it was filed on 04/30/1971 in Alameda county my state driver license number is [REDACTED] SSN is [REDACTED] my father's name is Ralph James Lee mother's name is Theresa Lynn (a)tail is her maiden name I also have a twin brother named Ron James Lee who is related to John Roy Lee I hope this was enough detailed information for you to be able to help w my request for my state entity file number thank you very much truly John Roy Lee</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California Secretary of State's Office, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact their office can be found online at http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/.</p>
PR A-2018-01917	Katz (Livescan)	Mike	9/24/2018	<p>This Public Records Act request concerns live-scan submittals.</p> <p>I am requesting the following records:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Copy of the current in-effect contract between DOJ and the Kern County Public Health Services Department Emergency Medical Services Division, previously known as the Kern County EMS Department, for receipt of criminal history information from DOJ and FBI (for licensing/certification background checks). (2) Between January 1, 2008 to present, copies of 'no longer interested' or other correspondence made to DOJ from the Kern County Public Health Services Department EMS Division that they are no longer interested in receiving subsequent arrest or disposition notifications for licensing/certification applicants. 	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>On October 22, 2018, Jaimie Tackett responded: Below please find your three requests, which have been consolidated into one request, followed by our office's response: Request 1: A copy of all completed "custodian of records application form" BCIA 837-1 including the name of each custodian of records.</p>

			<p>Please note, actual criminal history information is not requested, rather, the request is for correspondence sent to DOJ, if any, that the Kern County EMS Division is no longer interested in receiving criminal history information for particular licensing/certification applicants. The intent of this request is to test and verify the county's compliance/non-compliance with PC 11105.2(d), (e), and (f) when licenses/certifications expire or are revoked.</p> <p>(3) Between January 1, 2008 to present, copies of any correspondence between DOJ and the Kern County Public Health Services Department EMS Division about compliance/non-compliance with statutes, regulations, or policies concerning access to criminal history information.</p>			<p>Response to 1: See attachment titled "Custodian of Records Application." We have redacted some information regarding the custodian of records based on personal privacy. (Art. I, sec. 1 of Cal. Con. as incorporated into the PRA by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) Specifically, we have redacted the address, phone number, date of birth, and driver's license number of the person applying as the custodian of records. We have also redacted the mail code assigned to this local agency to access confidential criminal offender record information. The public interest in maintaining the security of DOJ's transmittal systems and the confidentiality of sensitive criminal offender record information clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure of this specific code. (Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>Request 2: A copy of all resolutions or ordinances of the Kern County Board of Supervisors submitted to CalDOJ authorizing Kern County EMS to access and receive state and .federal summary criminal history information including the required list of "prospective employee entities applying for a license or certification."</p> <p>Response to 2: See attachment titled "Board of Supervisors Reso No. 2007-172."</p> <p>Request 3: A copy of all completed "Request for Contributing Agency ORI and/or Response Mail Code " form BCII 9001 forms.</p> <p>Response to 3: See attachment titled " Contributing Agency ORI."</p> <p>Request 4: A copy of all completed "Notification of ORI, Mail Code, and/or Billing Number " BCII 9003 forms including the list of all the authorized applicant types and CJJS codes.</p> <p>Response to 4: See attachment titled "Notification of ORI." We have redacted the mail code and billing number assigned to this local agency based on the public interest balancing test. (Gov. Code, § 6255 .) The public interest in maintaining the security of California Department of Justice's (DO.T's) transmittal system, the confidentiality of sensitive criminal offender record information and the accuracy of billing information clearly outweighs the public's general interest in disclosure of these codes. (Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>Request 5: A copy of all completed "Billing Account Application " CJIS 9000 forms.</p> <p>Response to 5: See attachment titled "Billing Account Application." We have redacted the billing number based on the public interest balancing test. (Gov. Code, § 6255 .) The public interest in securing the unique billing number of an agency thereby ensuring that the correct government agency is charged for DO.T's services clearly outweighs the general</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>public interest in disclosure of this information.</p> <p>Request 6: A copy of all completed "Applicant Fingerprint Response Subscriber Agreement" BCII 9005 forms.</p> <p>Response to 6: See attachment titled "Subscriber Agreement."</p> <p>Request 7: A copy of all completed "California Justice Information Services Division Criminal Offender Record Information Policy Use of Applicant Criminal Offender Record Information " agreements signed by agency heads, custodians of records and employees.</p> <p>Response to 7: The DOJ does not have a copy of the form in its records.</p> <p>Request 8: A copy of all completed "Contract for Subsequent Arrest Notification Service " form BCIA 8049.</p> <p>Response to 8: See attachment titled "Subsequent Arrest Notification."</p> <p>Request 9: Between January 1, 2008 to present, a copy of all completed "No longer interested Notification " form BCIA 8302 submitted to CalDOJ from Kern County EMS (Note this last class of records was requested in my previous PRA request but is repeated with the form number for clarity and to eliminate confusion).</p> <p>Response to 9: The DOJ has determined that the Kern County Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Department had submitted a "No Longer Interested Notification" BCIA 8302 form; however, once the DOJ receives and updates its records, it does not retain the forms. As such, the DOJ does not have responsive records for this request.</p> <p>Request 10: Copy of the current in-effect contract between DOJ and the Kern County Public Health Services Department Emergency Medical Services Division, previously known as the Kern County EMS Department, for receipt of criminal history information from DOJ and FBI (for licensing/certification background checks).</p> <p>Response to 10: See attachment titled "Approval Letter" and "FBI Approval List" in addition to the responses for Requests 1-6, 6 and 8, which comprehensively make up the contract.</p> <p>Request 11 : Between January 1, 2008 to present, copies of 'no longer interested' or other correspondence made to DOJ from the Kern County Public Health Services Department EMS Division that they are no longer interested in receiving subsequent arrest or disposition notifications for licensing/certification applicants. Please note, actual criminal history if/formation is not requested, rather, the request is for correspondence sent to DOJ, if any, that the Kern County EMS Division is no longer interested in receiving criminal history information for particular licensing/certification</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

								applicants. The intent of this request is to test and verify the county's compliance/non-compliance with PC 11105.2(d), (e), and (f) when licenses/certifications expire or are revoked. Response to 11: See response to Request 9. Request 12: Between January 1, 2008 to present, copies of any correspondence between DOJ and the Kern County Public Health Services Department EMS Division about compliance/non-compliance with statutes, regulations, or policies concerning access to criminal history information. Response to 12: The DOJ does not have responsive records to this request. Request 13: Between January 1, 2008 to present, copies of all completed "Applicant Agency Request to Change" form BC/A 8386. Response to 13: The DOJ does not have a copy of the form in its records. Request 14: Between October 1, 2016 to present, copies of all completed "Request of Live Scan Service" form BCII 8016. Response to 14: The DOJ does not have responsive records to this request as the DOJ does not receive these completed forms. Lastly, the DOJ responds to these requests with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. On October 4, 2018, Jaimie Tackett sent an extension letter.
PR A-2018-01918	Gokenbach	Jennifer	9/24/2018	Case No. 491142, People of the State of California vs. Ryan B. Pope, Filed 06/08/1999, Santa Barbara - Figueroa - Criminal, Judicial officer Edward DeCaro, Case type Misdemeanor, Arraignment 06/25/1999 (first plea entered)		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on

							the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01919	Duarte (bankruptcy)	Francisco	9/24/2018	<p>Charter 7 Bankruptcy Discharged. Reference #1035558VPZ. Date filed June 23,2010. US Bankruptcy Court CA, Los Angeles. Francisco I Duarte. DOB [REDACTED].</p> <p>Does the bankruptcy court send out information to all three credit bureaus? What where the trial dates for the us bankruptcy court in Los Angeles, California?</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Specifically, you requested access to bankruptcy records.</p> <p>We note that your records request is directed to the California Department of Justice but seeks records possibly in the control or custody of a federal agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code § 6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a federal government agency, you may wish to direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Bankruptcy cases cannot be filed in state court. Bankruptcy cases are heard in the US Bankruptcy Court. Please find information regarding the US Bankruptcy Court on the internet at: http://www.uscourts.gov/bankruptcycourts.html.</p>
PR A-2018-01922	Welter (case records)	Bridget	9/24/2018	All cases listing "WCG Holdings, Inc." as a party to a lawsuit, whether active, pending, or inactive.	Assigned		
PR A-2018-01924	Urbach (CH, court records)	Sarah	9/24/2018	<p>David Gomez-Cala DOB: [REDACTED] File #'s:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) SCD215940-need complaint and sentencing order 2) SCD232419-need complaint and sentencing order 3) SCD242062-need complaint and sentencing order 	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>

PR A-2018-01946	Bourman	Bob	9/24/2018	Any public records of criminal or civil cases for the following: Karla Salgado Roberto Vasquez		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-01958	Sedrish	Laura	9/24/2018	<p>This is a request under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552. The information is being requested as part of discovery in a civil lawsuit involving our client, Han Qiang Guan (DOB [REDACTED]).</p> <p>I. Summary of Case</p> <p>On January 31, 2018, deputies from the Riverside County Sheriff's Department raided a home located at [REDACTED] Claimant</p> <p>Han Qiang Guan was residing at the home at the time. When deputies raided the home, Mr. Guan was fearful for this life and ran into the backyard. He jumped the fence into the neighbor's yard and upon landing broke his left leg. He could not walk and was crawling on the ground. Several minutes later, the same deputies who conducted the raid entered the neighbor's backyard. Mr. Guan was on the ground and raised his hands into the air. Despite this, the deputies released their K-9 onto him, and the K-9 viciously bit his right arm. Mr. Guan was unarmed, could hardly move, and did not pose a threat to the deputies at any time.</p> <p>II. Riverside County Sheriff's Department</p> <p>This request seeks documents associated with the incident concerning Han Qiang Guan in connection with Riverside County Sheriff's Department.</p> <p>III. Information and Document Request</p> <p>To aid in the litigation of the personal injury lawsuit, I request that a copy of the following documents (or documents containing the following information) be provided to me:</p> <p>1. Any and all information and documents of any kind involving the incident concerning Han Qiang Guan on January 31, 2018, including, but not limited to, all addendums, supplements, revisions, and updates, including any and all arrest records, violation reports, and/or criminal investigation(s) regarding the same.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking police report records, police reports are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement</p>

								agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security . To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PR A-2018-01972	Alexander	Rickey	9/24/2018	<p>In 1992 I was convicted and sentenced by a San Francisco Judge to 20 years to life in prison for robbery, burglary and assault.</p> <p>The S.F.P.D. conducted its investigation of the case and specific officers testified falsely during my preliminary hearing and trial, and that is the focus of my request.</p> <p>The information/documentation that I am seeking to obtain may be located from the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. 1991 San Francisco police incident report No. 910979412.2. 1992 San Francisco Superior Court case No. 142522. <p>INFORMATION/DOCUMENTATION REQUEST</p> <p>The specific information/documentation that I would like to obtain is whether or not the below listed officers who testified during my preliminary hearing and trial had any previous (prior to 1992) record of misconduct such as presenting false evidence, lying on the witness stand, and false police reports.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. STEPHEN GUDLJ #12772. DENNIS MAFFEI #2033. ARMAND GORDON #9834. DAVID SEID #12055. MARK GAMBLE #1576. ROBERT SUDANO #182		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>To the extent that your request may implicate complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p>
PR A-2018-01980	Rendon (criminal bonding)	Joseph	9/24/2018	<p>[A] full disclosure and release of all records and/or data contained in the files of your Department and/or Agency under my name and/or identifier to my name. This request sought herein is for Bond Information and/or Commercial Crimes Bonding Information and/or Case Bonding Information and/or Commercial Crimes Bonding Certification 5 U.S.C. §552 (a)(2)(A)(B) of records that are secured and maintained by your Department and/or Agency.</p> <p>The records sought specifically but not limited to are the compiled files containing:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">(1) Criminal Case Bonding Information(2) Commercial Bond Certification(3) Noted Criminal Case Bonding and/or the Bond(s) which secured the financing and/or the pledge for the financing of the Criminal Case listed above(4) Certified true and correct copies of the Bond(s) and identification number(s)(5) Certified indication of the amount secured per Bond per each offense charged(6) The expiration date and specified interest for the specified length of time of these Bond(s)(7) Which governmental body and/or whom or what "person(s)" i.e. corporations companies, associations, firms, partnerships, societies, joint stock companies, individuals and/or		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>We have searched our records and legal indices and consulted with knowledgeable persons and found no records responsive to your request as it pertains to criminal bonding. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p>

				officers (a) secured the Bond(s) (b) hold the Bond(s) (8) Any and all other records and data concerning the Bond(s) not otherwise exempt by 5 U.S.C. §552 (a)(6)(C),(b)(7), 5 U.S.C. §552a(j)(2),(k)(2) or law Public Citizens v. Dept. of Justice (1989) 491 U.S. 440, 105 L. Ed 2d 377, 109 S. Ct. 2552; Dept. of Justice v. Reporters Comm. (1989) 489 U.S. 749, 103 L. Ed 2d 774, 109 S. Ct 1448; Detroit Free Press v. Dept. of Justice, 73 F. 3d 93 (1998); F.B.I. v. Abramson, 465 U.S. 615 72 L. ed 2d 376, 102 S. Ct. 2054 (1982) including exemption - u.s.c. §552(b)(3).			<p>In the event you are seeking criminal records, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed by contacting the Record Review Unit at:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Record Review Unit P.O. Box 903417 Sacramento, CA 94203-4170</p> <p>In regard to your search that we provide “all records and/or data contained in the files of your Department and/or Agency,” under your name, we request that you provide more specifics, such as dates, case numbers, and any other information that can help us narrow your request. We must deny your request, as it is currently cast. PRA requests should be sufficiently specific and focused to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) If you would like to submit a more focused request for Public Records, please contact us at:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Suite 1760 Sacramento, CA 95814</p>	
PR A-2018-01925	Brownfield	Kevin	9/25/2018	Arrest and Court records for a Mr. Kevin Lee Brownfield, birthday [REDACTED] Prior residences in Bellflower and Lakewood, CA.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own</p>

								criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01927	Fineman (WinByState.com)	David	9/25/2018	All records regarding WinByState.com and when it was shut down. Any data or information received from WinByState.com concerning Amanda "Mandy" Haussman of Florida. Currently representing a client in litigation in Florida concerning allegations that images were posted on WinByState.com. Looking for information relevant to and concerning same.		Completed	Robert Morgester, SAA G	Pursuant to your request please find attached: - Arrest warrant affidavit - Complaint - Information - Plea agreement - Information on how to request the preliminary hearing transcript. For the reasons set forth below, we must decline your request for other records within our possession. The records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).].) Finally, although the California Department of Justice seized a copy of the web site winbystate; pursuant to court practice evidence seized via a search warrant is returned or destroyed following completion of the case. Even if this evidence still existed, we would be foreclosed by the terms of the warrant to search for any victims that were not connected to California. As a reminder the target of our investigation did not reside in California. Our jurisdiction was established based upon the residency of the victims within California.
PR A-2018-01928	Suyi (non-profit cases)	Sam	9/25/2018	Is it possible to receive a list of court case titles over the last 3 years (with dates? possibly) that deal with nonprofit organizations? I'm doing a school project where it would be useful to get an overview of just the total case numbers If not, is it possible to get the Case names of all open cases? currently involving nonprofits? email: wishapka723@yahoo.com<mailto:wishapka723@yahoo.com>		Completed	Erin Nickless	The Offices of the Attorney General are located in six different cities. In addition to these six offices, there are other law enforcement divisions. In order to respond to your request, each division of the Department of Justice, including each section, branch, unit or bureau would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to review each and every individual matter assigned to that deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to determine if they have any documents responsive to your request. Further, such

								<p>materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring a more extensive search for such documents. Pursuant to Government Code section 6255, the expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Consequently, we will be unable to provide you with any records for this request as it is currently cast.</p> <p>If you can specify what you mean by cases that “deal with” non-profit organizations, or have additional information to help identify the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. Some examples of information that might assist us in narrowing your search would be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Whether or not you are seeking cases in which any non-profit is a named party- Whether you are seeking cases only in which the non-profit is bringing an action against the State or only where the State is bringing an action against the non-profit- Whether or not you seeking certain types of cases involving non-profit organizations, such as tort claims against non-profits, cases that involve the merger of non-profits, or other category of litigation <p>Since you are seeking information related to non-profit organizations, we invite you to visit our website on charities located at https://oag.ca.gov/charities.</p>
PR A-2018-01929	Guerrero (3rd Party Records)	Ricardo	9/25/2018	I am requesting everything that is public record for Ricardo Neri Guerrero DOB [REDACTED] Any judgements, criminal history and other information.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department’s purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered</p>

								with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01932	Chase (BCC records)	Joshua	9/25/2018	Bureau of Cannabis Control, License #, License designation, Business name, DBA, City, Business structure, phone #, Email for all Cannabis businesses permitted in the State of California		Completed	Erin Nickless	Bureau of Cannabis Control, License #, License designation, Business name, DBA, City, Business structure, phone #, Email for all Cannabis businesses permitted in the State of California
PR A-2018-01933	Serencsa (tickets, court records)	Stephanie	9/25/2018	Have a ticket that is not mine It is holding my license Need copies via Email is fine I do not know the case number Need this ASAP for sate of az nursing board Copy of complaint and court documents Need to know how to set payment arrangements and get court abstract so I may restate my license		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request seeks tickets. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting your driver records can be found at http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php . To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. If you are seeking criminal records regarding a DUI, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only,

								or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01934	McClain (CH, police reports)	Briesa	9/25/2018	December 2, 2016/People of the State of California vs. Briesa Dionne McClain/case# 16CR-068241: Items Requested: Audio, Video, and images; Dispatch recording from Police Inc #HE163370107 from 12/02/2016 13:04 hours to 02:13 12/03/2016; GPS tracking for squad cars assigned to the case# and Police Inc# mentioned herein; Copy of receipt for property seized; Copy of the application used to obtain warrant; Results for 12/02/2016 15:37:39 Gun Inquiry for serial [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking 9-11 dispatch records, recordings, and police reports, we must decline your request. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department who was dispatched to respond. To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security . It is unclear what you mean by "gun inquiry." If you have more information regarding the records that you are seeking, please provide that to us. More information may be available on our website at https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/contact .
PR A-2018-01935	McClain (CH, police reports)	Joaquin	9/25/2018	December 2, 2016/People of the State of California vs. Joaquin Anthony McClain/case# 16CR-068204: Items Requested: Audio, Video, and images; Dispatch recording from Police Inc #HE163370107 from 12/02/2016 13:04 hours to 02:13 12/03/2016; GPS tracking for squad cars assigned to the case# and Police Inc# mentioned herein; Copy of receipt for property seized; Copy of the application used to obtain warrant; Results for 12/02/2016 15:37:39 Gun Inquiry for serial [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was

							<p>filed.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking 9-11 dispatch records, recordings, and police reports, we must decline your request. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department who was dispatched to respond.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at:</p> <p>http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>It is unclear what you mean by "gun inquiry." If you have more information regarding the records that you are seeking, please provide that to us. More information may be available on our website at</p> <p>https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/contact.</p>
PR A-2018-01936	Fraser	Claire	9/25/2018	All of record. Please include any information you have on file.		Completed	<p>Erin Nickless</p> <p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records</p>

								that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PR A-2018-01937	Hinds (DWR records)	Gregory	9/25/2018	<p>My name is Greg Hinds. I'm contacting you on behalf of the Sierra Valley Groundwater Management District. I just submitted two public records requests via the online request form (https://oag.ca.gov/contact/publicrecords_form) on behalf of the District. The first was for Sierra Valley Watermaster Monthly Reports for the 2014 water year. The second was for 2011 - 2017 Sierra Valley Watermaster Monthly Reports and Flow Data.</p> <p>These requests were sent separately, because the first request is smaller and more urgent. Data from the first request will be used in the evaluation of the water use numbers cited by the CA Department of Water Resources in their Basin Prioritization report (prepared as part of their implementation of the 2014 Sustainable Groundwater Management Act), for which the comment review period is coming to an end in October. The second request is less urgent, as it will be used for the development of the District's Groundwater Sustainability Plan, which is being developed over the course of the next couple years.</p> <p>Please provide an approximate timeline for request processing, if possible. Please contact me at 530-401-0000 with any questions or concerns.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that you are seeking reports from the California Department of Water Resources. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California Department of Water Resources, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to submit a Public Records Act Request to them can be found on their website at https://californiadwr.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/(S(cehzgaj5kg2kixwvd51tpmla))/support/home.aspx.</p>
PR A-2018-01938	Kerner (3rd Party Records)	Katherine	9/25/2018	<p>Hello, recently Donald D'Andrea moved from I believe 114 Nanna Ct. Santa Cruz to Boise Idaho. He is now my neighbor and is, unfortunately, causing many issues with surrounding neighbors, myself included, as well as the HOA. I would like to find out what kind of person is moving in next to me, therefore, reaching out to see if he has any legal records in California. Can you please send me any information you have?</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p>

								In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01939	Krueger	John	9/25/2018	<p>Telemarketing registration records</p> <p>I'm the victim of thousands of telemarketing calls each year, despite being on the National Do Not Call list for the past six years. I'm also a retired senior citizen, so I'm home all day and have to hear and answer these calls.</p> <p>When I answer the calls it's usually someone claiming to be a licensed contractor and wanting to do work on my home. But, the company name they give me is not on the CSLB list of licensed contractors, even they say licensed bonded and insured.</p> <p>My only recourse under the law is to sue these telemarketers for the damages allowed by law. But how do I find these companies. I'm thinking that's where the state registration list comes into play. I've been licensed as a contractor in the State for over thirty years and my information is all available on the CSLB website despite the fact that I have never solicited any construction work from anyone. These telemarketers call me over and over again in violation of both state and federal law.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking registration records for companies that have contacted you, identifying themselves as contractors. If you have additional information to help identify the documents you are seeking, such as the names of these companies, please provide that to us so that we can narrow our search to better assist you.</p> <p>Please note that the Federal Trade Commission maintains the National Do Not Call Registry, rather than the California Department of Justice. If you wish to report unwanted calls to the Federal Trade Commission, you may do so at their website: https://www.donotcall.gov/. If you wish to make a complaint about a business to the California Department of Justice, you may do so on our website at https://oag.ca.gov/consumers/.</p>
PR A-2018-01940	Denos (property info)	Ryan	9/25/2018	<p>I'm looking for information regarding a home I previously owned that foreclosed about 8 years ago. I'm trying to obtain the date of foreclosure on the address 1446 Tours Ct., Beaumont, Ca I'm trying to obtain this information as soon as possible.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ.</p> <p>We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides, or the financial institution that initiated the foreclosure.</p>
PR A-2018-01947	Olfati (Jena Price)	Parvin	9/25/2018	<p>I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, and/or received on any DOJ department, Law Enforcement Division, division, Deputy Attorney General, employee, office, agency, employee, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between January 1, 2018 and the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to Jena Price, Tratten Price Consulting, and/or any office believed to employ Jena Price, and/or any person believed to be a husband or relative of Jena Price (including</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.</p>

				<p>but not limited to Travis Moore), that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Olfati, including but not limited to communications regarding the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Use of [REDACTED] as a staging area or other location for actions or conduct regarding [REDACTED] including but not limited to surveillance, monitoring, and/or placing any substances on the ground of or in any structure at [REDACTED], including but not limited to toxics, poisons and contaminations. 2. Any Member of the California State Legislature, including but not limited to any chair of any committee or subcommittee. 3. The Assembly Committee on Rules. 4. The Assembly Committee on Rules Subcommittee on Harassment, Discrimination, Retaliation Prevention and Response; 5. Any Parvin Olfati communications to any Member, Committee, committee chair, subcommittee chair, or Subcommittee of the California State Legislature, including but not limited to the Assembly Committee on Rules Subcommittee on Harassment, Discrimination, Retaliation Prevention and Response and its chair; 6. Any employment, payments, contracts and/or emoluments to Travis Moore, Jena Price, and/or Tratten Price Consulting. 7. Any legislation advocated for or opposed by Jena Price and/or Tratten Price Consulting. 8. Any hotel, motel, Air BNB, or other lodging or accommodation. 9. Any vacation payments or assistance. 10. Any assistance with any purchases, including but not limited to any car or house. <p>If any of the records requested in this PRA/IPA request have been destroyed, transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please provide the records of such destruction, transfer, or unavailability, together with applicable record retention policies.</p>			
PR A-2018-01948	Olfati (Unlawful Entry into Home)	Parvin	9/25/2018	<p>Therefore, pursuant to the California Public Records Act (PRA) and the Information Practices Act (IPA), I hereby request the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce any and all documents that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any entries to, placement of devices at, placement of substances at, placement of chemical substances at, and/or any acts of damage to or alteration of [REDACTED], including but not limited to any payments to or communications with any person or entity.</p> <p>As used herein, the term "DOJ" includes any and all California Department of Justice departments, divisions, law Enforcement Division, Deputy Attorneys General, employees, officers, agents, and/or contractors. The time period covered by this request is January 1, 2016 through and including the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request.</p> <p>The requested records include but are not limited to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Communications to, from, with and/or about any person or entity regarding any entries to 1859 45th Street, including but not limited to Jeff McGuire of the California Department of General Services; 2. Communications to, from, with and/or about any person or entity regarding any placement of any devices or personal property at [REDACTED] including but not limited to Jeff McGuire of the California Department of General Services; 3. Communications to, from, with and/or about any person or entity regarding any placement of any chemical substances (including but not limited to toxics) at [REDACTED] including but not limited to Jeff McGuire of the California Department of General Services; 4. Communications to, from, with and/or about any person or entity regarding any acts of damage or alteration to 1859 45th Street, including but not limited to Jeff McGuire of the California Department of General Services; 5. Communications with, payments to, or emoluments to any person residing at, living, at or using 1851 45th Street, including but not limited to Helen Vatthis, Helen Raygoza, Helen Vatthis-Raygoza, Helen Raygoza-Vatthis, Martin Raygoza, and/or Petros Raygoza. 6. Reports of any communication with, payments to, or emoluments to any person residing or present at any address on 45th Street. 7. Reports of any communication with, payments to, or emoluments to Barb Andres or Barbara Andres of [REDACTED] 8. Reports of any communication with, payments to, or emoluments to any occupant of [REDACTED]. 9. Reports of any communication with, payments to, or emoluments to any occupant of [REDACTED], including but not limited to Mieke Smythe or John Lisuk. 10. Reports of any communication with, payments to, or emoluments to any occupant of [REDACTED] including but not limited to June Lamoureux and/or Bill Kellerhals. 11. Any communications with, payments to, and/or emoluments to any person or entity that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati and/or [REDACTED] 12. If any of the records requested herein have been destroyed or are otherwise available, please provide the records of their destruction, together with applicable records retention policies. 	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

PR A-2018-01952	Briggs	Cory	9/25/2018	<p>On behalf of Spotlight on Coastal Corruption, I am writing to request from each of you exculpatory evidence that you did not violate Government Code Section 1090(b) with regard to an agreement to indemnify the defendants in the civil lawsuit known as Spotlight on Coastal Corruption v. Steve Kinsey et al. , San Diego County Superior Court case no. 37-2016-00028494 ("Lawsuit").</p> <p>As you know, my client filed the Lawsuit in August 2016 against Steve Kinsey, Martha McClure, Wendy Mitchell, Mark Vargas, and Er k Howell as private individuals in their personal capacity for multiple violations of the Coastal Act's ex parte rules. The prayer in every version of my client's operative complaint sought to have each defendant pay civil fines "from his or her private funds ." Judgment was entered against each defendant for civil penalties based on knowing violations of the ex parte rules, along with attorney fees and court costs against them jointly and severally." The defendants have filed an appeal.</p> <p>On September 13, 2018, after the court's ruling on competing motions for an award of attorney fees and the defendants' motion to tax my client's court costs, it was reported by The Triplicate that Ms. McClure "said she and the other defendants were indemnified early on in the litigation process" by the California Coastal Commission. That same day The Los Angeles Times reported that the Commission "agreed to indemnify the defendants to the full extent provided by law" before trial started on February 27, 2018. The following day another news agency confirmed the indemnity agreement and further reported that on September 26, 2016, Mses. Harris and Patterson sent a letter to the Commission's then-acting executive director indicating that the Commission had "arranged for the Commissioners' defense, to be provided by our office," and further suggesting that the individual defendants had asked in writing for a defense to be provided.</p> <p>Until these news reports, my client was unaware that the Commission had agreed to indemnify the defendants or that it had arranged to have the Attorney General's Office provide their defense. My client has reviewed all agendas of the Commission from August 2016 through February 2018 and cannot find any open- or closed-session agenda item dealing with the Lawsuit or with the subject of indemnification. When my client asked the Commission to produce a copy of the indemnity agreement pursuant to the California Public Records Act ("CPRA"), Ms. Warren responded by stating that responsive records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege; she did not specify the date on which the agreement was made, deliberated, or even negotiated by the Commission.'</p> <p>At the risk of stating the obvious, the Attorney General's Office serves as legal counsel for the Commission. See, e.g., CAL.CONST.,art. V, § 13;Gov'TCODE § 11040. The Office has simultaneously represented the individual defendants in the Lawsuit, with early filings referring to Mses. Harris and Tiedemann and Mr. Jacobs as defense counsel and later filings referring to Messrs. Becerra, Alderson, and Jacobs as defense counsel. Among other actions consistent with my client's prayer in the Lawsuit viz., that each of the defendants pay the civil fines "from his or her private funds" Messrs. Becerra, Alderson, and Jacobs represented in a recent court brief that their Office was "representing private individuals sued in their personal capacity, not the People of California." The billing records Mr. Jacobs submitted in support of the individual defendants' unsuccessful motion for a nearly \$650,000.00 award of fees against my client refer to his conversations with Mses. Warren and Patterson and Messrs. Pederson and Saurenman concerning the Lawsuit and/or the individual defendants. Therefore, based on information available to my client to date, it appears that (i) an indemnity agreement was made between (a) the five private individuals sued in their personal capacities and (b) the Commission with respect to the Lawsuit; (ii) the agreement was made before February 27, 2018; (iii) the agreement was based on the individual defendants' written request that the Commission provide them with a defense; (iv) the agreement provided a financial benefit to the individual defendants insofar as it obviated or reduced their need to hire private defense counsel; (v) the agreement was made by the parties thereto with your assistance as legal advisors; (vi) neither the potential for nor the existence of the agreement was disclosed to the public prior to September 13, 2018; (vii) as a result of the agreement and at taxpayer expense, the individual defendants received a benefit of more than \$722,000.00 for attorney fees and court costs incurred in their defense3; and (viii) as a further result, again at taxpayer expense, the individual defendants received or will receive a benefit of roughly \$1 million to cover their respective liabilities under the judgment.</p> <p>All of you are familiar with Government Code Section 1090(a)'s prohibition against public officials participating in the making of any agreement in which they have a personal financial interest; any such agreement is void, not merely voidable, and the officials must return any economic benefit they have received (or will receive) while the public agency gets to keep whatever benefit it received. That has been the rule for decades. However, the Legislature amended Section 1090 several years ago to prohibit individuals from aiding or abetting self-dealing public officials.5 Specifically: "An individual shall not aid or abet a Member of the Legislature or a state, county, district, judicial district, or city officer or employee in violating subdivision (a)." Gov'T CODE § 1090(b) (emphasis added). The Legislature also added a punitive counter-part to the new prohibition: "An individual who willfully aids or abets an officer or person in violating a prohibition by the laws of this state from making or being interested in contracts ... is punishable by a fine of not more than one thousand dollars (\$1,000), or by imprisonment in the state prison, and is forever disqualified from holding any office in this state." Id.,§ 1097(b) (emphasis added). Furthermore, void agreements are ultra vires and public officials who commit ultra vires acts must reimburse the taxpayers even when the wrongs were committed in good faith.</p> <p>The evidence available to my client indicates that the Commission and the individual defendants entered into an</p>	Completed	Aaron Jones	<p>We must deny your request for the following reasons. PRA requests must "reasonably describe[] an identifiable record or records." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The request should be sufficiently specific and focused to give the agency a reasonable opportunity to respond. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) Disclosure is not appropriate when the public interest served by not disclosing the records clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6255.) In this instance, your request is vague and argumentative, and does not reasonably describe the requested records. It seeks, for example, "exculpatory evidence that you did not violate Government Code Section 1090(b)," and "evidence showing that you had no involvement whatsoever in negotiating, drafting, or making" an allegedly "illegal indemnity agreement." We do not know what evidence you might deem exculpatory or the contours of the possible violation of Government Code section 1090(b) that you allege, for example, and this office may (and I kely would) disagree with you about such matters. Because your request is not sufficiently focused, and fails to identify the records with reasonable particularity, it does not give this office a reasonable opportunity to respond, and the public interest served by not disclosing the requested records outweighs the public interest in disclosure, as the request is currently cast. (Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>That said, in order to be of assistance, we are construing your request as seeking records about the involvement, if any, of the California Attorney General's Office in a decision by the California Coastal Commission to indemnify the defendants in the above-named litigation. Construed in that manner, we have identified no disclosable public records responsive to the request. Statutory exemptions to disclosure apply to all records that might be responsive to your request, as we have construed it here. The applicable exemptions are:</p> <p>Attorney-Client Privilege: Government Code section 6254 exempts from disclosure documents protected by confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. This includes the attorney-client privilege contained in California Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client. (Cal. Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Ca1.4th 363.)</p> <p>Attorney Work Product: The confidentiality privilege for attorney work product is also an exemption under the Public Records Act. This exemption protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential.</p>
-----------------	--------	------	-----------	--	-----------	-------------	---

				<p>indemnity agreement in violation of Government Code Section 1090(a). Just as troubling, the same evidence indicates that each of you violated Section 1090(b) because of your assistance in the matter and is thus subject to the penalties imposed by Section 1097(b). Consequently, my client is asking each of you to produce all evidence showing that you had no involvement whatsoever in negotiating, drafting, or making the indemnity agreement. At a minimum, the evidence should (i) indicate the date on which the agreement was made and whether it was oral or in writing; (ii) identify all the persons involved in the process of reaching the agreement everything from the making of a request for indemnity, to deliberating whether to agree to indemnify the individual defendants, to communicating the Commission's approval of the indemnity agreement to the defendants and/or their representatives; (iii) include all the communications between the parties to the agreement and/or their respective representatives, and (iv) contain all public disclosures of the negotiating, consideration, and making of the agreement.⁶ Without this evidence, my client would have no choice but to consider you to have "aid[ed] or abet[ted]" the individual defendants in making an illegal indemnity agreement.</p> <p>In closing, I want to point out how much it pains my client and me to have to send this letter. Seeking a remedy for the individual defendants' corruption through the Lawsuit was difficult enough, but we did it because the Commission must return to the days when it worked first and foremost to advance the public's interest rather than private interests. We also did it because, frankly, the Attorney General's Office didn't. "It shall be the duty of the Attorney General to see that the laws of the State are uniformly and adequately enforced." CAL. CONST., art. V, § 13. That did not happen here. We have always wondered why the Attorney General's Office shirked its responsibility and took the side of the law-breakers.</p> <p>Though we still do not understand why that happened, recent revelations have caused reasonable observers to surmise that you did more than provide a defense: In one way or another, each of you actively assisted the individual defendants in compounding their corruption by breaking the state's conflict-of-interest prohibition. Please help my client conclude that these revelations have cast you in the wrong light.</p> <p>Unless I receive your written response by the close of business on October 9, 2018, my client will have no choice but to assume that the exculpatory evidence it seeks does not exist.⁷ If you would like to discuss this matter further, please do not hesitate to give me a call.</p>			<p>(Cal. Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030; Cal. Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Deliberative Process Privilege: Government Code section 6255 exempts documents from disclosure under the deliberative process privilege, which protects materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion with the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.)</p> <p>This completes our response to your request.</p>
PR A-2018-02004	Kim	Joshua	9/25/2018	<p>(1) Any and all "Request for Authorization to Receive State Summary Criminal History Information" or similar documents, a sample of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, that have been submitted to the DOJ by Curtis School (registered with the Secretary of State as "Curtis School Foundation" under entity number C0763235) (hereinafter "Curtis"), located at 15871 Mulholland Drive, Los Angeles CA 90049.</p> <p>(2) Any and all contracts for subsequent arrest notification services (as defined in Penal Code §11105.2(c) and a version of which is available as "Contract for Subsequent Arrest Notification Service" at https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/fingerprints/forms/subarr.pdf) that have been submitted to the DOJ by Curtis and/or any of its agents or employees.</p> <p>(3) Any and all "Request for Authorization to Receive State Summary Criminal History Information" or similar documents, a sample of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, that have been submitted to the DOJ by Los Angeles County Metropolitan Authority ("LACMTA"), located at One Gateway Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90012-2952, and/or the Public Transportation Services Corporation ("PTSC").</p> <p>(4) Any and all contracts for subsequent arrest notification services (as defined in Penal Code §11105.2(c) and a version of which is available as "Contract for Subsequent Arrest Notification Service" at https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/fingerprints/forms/subarr.pdf) that have been submitted to the DOJ by LACMTA, PTSC, and/or any of their agents or employees.</p> <p>(5) Policies, procedures, guidelines, manuals, and/or any other documents relating to the DOJ's provision of criminal history record information to any "non-public agency" or "NPA" as the term was used in the attached Exhibit B.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>On October 22, 2018, Jaimie Tackett sent an extension letter.</p> <p>Response to 1: Please see attached a response titled "Request for Authorization."</p> <p>Response to 2: The DOJ does not have responsive documents for this request.</p> <p>Response to 3: The DOJ does not have responsive documents for this request.</p> <p>Response to 4: The DOJ does not have responsive documents for this request.</p> <p>Response to 5: Applicants applying as a nonpublic agency (NPA) complete the attached "Application for Authorization" pursuant to state statute for public/private schools, government agencies, federal agencies, financial institutions, public utilities, and all other applicant agencies, see attached. The DOJ does not have any other documents that are responsive to your request. For more information, you can visit the California Attorney General's website, here: https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/forms.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to requests with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>On October 5, 2018, Jaimie Tackett sent an extension letter.</p>
PR A-201	Zine	Charissa	9/25/2018	<p>Megans Law Record on Dean Ray Meadows, [REDACTED] code 311.11 (a) dated between 01/2017 and 06/2017</p>	Completed	Michael	<p>While most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public</p>

8-02022							Dolid a	inspection), California Penal Code (PC) section 290.46, provides the public limited access to specified information through the Megan's Law website. Any requests submitted for additional information not displayed on the Internet site must be denied as confidential. If you desire to know more information about a specific sex offender and can independently identify the county in which she was prosecuted for an offense, you may be able to obtain that information by requesting it directly from the court of record. These public agencies may have additional information in case files or court files that can be disseminated to members of the public. Please be advised, if you believe any subject may be engaged in illegal or inappropriate activity, we recommend you contact local law enforcement immediately to report this matter.
PR A-2018-01941	Clarke (retirement papers)	Sherry	9/26/2018	83-6382783 DORIS KAYE BURLINGAME IS MY MOTHER I AM SHERRY L CLARKEY MOTHER DIVORCED CURT J BURLINGAME DURING THE DIVORCE DIVISION OF ASSETS MY MOTHER WAS TO RECEIVE HALF OF HIS RETIREMENT FUND. CURT IS RETIRED OF THIS YEAR AND HAS AD NO COMMUNICATION WITH ME ON THIS SUBJECT AND IS AVOIDING ME LEASE PROVIDE ME WITH DOCUMENTATION PAPERS THAT I MUST FILE TO RECEIVE MY MOTHERS PORTION		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to retirement, you may wish to direct your request to the office from which the above-mentioned individual retired. If this individual was a California State employee, you may wish to contact CalPERS. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at https://www.calpers.ca.gov/page/contact .
PR A-2018-01942	Sullivan (birth certificate)	Kameron	9/26/2018	Birth certificate I was adopted and found out in 27% Indian please help looking for relatives		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .

PR A-2018-01943	Blutstein (American Rising) (Security Expenses)	Allan	9/26/2018	Records reflecting the annual cost of providing security to the Attorney General from 2011 through 2016.		Completed	Erin Nickless	In conducting our search for records responsive to your request, we have checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places. We did not locate any records for 2011 through June 30, 2014, and we therefore are unable to provide the requested information for this time period. Below please find the requested information that we have available for the three most recent fiscal years within the scope of your request. FY 14/15 (July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015): \$763,670 FY 15/16 (July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016): \$836,872 FY 16/17 (July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017): \$770,786 FN1 Please note: The total annual expenditures listed above include the full salaries and benefits of all Protective Services agents employed by the Department, as well as the operating expenses and equipment costs of the Protective Services Unit. The Department provides protective services to all Attorneys General during their term in office. This completes our response to your request. FN 1: Because the Department's fiscal year runs from July 1 to June 30 of the following year, this amount includes expenditures for 2017, which is beyond the scope of your request. However, we are unable to isolate only the 2016 expenses.
PR A-2018-01945	Castillo (lawsuits against her)	Andrea	9/26/2018	Received several calls in regards a complaint or lawsuit file against me. Need to find out if this True or scam calls.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Erin Nickless called the requester for more information and confirmed we do not have and lawsuits in our files that match her description. Requester was provided information on how to report identity theft and referred to local courts as another possible avenue to search for cases against her.
PR A-2018-01949	Olfati (garden er)	Parvin	9/26/2018	I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me the following documents created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, Law Enforcement Division, office, board, Deputy Attorney General, employee, agent or representative (DOJ) computer or other medium on or after January 1, 2018 through and including the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request: 1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to communications to, from, with and/or about any person regarding that person's communications with any person or persons known or believed to be a gardener who is working at or who has considered, agreed to, or planned to work at any residence believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to [REDACTED]. This category specifically includes, but is not limited to, any resident of [REDACTED], Petros Raygoza, and/or Helen Vaththis. 2. For any document in Request Item 1 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PR A-2018-01950	Castleberry-Gordon	Gabrielle	9/27/2018	All Records		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that

							<p>you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PR A-2018-01951	Kailee	Sierah	9/27/2018	Date, case, case number, document description		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PR A-2018-	Bernal Jr	Martin	9/27/2018	Documentation of Wills, inheritance, contracts, properties, etc. Any financial value in my name.		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We</p>

019 53							<p>have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California.</p> <p>We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding a probate matter. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office.</p>
PR A-2018-01954	Katz (CORI)	Mike	9/27/2018	<p>This Public Records Act request concerns "applicant agencies" who receive Criminal Offender Record Information (CORI) from CalDOJ and FBI (via CalDOJ). I believe the concerned DOJ unit is the "California Justice Information Services Division" or the "Applicant Information and Certification Program."</p> <p>This request supplements, and does not replace or cancel, a PRA request I made earlier this week. All documents relative to this request pertain to the Kern County Public Health Services Department Emergency Medical Services Division, formerly the Kern County Emergency Medical Services Department (ORI AA648 / MAIL CODE 11987).</p> <p>I am requesting the following records:</p> <p>(1) A copy of all completed "custodian of records application form" BCIA 8374 including the name of each custodian of records.</p> <p>(2) A copy of all resolutions or ordinances of the Kern County Board of Supervisors submitted to CalDOJ authorizing Kern County EMS to access and receive state and federal summary criminal history information including the required list of "prospective employee entities applying for a license or certification."</p> <p>(3) A copy of all completed "Request for Contributing Agency ORI and/or Response Mail Code" form BCII 9001 forms.</p> <p>(4) A copy of all completed "Notification of ORI, Mail Code, and/or Billing Number" BCII 9003 forms including the list of all the authorized applicant types and CJIS codes.</p> <p>(5) A copy of all completed "Billing Account Application" CJIS 9000 forms.</p> <p>(6) A copy of all completed "Applicant Fingerprint Response Subscriber Agreement" BCII 9005 forms.</p> <p>(7) A copy of all completed "California Justice Information Services Division Criminal Offender Record Information Policy Use of Applicant Criminal Offender Record Information" agreements signed by agency heads, custodians of records and employees.</p> <p>(8) A copy of all completed "Contract for Subsequent Arrest Notification Service" form BCIA 8049.</p> <p>(9) Between January 1, 2008 to present, a copy of all completed "No longer interested Notification" form BCIA 8302 submitted to CalDOJ from Kern County EMS (Note this last class of records was requested in my previous PRA request but is repeated with the form number for clarity and to eliminate confusion).</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>On October 22, 2018, Jaimie Tackett responded: Below please find your three requests, which have been consolidated into one request, followed by our office's response:</p> <p>Request 1: A copy of all completed "custodian of records application form " BCIA 837-1 including the name of each custodian of records.</p> <p>Response to 1: See attachment titled "Custodian of Records Application." We have redacted some information regarding the custodian of records based on personal privacy. (Art. I, sec. 1 of Cal. Con. as incorporated into the PRA by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) Specifically, we have redacted the address, phone number, date of birth, and driver's license number of the person applying as the custodian of records. We have also redacted the mail code assigned to this local agency to access confidential criminal offender record information. The public interest in maintaining the security of DOJ's transmittal systems and the confidentiality of sensitive criminal offender record information clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure of this specific code. (Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>Request 2: A copy of all resolutions or ordinances of the Kern County Board of Supervisors submitted to CalDOJ authorizing Kern County EMS to access and receive state and federal summary criminal history information including the required list of "prospective employee entities applying for a license or certification."</p> <p>Response to 2: See attachment titled "Board of Supervisors Reso No. 2007-172."</p> <p>Request 3: A copy of all completed "Request for Contributing Agency ORI and/or Response Mail Code " form BCII 9001 forms.</p> <p>Response to 3: See attachment titled "Contributing Agency ORI."</p> <p>Request 4: A copy of all completed "Notification of ORI, Mail Code, and/or Billing Number " BCII 9003 forms including the list of all the authorized applicant types and CJIS codes.</p>

							<p>Response to 4: See attachment titled "Notification of ORI." We have redacted the mail code and billing number assigned to this local agency based on the public interest balancing test. (Gov. Code, § 6255 .) The public interest in maintaining the security of California Department of Justice's (DOJ's) transmittal system, the confidentiality of sensitive criminal offender record information and the accuracy of billing information clearly outweighs the public's general interest in disclosure of these codes. (Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>Request 5: A copy of all completed "Billing Account Application " CJIS 9000 forms.</p> <p>Response to 5: See attachment titled "Billing Account Application." We have redacted the billing number based on the public interest balancing test. (Gov. Code, § 6255 .) The public interest in securing the unique billing number of an agency thereby ensuring that the correct government agency is charged for DOJ's services clearly outweighs the general public interest in disclosure of this information.</p> <p>Request 6: A copy of all completed "Applicant Fingerprint Response Subscriber Agreement" BCII 9005 forms.</p> <p>Response to 6: See attachment titled "Subscriber Agreement."</p> <p>Request 7: A copy of all completed "California Justice Information Services Division Criminal Offender Record Information Policy Use of Applicant Criminal Offender Record Information " agreements signed by agency heads, custodians of records and employees.</p> <p>Response to 7: The DOJ does not have a copy of the form in its records.</p> <p>Request 8: A copy of all completed "Contract for Subsequent Arrest Notification Service " form BCIA 8049.</p> <p>Response to 8: See attachment titled "Subsequent Arrest Notification."</p> <p>Request 9: Between January 1, 2008 to present, a copy of all completed "No longer interested Notification " form BCIA 8302 submitted to CalDOJ from Kern County EMS (Note this last class of records was requested in my previous PRA request but is repeated with the form number for clarity and to eliminate confusion).</p> <p>Response to 9: The DOJ has determined that the Kern County Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Department had submitted a "No Longer Interested Notification" BCIA 8302 form; however, once the DOJ receives and updates its records, it does not retain the forms. As such, the DOJ does not have responsive records for this request.</p> <p>Request 10: Copy of the current in-effect contract between DOJ and the Kern County Public Health Services Department Emergency Medical Services Division, previously known as</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

								<p>the Kern County EMS Department, for receipt of criminal history information from DOJ and FBI (for licensing/certification background checks).</p> <p>Response to 10: See attachment titled "Approval Letter" and "FBI Approval List" in addition to the responses for Requests 1-6, 6 and 8, which comprehensively make up the contract.</p> <p>Request 11 : Between January 1, 2008 to present, copies of 'no longer interested' or other correspondence made to DOJ from the Kern County Public Health Services Department EMS Division that they are no longer interested in receiving subsequent arrest or disposition notifications for licensing/certification applicants. Please note, actual criminal history information is not requested, rather, the request is for correspondence sent to DOJ, if any, that the Kern County EMS Division is no longer interested in receiving criminal history information for particular licensing/certification applicants. The intent of this request is to test and verify the county's compliance/non-compliance with PC 11105.2(d), (e), and (f) when licenses/certifications expire or are revoked.</p> <p>Response to 11: See response to Request 9.</p> <p>Request 12: Between January 1, 2008 to present, copies of any correspondence between DOJ and the Kern County Public Health Services Department EMS Division about compliance/non-compliance with statutes, regulations, or policies concerning access to criminal history information.</p> <p>Response to 12: The DOJ does not have responsive records to this request.</p> <p>Request 13: Between January 1, 2008 to present, copies of all completed "Applicant Agency Request to Change" form BC/A 8386.</p> <p>Response to 13: The DOJ does not have a copy of the form in its records.</p> <p>Request 14: Between October 1, 2016 to present, copies of all completed "Request of Live Scan Service" form BCII 8016.</p> <p>Response to 14: The DOJ does not have responsive records to this request as the DOJ does not receive these completed forms.</p> <p>Lastly, the DOJ responds to these requests with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>On October 4, 2018, Jaimie Tackett sent an extension letter.</p>
PR A-2018-	Skyles (case records)	Faun	9/27/2018	Thomas Craig Benefield, 58 yrs old DOB [REDACTED] Santa Clara County, CA Case # BB050641 Case #BB049576		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, §

019 55								6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A- 201 8- 019 56	Day (warrant s)	Shauna	9/27 /201 8	I need to know how many (if any) warrants I have so i can get put on the calendar for a court date so i can take care of everything.		Comple ted	Jaimi e Tack ett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) maintains the Wanted Persons System, a 24-hour pointer system available to law enforcement agencies, which is access ble through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). CLETS was established for the express purpose of permitting peace officers and law enforcement agencies to conduct official business via a secure telecommunications network. (Gov. Code, §§ 15151-15153.) Accordingly, any information transmitted via CLETS to or from the Wanted Persons System is confidential and exempt from disclosure. As such, we must decline your request. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In this instance, you may want to request this information from a local law enforcement agency.
PR A- 201 8- 019 57	Copela nd	Nicole	9/27 /201 8	I am requesting all of the incident reports and records regarding a fatal car accident that occurred in Mendocino County. The car accident was found on March 26,2018 where the vehicle had drove off a cliff side into the ocean. The owners of the vehicle were Jennifer Hart and Sarah Hart. I do not know the license plate for the SUV Yukon they drove but I do know that both the women lived in Clark County, Washington. In the past, Captain Gregory L. Van Patten of Mendocino county Sherriff has made public statements regarding this incident. I am seeking any and all records regarding this incident. I am unsure if this is still considered an ongoing investigation.		Comple ted	Erin Nickl ess	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local

								police department where the incident took place.
PR A-2018-01959	Levy	Scott	9/27/2018	I am requesting the DOJ Calibration Record printout regarding PEBT device Draeger 7510 serial # ARCD-0008 between dates 4/20/17-1/5/18. This is regarding my client, Manjit Chatha, PD case #: 17-084932. For any questions, please contact the office at the number provided. Thank you. - Lauren (Office Manager at The Law Office of Scott Levy)		Completed	Aurelio Ramirez	On September 28, 2018, Aurelio Ramirez faxed the documents to Scott Levy's office.
PR A-2018-01960	Davis (personal records)	Taniya	9/27/2018	Any and all records available to the public in regards to the following individual: Taniya Lena Davis; DOB: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Check all public records available due to experiencing Identity Theft. Information requested above may be sent via email to davista@kernprobation.org < mailto:davista@kernprobation.org >.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .

PR A-2018-01961	Canales (case records)	Cynthia	9/27/2018	Any and all records related in the murder of a child by Tony Juarez, the child's biological father. This occurred in Monterey County, the indictment was in Salinas, CA		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a conclusive search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PR A-2018-01962	Serio (Copy of PRA)	Raymond	9/27/2018	I am requesting a copy of the California Freedom of Information Act. I am sure it is quite different than Illinois'. And I have no access to any on-line info. Needing to submit an FOIA request to a California public body I need to know the provisions set forth in your FOIA statute.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Enclosed please find a copy of the California Public Records Act. Fees for photocopies are waived in this instance.
PR A-2018-01969	Hylton (Stop Data)	Richard	9/27/2018	November 2 follow up via voice mail: "It is quite clear to me that you have no interest in making it easy for people who seek public records to get them. My name is Richard Hylton, and I have a letter from the Public Records Unit that does not give me the ability to refer to a case number. This is going to be [indiscernible]. Your letter received yesterday, dated October 29, speaks of a delay in providing data because of the volume of that data. The volume relates to fields that may disclose data that you may seek to withhold. Why not simply exclude that data from what you send me? Again, exclude the data that you are worried about from what you send. I don't care to have it. I want to be able to do counts. So if you would do that, perhaps it will speed up your delivery that has been quite poor. October 10, 2018 follow up: Only in the California Department of Justice is the claim below, that refers to the item above, possible. Today and yesterday, Senior Deputy Attorney General Nancy Beninati wrote: "Your August 17, 2018, correspondence was not identified as a Public Records Act request" We are separated by a common language, but not to the extent as would suit your Senior Deputy Attorney General. I take particular and considerable exception to the tone of the communications — over and above the contents — which tone was not employed, and contents not used, when a white-skinned woman sought similar items. In the name of decency and transparency do better, or I shall attempt to compel it. October 9, 2018 follow up: In mid-August 2018, a request for public documents, in the way of stop records, as defined by RIPA, was sent to the PIU of the California Department of Justice. That request was neither acknowledged or fulfilled. Since the initial communication, more than three communications, which referenced the initial request -some included it- were sent to your office, your deputies and to other employees. The failure mentioned above denied me the right and ability to participate fully in constitutionally guaranteed activities, crucial to my safety and that of others. This communication is to put you on notice that I may file suit, seeking remedies, that go beyond the failure of		Completed	Nancy Beninati	On December 21, 2018, Public Records responded: I am writing to follow up on our letter dated November 30, 2018 from Supervising Deputy Attorney General Nancy Beninati. As indicated in that letter; we are providing you with additional records which are enclosed on a compact disc. On November 30, 2018 Nancy Beninati wrote: I am writing to follow up on our telephone call of November 14, 2018. During that discussion you informed me that it appeared that you were not provided all of the stop records that had been collected to date because the number that you were provided was significantly lower than the number announced during our last RIPA meeting on September 26, 2018. After consulting with our Criminal Justice Information Systems (CJIS) Division, I was able to confirm that you were correct, and that we inadvertently did not provide you with the entirety of the data for the Los Angeles Police Department. It appears that when the data was copied over to the CD, only 16,000 of the

			<p>the CA-Department of Justice to meet its public records obligations, without further notice.</p> <p>September 27, 2018 e-mail: In August, 2018, I sent three or more requests to the CA DOJ seeking all stop data records. I had intended to do that which you are hesitant to do; i.e. analyze the data. I intended to do it prior to the meeting where you were. I had intended to answer Brian Marvel's question, before he had even thought about it. The CA-DOJ, unlawfully ignored my requests. All persons on this distribution have copies of the three requests.</p> <p>I repeat my request, even though we are over a month past the time when the DOJ was required to reply.</p> <p>October 4, 2018 fax: In mid-August 2018, a request for public documents, in the way of stop records, as defined by RIPA, was sent to the PIU of the California Department of Justice. That request was neither acknowledged or fulfilled.</p> <p>Since the initial communication, more than three communications, which referenced the initial request —some included it— were sent to your office, your deputies and to other employees.</p> <p>The failure mentioned above denied me the right and ability to participate fully in constitutionally guaranteed activities, crucial to my safety and that of others.</p> <p>This communication is to put you on notice that I may file suit, seeking remedies, that go beyond the failure of the CA-Department of Justice to meet its public records obligations, without further notice.</p>			<p>approximate 160,000 records were included.</p> <p>To rectify this issue, we are hereby producing the entire LAPD data file from July 1, 2018, through September 28, 2018, which was the date of your Public Records Act request.</p> <p>Please note that there was no similar error with respect to the data provided to you from the San Francisco Police Department, so we are not providing that information to you again here.</p> <p>As with the prior information sent to you, portions of the stop data records reported to the Department of Justice are exempt from Public Records Act disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), and section 12525.5, subdivision (d), and the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA) implementing regulations.</p> <p>Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), exempts "records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant . . . state law, including," from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Government Code section 12525.5, subdivision (d), prohibits the public disclosure of certain information about persons stopped and exempts unique identifying information about officers from public:</p> <p>(d) State and local law enforcement agencies shall not report the name, address, social security number, or other unique personal identifying information of persons stopped, searched, or subjected to a property seizure, for purposes of this section. Notwithstanding any other law, the data reported shall be available to the public, except for the badge number or other unique identifying information of the peace officer involved. Law enforcement agencies are solely responsible for ensuring that personally identifiable information of the individual stopped or any other information that is exempt from disclosure pursuant to this section is not transmitted to the Attorney General in an open text field</p> <p>(Italics added.) The exemption for officers' unique identifying information is echoed in RIPA's implementing regulations, which provide that the "[DOJ] shall not release to the public the Officer's I.D. Number or Unique Identifying Information." (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 11 §§ 999.226, subd. (a)(14); 999.228, subd. (g).) Thus, portions of the reports made to the Department are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act and will not be provided to you.</p> <p>In addition, during our call you informed me that the Data Dictionary may not have all 11 categories for the Contraband or Evidence section. Our CJIS team has informed me that all 11 categories are contained in that column; however, it may need to be expanded to see them all.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							<p>Please let me know if you have any questions about this production. We are still planning on supplementing this and the SFPD production with the previously redacted fields that contained the personally identifying information of the individuals who were stopped and unique identifying information of the officer, on or about December 21, 2018.</p> <p>On November 6, 2018, Nancy Beninati wrote: I hope you are doing well this fine Election Day. I am writing in response to a voicemail that was left with our Public Inquiry Unit regarding the recent production of stop data records that was produced to you last week. Could you please confirm that you received the disc with the stop data materials on it. That disc should have had thousands of stop data records with some of the fields omitted for privacy reasons. As we noted in our letter to you, we are working on getting the additional materials, and will supplement what we sent to you as soon as possible.</p> <p>Please let me know if you would like to talk with me about this, and I can set up a toll free conference line that we use to discuss – just let me know the time and date you are available.</p> <p>On October 29, 2018, Public Records sent a response letter: On October 8, 2018, we sent you a letter indicating that we have interpreted your request to be for all records reported to the Department of Justice as of September 27, 2018, pursuant to the Racial and Identity Profiling Act of 2015. We also indicated portions of the records responsive to your request would be exempt from Public Records Act disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), and section 12525.5, subdivision (d), and the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA) implementing regulations, and that exempt information would need to be redacted from the records prior to our production. Finally, we told you that we anticipated we would send you the first batch of non-exempt records on or about October 26, 2018.</p> <p>Enclosed please find a disc containing the first batch of the requested records. At this point, we are producing data fields that we have been able to verify contain no exempt information. In the interest of supplying you with as much information as possible as soon as possible while still protecting exempt information from inadvertent disclosure, we are withholding from the incident reports the data fields that are most likely to contain exempt information. Once the review of those data fields has been completed, we will resend you the complete entries with those fields redacted only to the extent required to protect exempt information.</p> <p>Given the volume of records that are involved,</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							we anticipate our next production will be on or about December 15, 2018. On October 8, 2018, Nancy Beninati sent An extension letter
PR A-2018-02047	Tignor (CLETS)	James	9/27/2018	James William Tignor CDCR. T-89217 A.P.P.S. Firearm Record of Ownership (B.FS.) (D.N.A.) records from the custodian of records thru & by the CA Attorney General and Office or Controller of (B.FS) DNA records on myself and any related reports including chain of custody of and medical reports & all assault records of any & all used to prosecute (sic) me in this Tehama Co. DIA No. F07-35304, NCR: 71044 - NCR: 71728 D.N.A. case. I signn (sic) this under perjury that this is my true identity and request for my records to use in defense at court.		Completed	Public Records On October 30, 018, On October 8, 2018, we extended our deadline to respond to your request. The Department maintains individual's criminal history information pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 contains a limited list of to whom the Department may provide copies of an individual's criminal history. (Pen. Code, subd. (b).) While that list does allow for individuals to obtain their own criminal histories in some instances, persons seeking their histories under the Public Records Act and/or the Information Practices Act are not on that list. (See id. at subd. (b)(12); see also Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k) [incorporating exemption and prohibitions on record disclosures contained in other laws into the Public Records Act].) As such, we cannot provide you with the records you seek in response to your September 27, 2018, request. In order to obtain a copy of his or her summary criminal history information, an individual must follow the procedures established in Penal Code section 11120, et. seq. Instructions for utilizing this procedure can be found at https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review . A copy of this web page, as well as the two forms linked to in the "California Residents" section of the instructions, are also enclosed with this letter. This completes our response to your request. An extension letter was sent October 11, 2018 by Public Records Coordinator.
PR A-2018-01963	Henion (restraining order)	Kathy	9/28/2018	I am looking for any information you might have about a restraining order against Kathy Reed of [REDACTED] - in Del Norte County, from sometime around 2000.		Completed	Erin Nickless You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records of a restraining order issued by a court, you may wish to direct your request to the court's office.
PR A-2018-	Rogers	Kelly	9/28/2018	Controlled Substance Report for Prescriptions, and any other possible reports that could show somebody is fraudulently using my name. I'm having trouble gaining employment. However, I have a doctorate in education and have been struggling to find work. I've been working with a career coach due to my absolute zero cal backs on applications. She finds it strangely odd that with my education and work experience that I would not even get an interview for most of these jobs that I'm applying for. I noticed I have some Financial accounts on my credit		Completed	Jaimie Tackett An individual can obtain his or her Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System (CURES) prescription history report through the Information Practices Act (IPA) as described on the Department of Justice's

019 64				<p>report that do not belong to me but I am fearful that possibly there is something a potential employer can search on a database that may eliminate me from a potential job. I don't know what records employers or potential players can look at but at this point I need to start asking questions beyond just my credit report. I would greatly appreciate any help that you can give me as I am trying to gain employment.</p> <p>I don't know what records employers or potential players can look at but at this point I need to start asking questions beyond just my credit report. I would greatly appreciate any help that you can give me as I am trying to gain employment. My only thought was potential he the prescription controlled substance report because I've had 8 pelvic surgeries and spinal epidurals and thought that might be an issue. Again, I feel lost in what I'm asking for but I would prescient your assistance.</p>			<p>(DOJ) CURES website at https://oag.ca.gov/cures/faqs. Note, the only mechanism available for an individual to obtain his or her prescription history report is through the IPA. Attached you will find the authorization form for release of protected health information.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PR A- 201 8- 019 66	Davis (#of Firearm s in CA - APP#s - AFS#s)	Jason (CalGu nLawyer s)	9/28 /2018	<p>1. Any writings reflecting the most recent estimate of the total number of persons within the California prohibited from possessing firearms.</p> <p>2. Any writings reflecting the most recent estimate of the total number of persons identified within the Armed and Prohibited Persons database as being armed and prohibited from possessing firearms.</p> <p>3. Any writings reflecting the most recent estimate of the total number of persons identified as the registered possessor of at least one firearm within the Automated Firearm System.</p> <p>4. Any writings reflecting the most recent median number of firearms possessed by registered possessors of firearms within the Automated Firearm System.</p> <p>5. Any writings identifying the most recent average number of firearms possessed by registered possessors of firearms within the Automated Firearm System.</p> <p>6. Any writings identifying the total number of firearms possessed by the registered possessor of firearm who has the most number of firearms registered in his or her name.</p>	Fees Reques ted	Publi c Reco rds	<p>On October 23, 2018, Public Records responded:</p> <p>On October 11, 2018, we extended the deadline for us to respond to this request to October 22, 2018.</p> <p>As a preliminary matter, the law limits the persons with whom the Department may share information contained in its Armed and Prohibited Persons System (APPS). (See Pen. Code, § 30000, subd. (b) [restricting the persons to whom APPS information can be made available to certain persons, who are generally involved in the criminal justice system].) However, the law does include an exception to this prohibition that allows for the reporting of certain aggregate data from APPS in an annual report to the California Legislature, and this report is made publically available. (Pen. Code, § 30015.) Because the Public Records Act exempts any records "the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law" from its disclosure requirements, any records created using information taken from APPS, other than the annual report to the Legislature, would be exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).)</p> <p>Response to Item No. 1</p> <p>We checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places, and we did not identify any documents responsive to this request.</p> <p>Response to Item No. 2</p> <p>To the extent this request seeks APPS records or records drafted using information from APPS, those records are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act for the reasons stated above, with the exception of the annual report made to the California Legislature. A copy of the most recent report to the California Legislature is enclosed.</p> <p>Response to Item Nos. 3-6</p> <p>We checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places, and we did not identify any documents responsive to this request. The Department does not keep records calculating the numbers you identify in items 3-6 of your request. In order to extract the numbers for items 3-6, the Department would need to</p>

								engage an Information Technology Specialist, level I, for an estimated 80 hours, at the rate of \$41.71 per hour, for a total of \$3,337 to perform the necessary programming. The Department is entitled to ask you to bear the cost of the programming and computer services necessary to produce this information. (Gov. Code, § 6253.9, subd. (b).) If you would like for us to arrange for this programming, please make a check payable to the California Department of Justice in the amount of \$3,3371 and send it to: California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-06 Sacramento, CA 95814 If we do not receive payment within 20 days, we shall consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed. An extension was sent October 22, 2018.
PR A-2018-01967	Katz (Livescan - supplemental request)	Mike	9/28/2018	This public records act request supplements the two previous public records act requests I've submitted through this website. All documents for this request pertain to the Kern County Public Health Services Department Emergency Medical Services Division also known as the "Kern County EMS Division" ORI: AA648 / MAIL CODE 11987. I am requesting copies of the following records: (1) Between January 1, 2008 to present, copies of all completed "Applicant Agency Request to Change" form BCIA 8386. (2) Between October 1, 2016 to present, copies of all completed "Request of Live Scan Service" form BCII 8016.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	On October 22, 2018, Jaimie Tackett responded: Below please find your three requests, which have been consolidated into one request, followed by our office's response: Request 1: A copy of all completed "custodian of records application form " BCIA 837-1 including the name of each custodian of records. Response to 1: See attachment titled "Custodian of Records Application." We have redacted some information regarding the custodian of records based on personal privacy. (Art. I, sec. 1 of Cal. Con. as incorporated into the PRA by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) Specifically, we have redacted the address, phone number, date of birth, and driver's license number of the person applying as the custodian of records. We have also redacted the mail code assigned to this local agency to access confidential criminal offender record information. The public interest in maintaining the security of DOJ's transmittal systems and the confidentiality of sensitive criminal offender record information clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure of this specific code. (Gov. Code, § 6255.) Request 2: A copy of all resolutions or ordinances of the Kern County Board of Supervisors submitted to CalDOJ authorizing Kern County EMS to access and receive state and .federal summary criminal history information including the required list of "prospective employee entities applying for a license or certification." Response to 2: See attachment titled "Board of Supervisors Reso No. 2007-172." Request 3: A copy of all completed "Request for Contributing Agency ORI and/or Response Mail Code " form BCII 9001 forms.

								<p>Response to 3: See attachment titled "Contributing Agency ORI."</p> <p>Request 4: A copy of all completed "Notification of ORI, Mail Code, and/or Billing Number " BCII 9003 forms including the list of all the authorized applicant types and CJJS codes.</p> <p>Response to 4: See attachment titled "Notification of ORI." We have redacted the mail code and billing number assigned to this local agency based on the public interest balancing test. (Gov. Code, § 6255 .) The public interest in maintaining the security of California Department of Justice's (DO.T's) transmittal system, the confidentiality of sensitive criminal offender record information and the accuracy of billing information clearly outweighs the public's general interest in disclosure of these codes. (Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>Request 5: A copy of all completed "Billing Account Application " CJIS 9000 forms.</p> <p>Response to 5: See attachment titled "Billing Account Application." We have redacted the billing number based on the public interest balancing test. (Gov. Code, § 6255 .) The public interest in securing the unique billing number of an agency thereby ensuring that the correct government agency is charged for DO.T's services clearly outweighs the general public interest in disclosure of this information.</p> <p>Request 6: A copy of all completed "Applicant Fingerprint Response Subscriber Agreement" BCII 9005 forms.</p> <p>Response to 6: See attachment titled "Subscriber Agreement."</p> <p>Request 7: A copy of all completed "California Justice Information Services Division Criminal Offender Record Information Policy Use of Applicant Criminal Offender Record Information " agreements signed by agency heads, custodians of records and employees.</p> <p>Response to 7: The DOJ does not have a copy of the form in its records.</p> <p>Request 8: A copy of all completed "Contract for Subsequent Arrest Notification Service " form BCIA 8049.</p> <p>Response to 8: See attachment titled "Subsequent Arrest Notification."</p> <p>Request 9: Between January 1, 2008 to present, a copy of all completed "No longer interested Notification " form BCIA 8302 submitted to CalDOJ from Kern County EMS (Note this last class of records was requested in my previous PRA request but is repeated with the form number for clarity and to eliminate confusion).</p> <p>Response to 9: The DOJ has determined that the Kern County Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Department had submitted a "No Longer Interested Notification" BCIA 8302 form; however, once the DOJ receives and</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>updates its records, it does not retain the forms. As such, the DOJ does not have responsive records for this request.</p> <p>Request 10: Copy of the current in-effect contract between DOJ and the Kern County Public Health Services Department Emergency Medical Services Division, previously known as the Kern County EMS Department, for receipt of criminal history information from DOJ and FBI (for licensing/certification background checks).</p> <p>Response to 10: See attachment titled "Approval Letter" and "FBI Approval List" in addition to the responses for Requests 1-6, 6 and 8, which comprehensively make up the contract.</p> <p>Request 11 : Between January 1, 2008 to present, copies of 'no longer interested' or other correspondence made to DOJ from the Kern County Public Health Services Department EMS Division that they are no longer interested in receiving subsequent arrest or disposition notifications for licensing/certification applicants. Please note, actual criminal history information is not requested, rather, the request is for correspondence sent to DOJ, if any, that the Kern County EMS Division is no longer interested in receiving criminal history information for particular licensing/certification applicants. The intent of this request is to test and verify the county's compliance/non-compliance with PC 11105.2(d), (e), and (f) when licenses/certifications expire or are revoked.</p> <p>Response to 11: See response to Request 9.</p> <p>Request 12: Between January 1, 2008 to present, copies of any correspondence between DOJ and the Kern County Public Health Services Department EMS Division about compliance/non-compliance with statutes, regulations, or policies concerning access to criminal history information.</p> <p>Response to 12: The DOJ does not have responsive records to this request.</p> <p>Request 13: Between January 1, 2008 to present, copies of all completed "Applicant Agency Request to Change" form BC/A 8386.</p> <p>Response to 13: The DOJ does not have a copy of the form in its records.</p> <p>Request 14: Between October 1, 2016 to present, copies of all completed "Request of Live Scan Service" form BCII 8016.</p> <p>Response to 14: The DOJ does not have responsive records to this request as the DOJ does not receive these completed forms.</p> <p>Lastly, the DOJ responds to these requests with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							On October 4, 2018, Jaimie Tackett sent an extension letter.
PR A-2018-01968	Schroeder (personal and 3rd Party records)	Zachary	9/28/2018	I would like all records related to Myself Zachary Schroeder and a Mazie Laforest. I am the victim of domestic violence she was the assailant. There should be a couple regarding restraining orders and her conviction for DV Vandalism 5-2017. I would like the report and anything documented on that case on her conviction specifically for the DV Vandalism. Also whatever cases or charges that you have on me Zachary Schroeder. I am applying for a security job and I am not sure what is still on my record that will appear during a background screening. The records between My self and Laforest are for me. I like to keep all just in case. I have a strong feeling that I will need them for a future court date.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, since you are seeking records related to a restraining order, you may wish to contact the court that issued the order. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-01973	Olfati (Med7)	Parvin	9/28/2018	<p>I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, Law Enforcement Division, Deputy Attorney General, officer, office, agency, employee, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between September 19, 2018 and the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to Med 7 Urgent Care (Med 7) and/or any Med 7 physician, nurse, receptionist, medical assistant, radiologist, any and all other staff, employee, or representative that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Olfati, including but not limited to communications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • regarding the Parvin Olfati September 19, 2018 visit to the Med 7 location at 4156 Manzanita Avenue in Carmichael, California 95608. • regarding any communications by or on behalf of Olfati to obtain medical records • regarding communications to, from, and/or about Sutter • regarding and electrocardiograms (EKG) or X-rays • regarding sending my X-ray to Sutter Health <p>If any of the records requested in this PRA/IPA request have been destroyed, transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please provide the records of such destruction, transfer, or unavailability, together with applicable record retention policies.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

PR A- 201 8- 019 74	Olfati (Sutter)	Parvin	9/28 /201 8	<p>I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, Law Enforcement Division, Deputy Attorney General, officer, office, agency, employee, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between September 19, 2018 and the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to Sutter Health (Sutter) and/or any Sutter radiology department, physician, nurse, receptionist, medical assistant, radiologist, any and all other staff, employee, or representative at 1500 Expo Parkway (Sacramento, CA 95815) and/or any other Sutter location, that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Olfati, including but not limited to communications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • regarding the Parvin Olfati September 19, 2018 visit to the Med-7 Urgent Care location at 4156 Manzanita Avenue in Carmichael, California 95608. • regarding any communications by or on behalf of Olfati to obtain medical records • regarding communications to, from, and/or about Med-7 Urgent Care • regarding and electrocardiograms (EKG) or X-rays <p>If any of the records requested in this PRA/IPA request have been destroyed, transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please provide the records of such destruction, transfer, or unavailability, together with applicable record retention policies.</p>		Comple ted	Erin Nickl ess	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
------------------------------------	--------------------	--------	-------------------	---	--	---------------	----------------------	--

PRA Requests

Received From: 10/1/2018

Received To: 10/31/2018

Total: 204

Request ID	Requestor Name	Requestor First Name	Received Date	Request Description	Requestor Business Name	Request Status	Responder	Response Summary
PR A-2018-01970	Heo (Ins. Code §§ 10134 et seq.)	Elizabeth	10/1/2018	Documents served on the Attorney General's Office pursuant to California Insurance Code section 10134, et seq. from (09/01/2018 through 09/30/2018) Please contact me to arrange a time to inspect and copy these records.		Completed	Howard Wayne	We will provide you with such documents. Please contact Nancy Quach at 415-703-5648 to schedule a time for you to review these records. If you wish to copy these documents, you will need to provide your own scanning equipment.
PR A-2018-01971	Weiss (jail records)	Scott	10/1/2018	Most recent records indicating date released from jail.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a release from jail, you may wish to direct your request to the jail.
PR A-2018-01989	Villery (settlements against CDCR)	Jared	10/1/2018	I am in receipt of your response letter dated September 20, 2018, sent to address my letter seeking records under the California Public Records Act, which I mailed to your office on September 5, 2018. My request seeks "any and all 'settlement agreements' entered into from January 1, 2014 to September 5, 2018, which resolved lawsuits filed in the U.S. District Court, for the Eastern District of California, against former or current employees of the CDCR which were brought by former or current state prisoners for any reason." In your response letter, you claim that the nature of my request would require "staff or each individual deputy attorney general to review every individual assigned matter to determine if they have any documents responsive to your request." You go on to claim that such a search of documents is not in the public interest. I respectfully disagree with your conclusion. I know that the California Attorney General's Office maintains a computerized case filing system. This system can easily be used to search for records that are responsive to my request. Further, search parameters can be tailored to exclude any cases that remain open, and any cases that were resolved through dispositive motions, bench trials, or jury trials. Essentially, your claim would mean that the public doesn't have a right to know about how tax dollars are being spent on prisoner settlements, beyond a six-month period. Such a position is untenable with intent of the CPRA, which places transparency in government above all else. However, in the spirit of compromise, I will restrict my request as follows: (1) you may limit the search to settlement agreements which resolved such lawsuits as described above, to cases where the monetary compensation provided to the plaintiffs amounted to a sum of \$15,000 or more; and (2) you may limit the responsive documents to the firsts		Completed	Erin Nickless	October 25, 2018 response: We have received your money order in the amount of \$8.08 and are enclosing the records that are responsive to your request. October 10, 2018 fees request: While we do have a computer database for our cases, the materials you are requesting are not maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring a more extensive and manual search for such documents. Pursuant to section 6253, subdivision (b), our office charges \$.10/page as the direct cost for duplication of public records. An additional charge of \$2.68 is due to pay the postage fee (regular

				<p>page of such settlement agreements, as long as they contain the case name, case number, the names of all plaintiffs and defendants, and the amount of compensation agreed to in order to resolve the cases. You do not need to provide any other documents, nor do you need to produce the entire settlement agreement from any case that falls within the bounds of my request.</p> <p>Nevertheless, I still desire the documents, subject to the above limitations, from the time period of January 1, 2014 to September 5, 2018. I do intend to pursue a petition for writ of mandate in the Sacramento Superior Court should you maintain your position of refusing to provide responsive records beyond a six-month period.</p> <p>Should you need additional time beyond the mandatory 10 day response period, that is fine. Please just keep me informed of the status of the search. Once all of the responsive documents have been located and your search is complete, please notify me of the number of pages being provided, and I will have a check sent to cover the copying and postage costs.</p>			<p>U.S. ground mail) for mailing the documents.</p> <p>Your request for records has generated 54 pages of disclosable records. The cost for duplication totals \$5.40. The charge for postage is \$2.68. The total cost for duplicating and mailing in this instance is \$8.08.</p> <p>Please make the check payable to the California Department of Justice and send your check to:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>Upon receipt of your check in the above-stated amount, we will promptly forward to you the disclosable records responsive to your request. If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p>
PR A-2018-01975	Aldafari (property info)	Abbas	10/2/2018	I want to know if I have any proof of address or anything before the year 2000	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PR A-2018-01976	Oman Jr (property info)	Aubrey	10/2/2018	The information I have is not correct for the ownership of River Point Napa Valley 500 Lincoln Ave. Napa, CA 94558-3611. Need the title owner and mailing address. This property is a timeshare property but I need to contract the owners and do not have the correct address.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.</p>
PR A-2018-	Alvarado	Joseph	10/2/2018	A friend and former Special Agent of the BLM (Redding) by the name of David Burns was awarded an Attorney General Certificate of Commendation and I was trying to find the copy of list of officers awarded the commendation that year... Not this one	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other</p>

019 77				http://library.humboldt.edu/humco/holdings/CAMP/CAMP1992.pdf The 1996 final has a list on p.27 http://library.humboldt.edu/humco/holdings/CAMP/CAMP1996.pdf				<p>agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p>
PR A- 201 8- 019 78	Jackson	Debra	10/2/ 2018	I'm looking for a copy of my diploma from Bryman School. I attended this school for medical office management from Oct 1994 graduating in Jan 1995 with a one-month externship in Feb 1995. I've my diploma and need to have a copy. At that time, I attended the Bryman school in San Jose, CA. My name at the time was Debra A. Body. Any help you can give me or direct me to where I can obtain the information.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Bryman School, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>
PR A- 201 8- 019 79	McKinley	Kim	10/2/ 2018	Copy of original marriage certificate to Hector J Fernandez, date of marriage was [REDACTED] in Beverly Hills, California		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p>
PR A- 201 8- 019 82	Pratt (Central Coast Public Radio)	Tyler	10/2/ 2018	<p>Recently released 2018 report detailing the Attorney General's investigation and findings of racially insensitive and offensive acts at Cal Poly to determine if any laws or university policies were violated. Requested by the university in May of 2018.</p> <p>If some information is confidential, please release either redacted version or portions that are public.</p>		Completed	Chris Knudsen	<p>For the reasons set forth below, we must respectfully deny your request:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Attorney-Client Privileged Records Are Exempt From Disclosure <p>The records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the</p>

							<p>attorney client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code§ 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the California State University retained the Attorney General's office to complete an investigation into allegations that certain students engaged in acts of discrimination and/or harassment. An attorney was assigned to complete the investigation and that attorney was required to use his legal expertise to identify, analyze, and evaluate the pertinent facts. The attorney-client privilege extends to situations where an attorney conducts a factual investigation for a client. (City of Petaluma v. Superior Court (2016) 248 Cal.App.4th 1023 (review denied).) As part of our retention by the University, the attorney investigator's work and investigation report was intended to be covered by the attorney-client and work product privileges. The confidential investigation report ultimately prepared by the Attorney General's Office constitutes an attorney's work product and was communicated in confidence to California State University, the client. Accordingly, the investigation report constitutes a confidential communication pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and is exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>The Department is responding to this request on its own behalf and not on behalf of any other state agency. If you wish to review records that are in the possession, custody or control of another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to them. It is our understanding that California State University has not publicly released the report.</p> <p>2. Attorney Work Product Is Exempt From Disclosure</p> <p>Additionally, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								<p>confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. The investigation report is work product of the Deputy Attorney General who prepared the report. Similarly, the exhibits attached and questions asked of witnesses reflect his approach, thought processes, and mental impressions.</p> <p>3. Investigative Records Are Exempt From Disclosure</p> <p>The records that you seek constitute records of a confidential investigation by the office of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)].)</p> <p>4. Private Records Are Exempt From Disclosure</p> <p>Government Code Section 6254, subdivision (c) makes exempt personnel, medical, or similar files the disclosure of which would cause an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The request is also denied to the extent it seeks private and confidential information.</p>
PR A-2018-01983	Maini	Priyanka	10/2/2018	NAME Shaheeda Allana ADDRESS [REDACTED] FILING LOCATION Riverside, Ca DOCUMENT TYPE Judgment TOTAL JUDGMENT AMOUNT \$5,388 PLAINTIFF NAME Law Offices Of Brian G Workman CREDITOR NAME Law Offices Of Brian G Workman COURT CASE NUMBER MVS1303603 RECORDING NUMBER 279665 RECORDING DATE July 25, 2014		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. If you have more information regarding the records you are seeking, please provide that to us. Based on the information provided, you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability

							to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a court in Riverside, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PR A-2018-01987	Borden (Media)	Jeremy	10/2/2018	<p>This letter is a revision of my July 28, 2018, request, which was granted in part and denied in part. The following should also be considered a request for information under California's Public Records Act and a revision of my previous request. In order to address the relevant areas of concern deemed overbroad when it came to communications sought under the PRA, I am happy to revise my request to address the attorney general office's concerns.</p> <p>Your letter also addresses several areas where records were withheld under various exemptions of the Public Records Act. I would ask that once records are compiled, please justify each deletion separately by reference to specific exemptions of the law and release all reasonably segregable portions of otherwise-exempt material. I will address the office's concerns one by one and please see my full revised request below.</p> <p>1) "[Y]our request could require a time-consuming canvass of each subunit of the Department and require each individual deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau or staff thereof, to review each and every individual matter assigned to that deputy attorney general or the head of each subunit, or staff thereof, to determine if they have any documents responsive to your request. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest."</p> <p>Response: Please see below where I have identified specific departments to focus the search for records.</p> <p>2) "In addition, your request seeks communications with persons and organizations not named in the request ... requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond."</p> <p>Response: I have included the names and email addresses for communications I would like released in full. However, because those communications may have come from other similar email addresses or personal email accounts (such as Gmail), I would ask that the search for those individuals not be limited to the specific email provided but rather the individual with affiliation that I have provided if possible. Also, please be inclusive of all emails from the companies/entities identified as much as possible if other records are found during the AG office's search.</p> <p>My revised request:</p> <p>Please provide all communications to and from representatives of the California Attorney General in the offices named below to and from the named recipients, including but not limited to emails and memos, from January 1, 2016 through present.</p> <p>Please conduct the search within the following offices:</p> <p>I. Within the Corporate Fraud Section, Securities Unit,</p> <p>1) Consumer Protection and</p> <p>2) Antitrust & Business Competition.</p> <p>II. Within the Division of Operations, Directorate Programs, Directorate Division, Executive Programs Division:</p> <p>1) Office of Legislative Affairs and</p> <p>2) Special Assistants to the Attorney General.</p> <p>Please search those offices for the following emails to and from persons with similar email addresses for the above time period for those who are affiliated with Facebook, California Advisors LLC, Sacramento Advocates, Inc., Computer & Communications Industry Association, Internet Association, Information Technology Industry Council (ITIC), Di Mare, Brown, Hicks & Kessler LLC.</p> <p>Please be inclusive of all emails from those entities that might be from the named individual and in instances where email or communications come from the named individuals from other addresses or by other means (mail, etc.).</p> <p>However, in an effort to narrow my request as much as possible, I would ask at a minimum that the following emails and individuals be searched, and records provided first:</p> <p>Facebook Emails</p> <p>Joel Kaplan, VP, Government Relations, jkaplan@fb.com</p> <p>Myriah Jordan, Public Policy, mjordan@fb.com</p> <p>Louisa Terrell, Director of Public Policy, lterrell@fb.com</p>	Completed	Catherine Brown	<p>On December 5, 2018, Catherine Brown responded: In our October 26, 2018 letter to you in response to your request, we informed you that some of the records you requested were exempt from disclosure under the Act, but that we would provide nonexempt responsive records at a later date. We also noted that if we discovered any responsive records that are subject to any exemption other than as identified in our response, we would notify you of any such additional exemptions. In producing these records, we are asserting the exemptions set forth in our prior letter and the additional exemptions set forth below.</p> <p>Certain information contained in the records being produced is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>The attorneys in the Department provide legal advice not only to other state agencies, but also to the Attorney General and his designees.</p> <p>Accordingly, communications between the Department's attorneys and other state agency clients, or between the Attorney General or his designees and the Department's attorneys, included within any responsive records are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Act. Such exempt information has been redacted The deliberative process</p>

			<p>Chris Herndon, Director of Public Policy, cherndon@fb.com Brian Rice, Director of Public Policy, no email identified. Try brice@fb.com Greg Maurer, VP, U.S. Federal Public Policy, gmauer@fb.com Catlin O'Neill, Director, U.S. Public Policy, coneill@fb.com Erin Egan, VP, U.S. Public Policy and Chief Privacy Officer, eegan@fb.com Nkechi Iheme, Public Policy, nihome@fb.com Sandra Luff, Director, Executive Branch Public Policy, sluff@fb.com Will Caste berry, VP for US State and Local Policy. wcastelberry@fb.com Dan Sachs, Head of State Legislative Affairs. dans@fb.com Anna Clift, Executive Assistant, FB Public Policy and Legal. aclift@fb.com Nikhil Shanbhag, Director, Competition and Telecommunications Law. nshanghag@fb.com Ime Arch bong, VP of Product Partnerships. iarchibong@fb.com or imearchbong@fb.com Molly Cutler, Strategic Response Program Director at Facebook. mollyc@fb.com Andy Stone, Policy Communications Manager at Facebook. astone@fb.com Facebook Outside Counsel Benjamin Powell, partner at the law firm WilmerHale LP. benjamin.powell@wilmerhale.com California Advisors LLC Anna M. Alvarado, ana@gqhlobby.com Viviana Becerra, viviana@gqhlobby.com Steven D. Cruz, steve@gqhlobby.com Melanie J. Cuevas, Melanie@gqhlobby.com Audrey A. Durfor, Audrey@gqhlobby.com William Gonzalez, will@gqhlobby.com Delaney L. Hunter, Delaney@gqhlobby.com Matthew Klopfenstein, matt@gqhlobby.com Caitlin Maple, Caitlin@gqhlobby.com Jacob V. Moss, Jacob@gqhlobby.com David W. Quintana, dave@gqhlobby.com or david@gqhlobby.com Sacramento Advocates, Inc. Barry S. Brokaw, barrybrokaw@sacramentoadvocates.com Nicholas K. Brokaw, nbrokaw@sacramentoadvocates.com Gareth Elliott, Elliott@sacramentoadvocates.com Silvio J. Ferrari, Ferrari@sacramentoadvocates.com Catherine H. Gilson, Gilson@sacramentoadvocates.com Computer & Communications Industry Association Ed Black, President, try ebblack@ccia.net or black@ccia.net Internet Association Dustin Brighton, brighton@internetassociation.org Abigail Slater aka Gail Slater, slater@internetassociation.org Michael Beckerman, Beckerman@internetassociation.org Gina Grandinetti Woodsworth grandinetti@internetassociation.org or woodsworth@internetassociation.org Tom Manatos manatos@internetassociation.org Ellen Schrantz ellen@internetassociation.org or schrantz@internetassociation.org Michael Bloom, bloom@internetassociation.org Melika Carroll, carrol@internetassociation.org or melika@internetassociation.org Ari Giovenco, giovenco@internetassociation.org</p> <p>Information Technology Industry Council (ITIC) Andy Halataei, VP Government Affairs, ahalataei@itic.org Pamela Richardson Wa ker, VP for Federal Public Sector, pwalker@itic.org Karolina Filipiak, Senior Manager of Government Affairs, kfilipiak@itic.org Jonathan Clifford, Director of National Security for the Information Technology Alliance for the Public Sector, jclifford@itic.org Eminence Griffin, Director of Federal Procurement and Counsel egriffin@itic.org Shannon Taylor, VP of Government Affairs, staylor@itic.org Ricky Le, Senior Director of Government Affairs, Try rle@itic.org Jennifer McCloskey, VP of Policy, Try jmccloskey@itic.org Sara Beth Jansen, Senior Director, Government Affairs@ Policy, sjansen@itic.org Vince Jesaitis, VP for Government Affairs, vjesaitis@itic.org Joel Kaplan, Vice Chair ITIC, Try jkaplan@itic.org Di Mare, Brown, Hicks & Kessler LLC Amy L. Brown, abrown@lawpolicy.com</p>			<p>privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal3d 1325, 1342.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. In the instant case, to the extent your request could encompass records relating to an investigation or investigations, such records would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>In addition, in recognition of privacy concerns, we will not disclose information that is personal because that information is also exempt from disclosure under the Act. For example, we have redacted information regarding personal phone numbers and contact information for the Attorney General and his staff, among other personal information. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1; Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (c), (k), 6254.3, 6255.)</p> <p>Copies of the nonexempt responsive records are attached to the email transmitting this letter to you. This completes our response to your request.</p> <p>On October 26, 2018, Catherine Brown responded: As an initial matter, we note that your request seeks communications with persons and organizations not named in the request. These include (1) communications to and from unnamed persons with "similar email addresses" and (2) unnamed persons affiliated with certain companies or organizations. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Act are contrary to the purposes of the Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

				Dominic F. Di Mare, ddimare@lawpolicy.com Jodi Hicks, jhicks@lawpolicy.com Alice L. Kessler akessler@lawpolicy.com Laura Parra, lparra@lawpolicy.com Max Perry mperry@lawpolicy.com Ryan Spencer rspencer@lawpolicy.com				compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) For these reasons, we must decline your request as it is currently cast to the extent is seeks communications with unnamed persons. To the extent your request seeks confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General, the records are exempt. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).].) Our records are not maintained, indexed or categorized according to the parameters or descriptors identified in your request, such as the names of persons or entities or email addresses, and are not electronically indexed for all periods of time. However, we are conducting an electronic search of existing email records for responsive records. We have also contacted knowledgeable persons in the Consumer Law Section, Antitrust Law Section, Office of Legislative Affairs and Executive Office, to locate documents responsive to your request that may exist for the period January 1, 2016 to the date of your request. We anticipate that we may begin production to you of any nonexempt responsive records on or about November 29, 2018, subject to your payment of applicable costs of duplication, if any. To the extent we discover any responsive records that are subject to any exemption other than as identified in this response, we will notify you of any such additional exemption(s). On October 5, 2018, Gisele Rainier sent an extension letter.
PR A-201	Davis	Clarence	10/2/2018	"1. A document authored by anyone employed by the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation acknowledging the fact that the Court of Appeal of California, Second Appellate District, Division Five, has, by and through it's decision in In re Edwards, 2018 Cal.App.LEXIS 801; 2018 WL 4275353, voided and ordered the repeal of		Completed	Philip J.	Response to request 1-4: The Attorney General's office represents the California Department of Corrections

8-02049				<p>section 3491, (b)(l) of title 15 of the California Code of Regulations."</p> <p>"2. A document authored by anyone employed by the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation that shows that the agency (CCDCR) has begun the process of seeking the repeal of Cal. Code Regs., title 15, § 3491, subd.(b)(l)."</p> <p>"3. A document authored by anyone employed by the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation that shows that the agency (CDCR) intends to comply with the court's order, as set forth in Edwards, by initiating and carrying out to completion the process of seeking the repeal of Cal. Code Regs., title 15, § 3491, subd. (b)(l)."</p> <p>"4. A document authored by anyone employed by the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation that acknowledges that based on the holdings of Edwards and/or it's disposition nonviolent third strikers are eligible for Proposition 57 parole consideration."</p> <p>"5. A copy of the Petition for Review, if any, filed by the respondent in In re Edwards, 2018 Cal.App. LEXIS 801; 208 WL 4275353."</p> <p>"6. A copy of the remittitur, if any, filed in relationship to In re Edwards, 2018 Cal.App. LEXIS 801; 2018 WL4275353."</p> <p>"7. A nonconfidential document authored by anyone (post Edwards) that happens to be employed by the Attorney General's Office (State of California) that sets forth an opinion that nonviolent third strikers are entitled to Proposition 57 early parole consideration."</p> <p>8. In your letter, you also ask for a copy of the decision in In re Orr from Santa Clara County Superior Court and any notice of appeal filed in that case.</p>			Lindsay	and Rehabilitation as litigation counsel before the courts, including in the In re Edwards matter. As such, any documents responsive to these requests are protected from disclosure under the Public Records Act as attorney-client confidential communications. (Govt. Code,§ 6254, subd. (k); Evid. Code,§ 954.) Response to request 5: We have no documents responsive to this request. Response to request 6: We have no documents responsive to this request. As of the date of this response, the Court of Appeal in In re Edwards has not yet issued its remittitur. Response to request 7: We have no documents responsive to your request in that you seek nonconfidential documents. As stated above, the Attorney General's office represents the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation as litigation counsel before the courts, including in the In re Edwards matter. As such, any document responsive to this request is protected from disclosure under the Public Records Act as attorney-client confidential communications (Govt. Code,§ 6254, subd. (k); Evid. Code,§ 954) and attorney work-product (Govt. Code,§ 6254, subd. (k); Code of Civ. Proc.,§ 2018.030). Response to request 8: Enclosed is a copy of the Santa Clara County Superior Court's order in In re Taylan Orr, Case no. CC643445. No notice of appeal has been filed in this matter. Under the Public Record Act, my office charges \$.10 per page for copies of documents provided under the Act. However, I have waived those charges.
PR A-2018-01981	Villalobos (Initiatives)	Ricardo	10/3/2018	I ask your Department to please provide me with a copy of the Following "Public Records Request": "California Burglaries and Robberies Without Physical Harm Exempt from Three Strikes Sentencing Law Initiative (2018)." (As Was/Is Posted on Ballotpedia.org) Also please provide me a copy of: "California Attorney General", "Initiative #17-0046" (November 13, 2017)		Completed	Anabel Renteria	It appears you are requesting information regarding Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018", a copy is enclosed. This initiative did no qualify for the November 2018 General Election. This completes our response to your request.
PR A-2018-01984	Cabrera	Dolores	10/3/2018	Copy of Marriage License married in San Pedro, Calif approx- 1997 Manuel Cabrera Perez & Dolores Valles		Completed		
PR A-201	King	Jonathan	10/3/2018	Any available public criminal or court records for the following deceased individual: Name: MYERS, JAMES WADE		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive

8-01985								records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-01986	Brazen	Wendy	10/3/2018	Divorce final paper work, or final forms to complete divorce Not sure where my divorce stands at this point it's been aprox. 4 years and I cant seem to find out if my divorce was ever finalized because the respondent never complied.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A-2018-01988	Cooke (Reuters - Tesla complaints)	Kristina	10/3/2018	On behalf of Reuters News and myself, jointly, I request that you provide us with the following records created on or after Jan 1, 2017. - Any consumer complaints related to or referencing Tesla - Any communication between the AG's office and Tesla We ask that you provide us with the documents in their original digital format. Additionally, please construe this as an		Completed	Jeffrey Rich	On October 29, 2018 Jeff Rich responded: Regarding your request for any consumer complaints related to or referencing Tesla, for the reasons set forth below, we must decline your request.

				ongoing FOIA request, so that any records that come within the possession of the agency prior to your final response to this FOIA request should also be considered within the request's scope.			Consumer complaints are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)"].) In response to your request for any communication between the AG's office and Tesla, we enclose an email exchange. On October 15, 2018, Jeff Rich sent an extension letter.
PR A-2018-01990	Nichols	Leanna	10/3/2018	My name is Leanna Nichols I need a copy of the criminal restraining order I had against John Frank Bertz Sr. I believe incident took place on Jan-7-2018 at [REDACTED] and was for domestic violence. I am trying to find out how I can reactivate the DV criminal restraining order against John Bertz I wasn't aware of the court hearing and they dropped the order I don't want it to be dismissed.		Completed	Erin Nickless You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records issued by a Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PR A-2018-02000	Bernstein	Alison	10/3/2018	I am so sorry for the long delay in getting back to you. I trust that you had an excellent vacation, and that it was not disturbed by my failure to get back to you in response to your question before you left. As I recall, you called me sometime in the week of September 4, responding to my email of September 2, 2018 requesting disclosure of the fact and content of communication between your office and Mr. Calvin Cooksey, a critical witness in the trial of my client, Regis Thomas. You informed me that your office had received the request from Mr. Cooksey seeking certain documents from the trial as a public records act request, and had attempted to comply with that request pursuant to the relevant authority. You also asked me to provide authority for the disclosure of the information we requested. I am sorry for the lengthy delay in responding to your request. As I mentioned in our telephone conversation, any communication between your office and Mr. Cooksey – particularly conversations in which he asked your office to perform a service for him, or provide missing documents, and your office complied -- would properly fall under the rubric of Brady v. Maryland, and requires disclosure. Independent of Brady, requests made to public agencies pursuant to the provisions of the CPRA and the corresponding response by the agency are themselves subject to disclosure under the provisions of the CPRA. I am attaching my original email communication to you here as a pdf, along with the attachments.		Completed	Rosalinda Rosas As per your records request, enclosed please find documents that are responsive to your request that includes "fact and content of communication between our office and Mr. Calvin Cooksey" In re Regis Deon Thomas, California Supreme Court Case Number S204700. The responsive documents are as follows: 1. 8/15/17 - Email from Cooksey to DAG Wilson; 2. 8/15/17 - Email from DAG Wilson to Cooksey & Reply "Thank you" from Cooksey;

								3. 8/15/17, 8:03 p.m. - Email from Cooksey (misdirected to DAG Wilson); 4. 8/16/17 - Email from Cooksey to DAG Wilson apologizing for misdirected email (#3); 5. 8/16/17, 1 :12 p.m. - Email from Cooksey to DAG Wilson requesting declaration for change of venue motion in U.S.D.C.; 6. 8/16/17, 4:39 p.m. - Email from Cooksey (misdirected to DAG Wilson); 7. 8/16/17, 5:20 p.m. - Email from Cooksey (misdirected to DAG Wilson); 8. 8/17/17 - Email from Cooksey to DAG Wilson apologizing for misdirected emails and withdrawing request for declaration from DAG Wilson; 9. 9/1/17 - Email from Cooksey to DAG Wilson requesting status of PRA request; 10. 9/5/17 - Email from Cooksey to DAG Wilson requesting status of PRA request; 11. 9/5/17 - Email from DAG Wilson to Cooksey responding to PRA request with attached documents: a. Clerk's Transcript, pages 829-833 (Declaration of Mark Arnold and Order); b. Clerk's Transcript, page 834 (Maricopa County Minute Order); c. Clerk's Transcript, page 835 (Minute Order); d. Clerk's Transcript, page 842 (Minute Order); e. Clerk's Transcript, page 864 (Minute Order); f. Clerk's Transcript, page 873 (Minute Order); g. Clerk's Transcript, page 876 (Minute Order); h. Clerk's Transcript, page 878 (Minute Order); i. Clerk's Transcript, page 879 (Minute Order); j. Clerk's Transcript, page 884 (Minute Order); k. Clerk's Transcript, page 1000 (Minute Order); l. Clerk's Transcript, page 1001 (Minute Order); m. Clerk's Transcript, page 1265 (Maricopa County Order for bench warrant); 12. 9/5/2017 Email from Cooksey to DAG Wilson stating, "Thank you!"
PR A-2018-	Jackson (Budget)	Y'Ma hni	10/4/2018	What was the amount of money spent on all legal services, including the information services for the California Department of Justice, during the year of 2017? What is the budget for 2018?		Completed	Stacy Heinse n	For Fiscal Year 2017-2018, the Legal Division costs were \$437,147,067 and the California Justice Information Services Division costs were

019 91								\$187,557,353. For Fiscal Year 2018-2019, the Legal Division budget is \$478,401,000 and the California Justice Information Services Division budget is \$297,373,000.
PR A- 201 8- 019 92	Peter	Becca	10/4/ 2018	The number of complaints filed with the Attorney General's office regarding LuLaRoe, LLC and LLR, Inc from 2016 to present. Please break down the number of complaints by month and year.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PR A- 201 8- 019 93	Marquez	Angela	10/4/ 2018	Registration of United Edu Steward & Solutions Inc ISEVPO-2, ISEVPO-1		Completed	Elizabeth S. Kim	Attached please find the registration documents pertaining to United Edu Steward & Solutions, Inc. for the years 2014 to 2018, including forms ISEVP0-1 and ISEVP0-2.
PR A- 201 8- 019 94	Rodgers	Thomas	10/4/ 2018	Court filings or actions prospective tenant		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state

								or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PR A-2018-01995	Smith	Paris	10/4/2018	I've never been to jail		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>There was no record description or comment included with your contact information to identify which records you are seeking. As there were no records identified in your request, we are unable to provide records that are responsive. If you can provide more information as to which documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the documents that are within the control and custody of DOJ.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-01996	Love	Shiah	10/4/2018	All records about Shilah Hobson, Shilah M Hobson, Shilah Love, and Shilah M Love from 1978 to 1986		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public</p>

								<p>Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-01997	Engel (Vadata, Amazon)	Robert	10/4/2018	<p>All available records of communication – including but not limited to emails, iMessages, text messages, written memoranda and faxes – sent to or from all employees of the Office of the Attorney General containing any of the following the keywords sent between January 1, 2016 and October 2, 2018.</p> <p>The relevant keywords are: “Vadata,” “Amazon Web Services,” “AWS,” and “Amazon.”</p> <p>Additionally, I request any records of complaints filed with your office against “Vadata, Inc.” or “Amazon Web Services” or “AWS” or “Amazon” submitted between January 1, 2016 and October 2, 2018.</p>		Completed	Todd Grabarsky	<p>On October 29, 2018, Todd Grabarsky sent a response: On October 15, 2018, because your request required coordination with different sections in the department that have responsibility for the requested records, we extended the period to provide our response, pursuant to Government Code section 6253, subdivision (c).</p> <p>Beginning with your second request for “records of complaints . . .”, any such records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See <i>Dick Williams v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and</p>

								history of subdivision (f)).) Accordingly, to the extent that your second request seeks any complaint or investigatory records, they would be exempt from disclosure and therefore your request for them would be denied. With regard to your first request—“records of communication . . .”—your request as currently cast is overly burdensome. A search using the keywords you have identified would result in countless communications that are unrelated to the public’s business and therefore not public records subject to disclosure under the Public Records Act. The California Attorney General’s Office, located in six different cities, has more than 4,500 employees in six main offices and additional law enforcement divisions. In order to respond to your request, each Department division, including each section, branch, unit or bureau would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual Department employee or official, or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, to review their respective email and files to determine if they have any records responsive to your request. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of records for a period of more than two and a half years would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) In an effort to help you with your request, we invite you to refine your first request to narrow the scope, context, and/or subject matter of the communications containing your search terms. For example, if you are interested in records of contracts or purchase orders through Amazon, we might be able to identify the staff that would handle such transactions over a six-month period. If and when you make such a more limited request of non-exempt records that is not unduly burdensome, we will process that request. On October 15, 2018, Public Records sent an extension letter.
PR A-2018-01998	Carter (certificate of occupancy)	Sharyl	10/4/2018	Park Royale 7650 Balboa Boulevard (AKA 7658 North Balboa Boulevard) APN: 2205008023 Please find this to be a formal request for copies of Certificates of Occupancy for the above listed property.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)

								Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PR A-2018-01999	Thanawala (AP) (Trump)	Sudhin	10/4/2018	<p>Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.) and the California Constitution, as amended by passage of Proposition 59 in November 2004, I am writing to request the following information:</p> <p>1) Any reports, documents, email correspondence or other record created by the State of California Department of Justice ("the Department") or for the Department that provides information about any costs the Department has incurred on litigation against the administration of President Donald Trump ("the president");</p> <p>2) I am seeking costs for time spent by the Department staff discussing, preparing, corresponding about and filing any complaints, briefs, petitions for review or legal objections against actions taken since January 20, 2017 by the president, any of his executive agencies or any independent federal government agencies such as the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. I am also seeking costs for time spent by the Department staff discussing, preparing, corresponding about and filing any press releases announcing the filing of any such complaints, briefs, petitions for review or legal objections. I am also seeking any costs the Department has incurred on court filing fees and travel and staff time to appear in court or before any government agency in connection with any such complaints, briefs, petitions for review or legal objections.</p> <p>Your office has previously provided my news organization with the total cost of each closed litigation matter related to my inquiry as of December 5, 2017. This request at the very least seeks all additional records that fall into that category as of the date of this letter. I also call your attention to this Sacramento Bee article dated October 3, 2018 that appears to contain the same figures I have previously sought and was denied (https://www.sacbee.com/news/politics-government/capitol-alert/article219310805.html).<https://www.sacbee.com/news/politics-government/capitol-alert/article219310805.html>).</p> <p>I would like any documents that were turned over to the Sacramento Bee by your office. If I can provide any clarification that will help expedite your attention to this request, please contact me at The Associated Press office in San Francisco, (415) 863-6012. You also can email me at sthanawala@ap.org<mailto:sthanawala@ap.org>. I ask that you notify me of any duplication costs exceeding \$100 so that I may decide which records I want copied.</p>		Rolling Production	Catherine Brown	<p>Catherine Brown responded on October 30 as follows: We <decline to provide responsive records in our possession that are exempt from disclosure under the following exemptions.</p> <p>Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure under the attorney client privilege. Privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363; see also, e.g., County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors v. Superior Court (2017) 12 Cal.App.5th 1264.) The attorneys in the Department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the Department's attorneys regarding the matters described in your request are confidential communications under the attorney-client privilege and are exempt from disclosure under the Act. Similarly, the attorney work product exception, which protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories, is also incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819.) Records prepared by the attorneys employed with the</p>

							<p>Attorney General's Office that are subject to the work product exception are exempt from disclosure under the Act.</p> <p>Your request references a news article published in the Sacramento Bee and seeks any documents that were provided by our office to the Sacramento Bee in connection with such article. There are no responsive records to that request. We did however inform the author of that article that expenditures for litigation filed against the Trump Administration were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o For FY 2016-2017, \$2,807,076, 0.33 percent of the Department's total budget. o For FY 2017-2018, \$9,152,966, 0.99 percent of total budget. This included 15 positions. <p>We will disclose responsive and non-exempt records for the period from our last response to you to the date of this request, relating to (a) travel costs and court costs incurred in the litigation matters described in your request, and (b) total costs incurred for any such litigation matters that are completed and no longer pending. We require additional time to compile, review and redact those records. We expect to begin making those records available to you on or about November 30, 2018. The Department is authorized to charge the direct costs of duplication for providing copies of records in response to public record requests. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) If we intend to charge reproduction costs to you for those additional records, we will inform you of the costs and how to pay before reproducing the records. Catherine Brown sent an extension letter on October 16, 2018.</p>
PR A-2018-02024	Ovsepyan	Joseph	10/4/2018	<p>My name is Joseph Ovsepyan and I am requesting my sex offender registry compliance paperwork from the year 2000-2017. My CII number is [REDACTED]. Driver License number [REDACTED]. FCN number [REDACTED]. My social security number [REDACTED].</p> <p>I will be moving out of California sometime in November. I am moving to Nevada. The sex offender registry in Carson City, Nevada requires me to show proof of my registration compliance here in California from the year 2000 to 2017. I already have the paperwork from 2018. So I am respectfully asking for supporting documents of my registration for each year stated above. If there is a fee to pay, I will do so. Thank you in advance.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Unfortunately, we must deny your request. Subject to limited exceptions, the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, § 290.021.) The same is also true for "criminal offender record information" kept in the Department of Justice's (DOJ) California Sex and Arson Registry. Additionally, the PRA expressly exempts from disclosure documents that are private in nature, as well as documents that are investigatory in nature. (Gov't Code § 6254, subds. (c) and (f).) Thus, to the</p>

								<p>extent your request seeks information that is confidential in nature, within the meaning of Penal Code (PC) section 290.021 or other state statute, and/or private in nature or part of a law enforcement investigation file, your request is denied. Note, you may want to request these records from the local law enforcement registering agency or agencies.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking your criminal history record to show the absence of out-of-compliance convictions, the California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California PC section 11076, explicitly outlined in PC section 11105, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records, or to the person who is the subject of the record. Note, court information through the appropriate county superior court is public record. For additional information and to request your own criminal history record, the following is the Record Review website: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PR A-2018-02001	Hargreaves	Renee	10/5/2018	Restraining order 12CH38603, Garamendi v Zelmer in Calaveras County. Also any arrests for Zelmer. Zelmer lists 3 different incarcerations on his Facebook page, Susanville CCC and Deuel Vocational in Tracy. He married my daughter.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking a restraining order, we have no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the order was issued.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the</p>

								person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-0202	Harrison	Marc he	10/5/2018	Wanted posters for Marche Harrison authored by officer timothy Bergquist of the Alameda county S.A.F.E Task force dated (1).5/23/06.....(2).handwritten notation marked resent 5/2007 (3).December 2007.....Wanted poster authored by Detective Derting of the Union City Police Department containing an 2/98 C.A.B.S date made February 10, 2005 Please send request to prison via U.S mail working days if necessary send him additional information		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. Since the posters you are seeking were generated by local law enforcement officials, you would need to direct your request to the local police department.
PR A-2018-0203	Damien (Desert Sun) (index of data from LEA)	Christopher	10/5/2018	This request is for a master index of all data that the California Department of Justice keeps of reports provided by law enforcement agencies. I am requesting that the index of discrete report items from law enforcement agencies be compiled in an excel sheet. Please include items that are already being processed into data sets and released to the public via Open Justice website (i.e. LEOKA dataset). Also include other report types that have not yet been processed into data sets.		Assigned	John Killeen	On October 29, 2018, John Killeen responded: On October 15, 2018, we extended the time to respond to your request by 14 days. (§ 6253, subd. (c).) As an initial matter, we understand the term "master index of all data" to mean a list of the types of data our office receives from law enforcement agencies, rather than each individual piece of data that our office receives. If we misinterpreted your request, please let us know. With that understanding, we have determined that your request seeks disclosable public records in our office's possession, and we are compiling a list of the types of data our office receives from law enforcement agencies. Because this effort requires coordination with many different sections of our office, we estimate that it will take four to five weeks for us to produce the final list. We will keep you apprised of our progress as needed. Please let us know if you have any questions in the meantime. On October 15, 2018, John Killeen sent an extension letter.
PR A-2018-0205	Morris (3rd Party Records)	Pamela	10/5/2018	Requesting information and documents on Michael Wayne Newman he is 36 dob [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the

								California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-02006	Blutstein (AG security annual costs)	Allan (America Rising)	10/5/2018	This is a request for records indicating the annual cost of providing security to the Attorney General from July 1, 2017, through June 30, 2018.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Below please find the requested information that we have available for the fiscal year within the scope of your request: FY 17/18 (July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018): \$ 610,449.61 Please note: The total annual expenditures listed above include the full salaries and benefits of all Protective Services agents employed

							by the Department, as well as the operating expenses and equipment costs of the Protective Services Unit. The Department provides protective services to all Attorneys General during their term in office.
PR A-2018-02007	Meyer Kirkpatrick (Diana Macinni Lowery)	Janice	10/5/2018	I am seeking any documents signed or put through by former Councilmember Mrs. Diana Macinni Lowery from the city of Stockton or any affiliated workers or friends of her. I have been systematically attacked upon my property, person and character since I moved next to her in September of 2016. I would like help please.		Completed	Erin Nickless Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A-2018-02008	Joseph (CH, police reports)	Brittany	10/5/2018	I am looking for documents tied to Lincoln Allen Joseph from Sutter County California. He was born [REDACTED] and social security number was [REDACTED]. I am seeking any and all mugshots and the police report from his death on [REDACTED]. He was arrested several times. I have one of those booking reports: Booking#: 93-0006608 Date: 11/3/93 Cell: M DRM 999 018 Arrested by: Bailey, Agency: SCSO Warrant #: 95CR659 Lincoln Joseph was my father. He died when I was 9 months old and I have never seen a photo of him, nor have I ever gotten the truth regarding his death. I know he was arrested several times and I also know there was an investigation into his death.		Completed	Erin Nickless To the extent that you are seeking police report records, police reports are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .

PR A-2018-02045	Hernandez	Sherry	10/5/2018	<p>Someone (My former attorney) may have filed information on me requesting an investigation. My lifescan which was conducted to continue my work in the prison ministry (praying and sharing the gospel to women who are incarcerated) has caused my file to be halted by the DOJ with no explanation to me.</p> <p>My former attorney has been filing litigation using my name as a "sovereign citizen extremist", I have not been charged, nor served in any litigations, however, I do know that she is using this tactic to cover up her own problems. I did not believe she was being taken seriously until this problem with my lifescan.</p> <p>I believe I have a right to know if I, Sherry Hernandez, am under investigation for anything. Please review your records and send me any information that may account for any kind of investigation of me whatsoever.</p> <p>You can write me back at this e-mail address if you need any further information to complete my request.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-02055	Olfati (Bloom Hearing Aid)	Parvin	10/5/2018	<p>1. Any communications with Bloom Hearing Aid Centers, 2825 J Street, Suite 245, Sacramento. CA 95825 that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati.</p> <p>2. Any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, letters, documents, records and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any emoluments, payments, communications, or actions taken or contemplated regarding any placement of or presence of any ear-affecting, ear-damaging, hearing-affecting, or hearing-damaging toxics, poisons, or substances in or near any house, residence, automobile, or other property used or believed to be used by Parvin Olfati or any resident of [REDACTED].</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PR A-2018-02056	Olfati (emoluments)	Parvin	10/5/2018	<p>1. Any emoluments, payments, and/or communications that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patti Olfati, Patty Olfati, Olfati, and/or [REDACTED].</p> <p>2. Any and all other emoluments, payments and/or communications with or to Cecil Taylor, Barb Andres, and/or any resident of [REDACTED] regardless of purpose.</p> <p>3. Any and all entries to or activity at [REDACTED] including but not limited to any break-ins, damage, or tampering.</p> <p>4. Any and all employment for any relatives of Cecil Taylor and/or Barb Andres, including but not limited to any brother of Barb Andres or Barbara Andres of [REDACTED].</p> <p>5. Reports of any communication with Barb Andres or Barbara Andres of [REDACTED].</p> <p>6. If any of the records requested herein have been destroyed or are otherwise available, please provide the records of their destruction, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PR A-2018-02057	Olfati (fungus)	Parvin	10/5/2018	<p>1. Communications to, from, with and/or about any person or entity regarding any entries to 1859 45th Street, including but not limited to Jeff McGuire of the California Department of General Services;</p> <p>2. Communications to, from, with and/or about any person or entity regarding any placement of fungi substance or any dry rot substance at [REDACTED] including but not limited to Jeff McGuire of the California Department of General Services;</p> <p>3. Communications to, from, with and/or about any person or entity regarding any placement of any fungi substance or dry rot substance (including but not limited to toxics) at [REDACTED] including but not limited to Jeff McGuire of</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

				<p>the California Department of General Services;</p> <p>4. Communications to, from, with and/or about any person or entity regarding any acts of damage or alteration to [REDACTED] including but not limited to Jeff McGuire of the California Department of General Services;</p> <p>5. Communications with, payments to, or emoluments to any person residing at, living, at or using [REDACTED], including but not limited to Helen Vatthis, Helen Raygoza, Helen Vatthis-Raygoza, Helen Raygoza-Vatthis, Martin Raygoza, and/or Petros Raygoza.</p> <p>6. Reports of any communication with, payments to, or emoluments to any person residing or present at any address on 45th Street.</p> <p>7. Reports of any communication with, payments to, or emoluments to Barb Andres or Barbara Andres of [REDACTED].</p> <p>8. Reports of any communication with, payments to, or emoluments to any occupant of [REDACTED].</p> <p>9. Reports of any communication with, payments to, or emoluments to any occupant of [REDACTED], including but not limited to Mieke Smythe or John Lisuk.</p> <p>10. Reports of any communication with, payments to, or emoluments to any occupant of [REDACTED], including but not limited to June Lamoureux and/or Bill Kellerhals.</p> <p>11. Any communications with, payments to, and/or emoluments to any person or entity that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati and/or [REDACTED].</p> <p>12. If any of the records requested herein have been destroyed or are otherwise available, please provide the records of their destruction, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>			
PR A-2018-02058	Olfati (roof repairs)	Parvin	10/5/2018	<p>I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, Division of Law Enforcement, Deputy Attorney General, office, agency, officer, board, employee, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between August 1, 2018 and the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to any person known or believed to be providing roof repair services at [REDACTED], including but not limited to Jerrod Chappelle, including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communications to, from, and/or about any employee of the City of Sacramento Community Development Department, including but not limited to Richard Leiker. • Communications to, from, and/or about any Member of or City of Sacramento staff person assigned to the City of Sacramento Housing Code Advisory and Appeals Board, including but not limited to Anitra Bibbs and/or Laurie Ward. • Communications by or on behalf of Olfati regarding roof repair and/or roof repair records. • Communications to, from, and/or about building permits. 	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PR A-2018-02059	Olfati (security)	Parvin	10/5/2018	<p>I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, Deputy Attorney General, Division of Law Enforcement, office, agency, officer, board, employee, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between July 1, 2018 and the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to any person known or believed to be providing alarm, camera, and/or security services at [REDACTED], including but not limited to Vivint, including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communications to, from, and/or about any employee of Vivint regarding Parvin Olfati and/or [REDACTED]. • Communications that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any activity regarding Vivint equipment at 1859 [REDACTED] or believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any tampering or disabling. 	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PR A-2018-02125	Neill	William	10/7/2018	<p>All records associated with your number Re: 0861301624 about 1 month ago. About 2 months ago i purchased a gun. Your FIREARMS DIVISION placed a hold on the sale and on me personally causing me to be RED FLAGGED and forced to SECONDARY INSPECTION each time I return from Tijuana Mexico. My church and other members cross 2 to 3 times each week I was instructed by my ELECTED OFFICIAL to request information from you as to the reason and case law you cite. I have sent 2 letters to you but no meaningful reply. I was told by the transfer agent that this is the number you have Re: 0861301624</p> <p>WILLIAM NEILL, [REDACTED], Phone: [REDACTED], Email: [REDACTED]</p> <p>I DO HAVE A RESTRAINING ORDER FOR ATTEMPTING TO LOCATE A CAT ON PRIVATE PROPERTY, BUT IT IS NOT A QUALIFYING RESTRAINING ORDER AS IT DOES NOT INVOLVE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE.</p>	Completed	Public Records	It is not entirely clear if you are seeking records reflecting your eligibility to purchase a firearm or your criminal history. In either case, the records sought would most likely be kept as part of the Attorney General's duties under Penal Code sections 11105, 11106, and 30000. For example, the categories of information kept by the Department that might help illuminate the reason a hold was placed on an individual's ability to purchase a gun include summary criminal history information (Pen. Code, § 11105), copies of licenses to carry firearms (id. at § 11106, subd. (a)(1)(B)), and information regarding persons who owned firearms on or after January 1,

							<p>1996, and subsequently became prohibited from owning firearms (id. at § 30000, subd. (b)). The law prohibits the distribution of the information collected pursuant to these statutes to specified persons, primarily law enforcement officers, identified in Penal Code section 11105, subdivisions (b) and (c). (See id. at §§ 11105, subd. (b), 11106, subd. (a)(2), & 30000, subd. (b).) Members of the public making California Public Records Act requests are not identified on the list of persons who can receive records pursuant to Penal Code section 11105.</p> <p>As such, we cannot provide you with the records you seek pursuant to a California Public Records Act request. (See also Cal. Const., art. I, § 1 [protecting California citizen's right to privacy]; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k) (incorporating other prohibitions and exemptions regarding the distribution of records and information into the Public Records Act).) To the extent your Public Records Act request seeks records and/or information about an individual's eligibility to own a firearm—even if you purport the individual about whom you are inquiring is yourself—your request is denied. Notwithstanding our denial of your Public Records Act request, it is possible for an individual who wants to check his own personal eligibility to own a firearm to do so. However, this request must be made using procedures that allow the Department to verify that the individual purporting to seek information about himself is, in fact, who he says he is. In order for an individual to determine if he is eligible to possess, receive, own, or purchase a firearm she must to submit a Personal Firearms Eligibility Check (PFEC) form to the Department, along with a copy her California Driver License or Identification Card, and a check or money order for the fee of \$20. The form must be signed and notarized, and include an impression of the individual's right thumbprint. If the individual seeking to determine his eligibility is a non-U.S. citizen, he must also submit a copy of her Alien Registration or I-94 Card. For your convenience, a copy of the form one would use to make a request for a PFEC is included with this letter. For more information regarding this process, please see the Bureau of Firearms website, FAQs,</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

									Personal Firearms Eligibility Check Program. (Available at https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pfecfaqs .) Additionally, if the results of a PFEC indicate that a determination of the requestor's eligibility to possess firearms cannot be made, and the requestor disagrees with that result, the requestor may request a summary of his California criminal history record by completing a "Request for Live Scan Service" form. However, a person's criminal history record will not reveal whether he is disqualified from owning a firearm due to prohibition categories that are not based on prior criminal convictions. Other factors that might impact the ability of a person to own a firearm include mental health status, the existence of restraining order against the individual, whether the person is a wanted person, and whether the person is subject to certain applicable federal prohibitions. For your convenience, a copy of the form you would use to make a request for a PFEC, and the form you would use to make a Request for Live Scan Service are included with this letter. For more information regarding this process, please see the Bureau of Firearms website, FAQs, Personal Firearms Eligibility Check Program. (Available at https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pfecfaqs .)
PR A-2018-02009	Warburton (Pleasanton USD records)	Joanne	10/8/2018	All fees and costs paid to lawyers by Pleasanton (California) Unified School District for special education disputes or legal disputes under IDEA for the last 5 years (October 2013 to present).		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to Pleasanton Unified School District, you may wish to direct your request to their office.	
PR A-2018-	Salazar (CH, CDCR records)	Daniel	10/8/2018	I need my records of incarceration from 2008 to 2012 I did a four-year prison term in old Folsom CA state prison and CCA prison in Sayre Oklahoma which is a privatized prison housing California inmates. I need to present those to a judge to prove I was incarcerated at the time I missed a court date in 2008 do to incarceration at a CA state prison. So I need proof of incarceration from 2008 to 2012. My CDC number was AA3025.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking prison and/or parole records from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, we must decline	

020 10				Daniel J Salazar. AA3025 Dob. [REDACTED]				<p>your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A- 201 8- 020 11	Dela Rosa Rodriguez (personal records)	Hector	10/8/ 2018	Hi. Im Hector mauro dela rosa Rodriguez. I want to order my record for personal propose		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available</p>

								through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-02012	Dugue (LA Superior Court Records)	Keith	10/8/2018	1. Los Angeles Superior Court Odyssey Judge's edition and Clerk's Edition training material and operators manuals. 2. List of errors ,problems and kinds of damage created by Odyssey. In superior court it not clear what the judge is doing up their and how the case file can be presented to him/her and how his/her view of the case file differs from mine. What can the clerk do with the case file. What kinds of error can occur?		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with Los Angeles Superior Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PR A-2018-	Fox (LA FD records)	Robert	10/8/2018	PATIENT TRANSPORT BEST PRACTICES (THIS DOCUMENT INFORMS MEDICAL TRANSPORT PERSONNEL ABOUT WHERE TO TAKE PATIENTS) THIS L.A. FIRE DEPT- DOCUMENT CONTAINS -"911"-MEDICAL TRANSPORT INFORMATION). IT DESCRIBES WHERE PATIENTS ARE TAKEN--WHAT HOSPITAL, E/R ETC.- THE CLOSEST; THE MOST QUALIFIED, ETC		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, §

020 13								6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Los Angeles Fire Department, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PR A- 201 8- 020 14	Tan	Edward	10/8/ 2018	Any and all public records		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PR A- 201 8- 020 15	Jang (emergency protective order)	Heewon	10/8/ 2018	emergency protective order in February 2nd, 2018; in Santa Clara County, through SUDPS		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	To the extent that you are requesting protective order information, we must deny your request. The California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS) is a statewide database designed to store information identifying persons named in restraining orders and is accessible via

								the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the California Department of Justice (DOJ), is restricted by law. (Gov. Code§ 15152). Access to records relating to CLETS inquiries is similarly restricted. Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)). The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In this instance, you may want to request this information from the courts as these records are public, or the Stanford University Department of Public Safety.
PR A-2018-02016	Jones	Eliza beth	10/8/2018	ssn: [REDACTED], dob: [REDACTED], criminal case from lake county, ca in 1988, such as case # and any other information.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-201	Ramachandran (DV)	Jana ni	10/8/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records. I am specifically looking for the number of Domestic Violence Restraining Orders filed in Alameda County Superior Courts from 1/1/2017 until 12/31/2017, and the numbers of Domestic Violence Restraining Orders		Assigned	Jaimie Tackett	On October 19, 2018, Jaimie Tackett sent an extension letter.

8-02017	restraining orders)			that were ultimately granted, and also, the number of those that were dropped, and those that were denied in that same time period.				
PR A-2018-02018	Conroy	Dottie	10/8/2018	I am requesting any court case records for Jacinda Raiche () and/or Robert Burdette ().		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PR A-2018-02019	Hightower	Marc	10/8/2018	Death Certificate for the following people? Judy Higgins married to Robert A. Higgins () Norman t. Ruggles () I am looking for these people because they are witnesses to a will my father left me on 12/31/86.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A-2018-02020	Henriks	Rolf	10/8/2018	Copy of my original completed application form for permanent Disabled Parking Placard, showing diagnosis and signature of authorizing physician. I believe this was executed in 1986, or thereabouts. My information: CDL () Current 2019 Placard no: () Current License Tag: () If the exact document is not available or has been digitized, a screen shot or any record showing date, diagnosis, and doctors name may be sufficient. I need evidence corroborating the fact that I was declared permanently disabled and on which date. The authorizing physician is now retired and his records destroyed.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request seeks copies of records submitted for a Parking Placard. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting how to contact the Department of Motor Vehicles can be found at https://www.dmv.ca.gov/portal/dmv/footer2/contactus .

PR A-2018-02021	Irwin	Brenna	10/8/2018	Police Report from Battery - May 2006, at [REDACTED]. Where I was the victim Brenna Jane Preston [REDACTED]. Anaheim Police Department had responded and prosecuted against Scott Douglas Preston DOB [REDACTED]. Orange County court does not have information as the records were purged from time passed.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking police report records, police reports are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-02023	Zhang	Min	10/8/2018	Denti-Cal Beneficiary Handbook from 2007-2017; Denti-Cal Provider Handbook from 2007 - 2017. I'm a graduate student in Virginia Commonwealth University. The handbooks will provide information needed for my research project. Thank you!		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to Denti-Cal, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PR A-2018-	Ramachandran	Janaani	10/8/2018	I would like to request for data on the number of police arrests made on the basis of domestic violence charges from 1/1/2017 to 12/31/2017 within Alameda County, and the number of such arrests made against women, and those made against men. Within this sample of arrests, I would also like to request the number of arrests on the basis of domestic violence that were made when violating an existing restraining order.		Assigned	Jaimie Tackett	On October 19, 2018, Jaimie Tackett sent an extension letter.

020 25								
PR A- 201 8- 020 26	Hansen	Eliza beth	10/9/ 2018	<p>I would like copies of records you have relating to including audio visual materials:</p> <p>1. Middleton v. McNeil, 541 U.S. 433 Supreme Court of the United States Filed: May 3rd, 2004 Precedential Status: Precedential Citations: 541 U.S. 433, 124 S. Ct. 1830, 158 L. Ed. 2d 701, 2004 U.S. LEXIS 3381 Docket Number: 03-1028 Supreme Court Database ID: 2003-056 Judges: Per Curiam</p> <p>2. 541 U.S. 433 (2004) MIDDLETON, WARDEN v. McNEIL. No. 03-1028. Supreme Court of United States. Decided May 3, 2004. Sally Marie Mcneil, Petitioner-appellant, v. Raymond L. Middleton; Bill Lockyer, Respondents-appellees, 344 F.3d 988 (9th Cir. 2003) Annotate this Case U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit - 344 F.3d 988 (9th Cir. 2003) Argued and Submitted April 8, 2003 Filed September 22, 2003</p> <p>3. United States Court of Appeals,Ninth Circuit. Sally Marie McNEIL, Petitioner-Appellant, v. Raymond L. MIDDLETON; Bill Lockyer, Respondents-Appellees. No. 01-56565. Decided: March 29, 2005</p> <p>And any other court cases involving McNeil.</p>		Com plete d	Cynthi a S. Lumley	<p>Our records contain the following documents (we have no audio visual materials): Fourth Appellate District, Division One, Case No. D026047 (appeal of San Diego Superior Court Case No. SCN018509): Appellant's Opening Brief (116 pages) Respondent's Brief (62 pages) Appellant's Reply Brief (29 pages) Petitioner's Additional Points and Authorities (5 pages) Respondent's Additional Points and Authorities (5 pages) Opinion (14 pages) Petition for Rehearing (23 pages) Petition for Review (55 pages) [note: our records do not contain any Clerk's Transcript or Reporter's Transcript] United States District Court, Southern District, Case No. 99cvl490: Petition (11 pages) First Amended Motion to Dismiss (63 pages) Opposition to First Amended Motion to Dismiss (13 pages) Order re: unexhausted claims (12 pages) Supplemental Memo re: unexhausted claims (5 pages) Points and Authorities in Support of Petition (49 pages) Order Denying Motion to Dismiss (5 pages) Answer (46 pages) Traverse to Answer (39 pages) Report and Recommendation (29 pages) Objections to Report and Recommendation (31 pages) Additional Objections (4 pages) Order Adopting Report and Recommendations (4 pages) Motion for Certificate of Appealability (10 pages) Ninth Circuit, Case No. 01-56565: Appellant's Opening Brief (63 pages) Excerpts of Record (181 pages) Answering Brief (41 pages) Appellant's Reply Brief (8 pages) Opinion (25 pages) Petition for Rehearing (8 pages) Motion to Panel After Remand from US Supreme Court (27 pages) Respondent's Supplemental Brief (6 pages) Appellant's Supplemental Brief (15 pages)</p>

								Opinion (8 pages) Petition for Rehearing and Suggestion (6 pages) U.S. Supreme Court, Case No. 03-1028: Petition for Writ of Certiorari (86 pages) Opinion (6 pages) Petition for Rehearing (13 pages) The Department of Justice is authorized to charge the direct cost of duplication for providing copies of records in response to public records requests. (Gov. Code § 6253 subd. (b).) The cost for making a photocopy of a paper record is \$0.10 per page. An additional charge would be due to pay the postage fee (regular U.S. ground mail) for mailing the documents. You may avoid this additional fee by arranging to pick up the documents at the address above. Please indicate which, if any, of the above documents you would like us to provide so I can calculate the copying and postage fees. Please send the letter directly to my attention at the above address. I will then let you know the final amount for copying and postage and provide instructions for payment.
PR A-2018-02027	Wilson (OSHA records)	Ty	10/9/2018	(1) The entire inspection file in connection with OSHA inspection number 314864687. For a further description of this accident investigation please see this link directed to the OSHA inspection file webpage: https://www.osha.gov/pls/imis/establishment.inspection_detail?id=314864687 (2) In addition, I request the entire inspection file in connection with OSHA inspection number 314704438. For a further description of this accident investigation please see this link directed to the OSHA inspection file webpage: https://www.osha.gov/pls/imis/establishment.inspection_detail?id=314704438		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. Our research into the matter shows that the records you seek may be in the custody or control of another governmental agency. We suggest that you direct your request to the United States Department of Labor's Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Information on how to request Public Records from them can be found on their website at https://www.dol.gov/general/foia .
PR A-2018-02028	Williams	Mary	10/9/2018	Case No. SF2001AD0643 COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, RESTITUTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE REMEDIES. Cemetery and Funeral Bureau, Department of Consumer Affairs - Plaintiff v. California Master Trust, Funeral Directors Service Corporation, The California Funeral Directors Association, Comerica Bank, Mechanics Bank, Association Resource Center,.....Defendants I would like all pertinent filings and informations regarding the outcome of the lawsuit.		Completed	Yunah Chung	This email responds to the Public Records Request that you submitted, seeking "all pertinent filings and informations regarding the outcome of the lawsuit," Case Number SF2001AD0643. As we discussed over the phone on October 15, the documents pertaining to that case have been purged in accordance with the office's retention policy. We have, however, identified a 2011 case that was filed in the Los Angeles Superior

								Court and captioned Cemetery and Funeral Bureau, Department of Consumer Affairs v. California Master Trust et al., that we believe is relevant to your request. Please find attached (1) the complaint for injunctive relief, and (2) the court's final judgment in that case. Should you need additional information, please let us know.
PR A-2018-02029	Musser (complaints)	Ashleigh	10/9/2018	<p>All Documents or Complaints related to any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Dental Board of California License No. 50324 <p>All Documents or Complaints related to any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Moheb, DMD, Inc. o Trancas Dental Group o Alireza Moheb, DMD, Inc. o Unlimited Smiles o Unlimited Smiles Napa o Unlimited Smiles Martinez o Unlimited Smiles Vacaville o Unlimited Smiles Walnut Creek o Unlimited Smiles San Miguel Dental Group o San Miguel Dental Group o Alamo Family Dental Care o Alamo Family Dental Group, o Unlimited Smiles Oakland o Oakland Smile Center o R Moheb DMD, A Dental Corporation o Unlimited Smile LLC Dental Group o Alhambra Dental Group o A. Moheb DMD A Dental Corporation o A.R. Moheb DMD A Dental Corporation o Ali Moheb DMD A Dental Corporation o Alireza Moheb DMD A Dental Corporation o Unlimited Smiles LLC o Dr. Alireza Moheb 		Completed	Jonathan Cooper	<p>We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but may also seek records from other departments, such as the Dental Board of California. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>In response to your request, on behalf of the Department of Justice only, we are providing the following documents, all of which pertain to Dental Board of California case number DBC 2011-15:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A letter, dated December 24, 2012, addressed to Alireza Moheb along with the Board's Decision and Order; 2. A letter, dated November 9, 2012, addressed to the Presiding Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings. 3. A letter, dated October 25, 2012, from counsel for Alireza Moheb. 4. A Jetter, dated October 22, 2012, from counsel for Alireza Moheb. 5. A copy of the Accusation and related documents. 6. A letter, dated November 1, 2012, from counsel for Alireza Moheb. 7. A copy of a Notice of Hearing. 8. A letter, dated June 14, 2012, from counsel for Alireza Moheb. 9. A letter, dated June 6, 2012, from Jennifer Seelig. 10. A letter, dated April 27, 2012, from counsel for Alireza Moheb, with attachments. <p>We have redacted the letter and attachments to delete patient information.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 11. A letter, dated December 27, 2011, to the Office of Administrative Hearings. 12. A letter, dated May 1, 2012, from counsel for Alireza Moheb. 13. A letter, dated April 30, 2012, to counsel for Alireza Moheb. 14. A letter, dated February 14, 2012,

							<p>to counsel for Alireza Moheb.</p> <p>Please take note of the following limitations on the disclosure of documents pursuant to a Public Records Act request:</p> <p>Investigation Limitation: Government Code § 6254(f), prohibits disclosure of records of any investigatory or security files compiled by state or local agencies for correctional, law enforcement, or licensing purposes. Therefore, any investigations in possession of the Department of Justice pertaining to the subjects you have identified cannot be provided.</p> <p>Attorney Client Privilege: Government Code § 6254(k) also incorporates the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code § 952 and protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client. In Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, the Supreme Court held that Government Code section 6254(k) expressly exempts from disclosure matters privileged under the Evidence Code, which includes the attorney client privilege. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or designees and any client agency are exempt from disclosure.</p> <p>Attorney Work Product: Some of the records you seek are generally exempt from disclosure by the attorney work product rule, as codified in Code of Civil Procedure § 2018 and made applicable to the Public Records Act through Government Code § 6254(k). (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) This exemption protects from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. § 2018.030.)</p> <p>Under the attorney work-product exemption, records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office would be subject to this exemption, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Thus, any documents that fall within this category will not be provided.</p> <p>Pending Litigation: Records related to pending litigation</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

								involving the parties identified in your request and the Dental Board of California are exempt from disclosure. Records pertaining to pending litigation to which a public agency is a party are exempt until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Board of Trustees of the California State University v. Superior Court (2005) 132 Cal.App.4th 889; Gov. Code § 6254 subd. (b).) Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions about this response.
PR A-2018-02030	Keshishyan (case records)	Oscar	10/9/2018	Case Number: 5PY04839-01 Date when Case was Filed: 9/23/15		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking records filed with a local court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PR A-2018-02031	Mordici (Prop 65 Consent Judgments)	Sally	10/9/2018	Pursuant to the California Public Records Act, I am requesting the consent judgments that are listed below. AG Number Case ID Case Name Court Docket Number 2003-00039 Judgment 50 Leeman v. Discovery Channel Stores 418037 2003-00044 Judgment 14 Leeman v. ARC International, et al 418025 2003-00046 Judgment 12 Leeman v. Artland, Inc. 418025 2003-00048 Judgment 111 DiPirro v. Certified (Leeman v. ARC Int'l) CGC-03-418025 2003-00107 Judgment 33 Leeman v. Catamount 422636 2003-00118 Judgment 24 Leeman v. Arc International, et al 418025 2003-00240 Judgment 8 Leeman v. Salton, Inc. 427851 2003-00241 Judgment 27 Leeman v. Sears, Roebuck 418025 2004-00224 Judgment 9 Leeman v. Arc International, et al 418025 2004-00344 Judgment 92 Brimer v. Beverages & More, Inc. CGC-04-435215 2004-00345 Judgment 31 Brimer v. Circle Imports CGC-04-435209 2004-00350 Judgment 119 Brimer v. Home Depot CGC-04-436839 2004-00358 Judgment 74 Brimer v. Reno-Tahoe Specialty, Inc. et al. CGC-04-435214 2004-00359 Judgment 7 Brimer v. Saks 435217 2004-00362 Judgment 75 Brimer v. Smith-Southwestern, Inc. et al. CGC-04-436038 2004-00365 Judgment 137 Brimer v. Wells MFG. USA Inc., et al. CGC-04-435221 2004-00372 Judgment 135 DiPirro v. J.C. Penney Company, Inc. 407150 2004-00386 Judgment 106 Brimer v. Paramount Parks 104-CV-032112 2004-00387 Judgment 371 Brimer v. Six Flags FCS027180 2004-00388 Judgment 147 Brimer v. Starbucks Corporation CV-045326 2004-00389 Judgment 68 Brimer v. Bubba Gump Shrimp Co. Restaurants, et al CGC-04-436429 2004-00393 Judgment 104 Brimer v. Santa Barbara Ceramic Design CGC-04-436730		Completed	Harrison M. Pollak	You requested copies of consent judgments listed in the request, which is attached to this letter. Each consent judgment is identified by its AG Number, Case ID, Case Name, and Court Docket Number. The Public Records Act provides that a public agency may comply with its duty to make records available for inspection by posting the records on its internet site and, in response to a request for public records, directing the requestor to the location on the website where the records are posted. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (f).) If the requestor is not able to access or reproduce the records from the website, then we shall promptly provide copies. (Ibid.) As you know, most of the consent judgments you requested are available on the Attorney General's Proposition 65 website at www.oag.ga.gov/prop65/60-day-notice-

2004-00394 Judgment 85 Brimer v. Hearthmark, Inc., et al. CV 045306
 2004-00402 Judgment 129 Leeman v. Indiana Glass Company CGC-04-437017
 2004-00405 Judgment 87 Leeman v. Precidio, Inc. CGC-04-436841
 2004-00425 Judgment 84 Brimer v. K&M Nordic Company, Inc. RG-05-192649
 2004-00426 Judgment 172 Brimer v. Dave & Buster's, Inc. 105CV034242
 2004-00427 Judgment 419 Brimer v. Raley's CV-0500000928
 2004-00473 Judgment 127 Brimer v. Kohl's CGC-05-438954
 2004-00482 Judgment 130 Brimer v. House of Blues CGC-05-438712
 2004-00489 Judgment 73 Brimer v. Ganz, Inc., et al. CGC-05-439990
 2004-00491 Judgment 133 Brimer v. Hunter Manufacturing Group, Inc. CGC-05-439569
 2004-00493 Judgment 136 Brimer v. Universal Screen Arts, Inc. CGC-05-439564
 2004-00493 Judgment 138 Brimer v. Universal Screen Arts, Inc., et al. CGC-05-439564
 2005-00003 Judgment 98 Brimer v. The Madden Corporation CGC-05-439568
 2005-00007 Judgment 214 Brimer v Beverages and More CGC-05-444270
 2005-00041 Judgment 1074 Brimer v. Boelter CGC-05-440811
 2005-00093 Judgment 109 Brimer v. Enesco Group, Inc. CGC-05-440239
 2005-00099 Judgment 192 Brimer v. Anthropologie CGC-05-445622
 2005-00210 Judgment 302 Brimer v. Archie McPhee HG06264912
 2005-00214 Judgment 221 Brimer v. Uncommongoods CGC-05-445626
 2005-00275 Judgment 324 Brimer v. Petro CGC-06-447009
 2005-00315 Judgment 351 Brimer v. Lion Supermarket CGC-05-447016
 2005-00328 Judgment 350 Brimer v. Bird In Hand CGC-05-447014
 2005-00383 Judgment 187 People v. Sun Shops, Inc. CV-153031
 2005-00388 Judgment 370 Brimer v. Darioush 26-34343
 2005-00391 Judgment 326 Brimer v. PW Supermarkets HG06287673
 2005-00393 Judgment 189 People v. Judlar, et al CV-153033
 2005-00395 Judgment 303 Brimer v. Fuddruckers RG06250344
 2005-00402 Judgment 182 Brimer v. Faygo Beverages CGC 05-447595
 2005-00405 Judgment 244 Brimer v. CBS CGC-05-447602
 2005-00409 Judgment 217 Brimer v. Overstock.com CGC-05-447603
 2005-00411 Judgment 327 Brimer v. Busch Entertainment CGC-05-447598
 2005-00432 Judgment 313 Brimer v. Bristol Farms BC353251
 2005-00433 Judgment 223 Brimer v. Pepsi-Cola Bottling CGC-05-447641
 2005-00560 Judgment 237 Brimer v. Fuddruckers CGC-06-449-026
 2005-00582 Judgment 443 Brimer v. McLaughlin 1-06-CV-071138
 2005-00584 Judgment 211 Brimer v. Blue Sky CGC-06-452652
 2005-00588 Judgment 246 Leeman v. Bryan's CGC-06-449153
 2005-00594 Judgment 317 Brimer v. Shun Fat OAS04218
 2005-00599 Judgment 336 Brimer v. Berkeley Bowl RG06290900
 2005-00610 Judgment 312 Brimer v. Eden 106CV057257
 2005-00612 Judgment 220 Brimer v. Hyler CGC-06-449000
 2005-00613 Judgment 487 Brimer v. Martin Weyrich Winery, LLC CV-06-0786
 2005-00619 Judgment 245 Brimer v. Nordstrom CGC-06-451464
 2005-00622 Judgment 399 Brimer v. Dole CGC-06-449070
 2005-00623 Judgment 238 Brimer v. South Coast CGC-06-449074
 2005-00628 Judgment 378 Brimer v. Straus Family Creamery, Inc. CGC-06-449080
 2005-00638 Judgment 195 Brimer v. Specialty Sports Ventures CGC-06-449027
 2006-00061 Judgment 335 Brimer v. Screen-It HG06261405
 2006-00082 Judgment 298 Brimer v. Bacardi CGC-06-453355
 2006-00169 Judgment 288 Brimer v. Mandarin Soy CGC-06-453880
 2006-00182 Judgment 311 Brimer v. Valley Han Kook BC352505
 2006-00274 Judgment 323 Leeman v. Dr. Pepper CGC-06-452796
 2006-00503 Judgment 379 Brimer v. A & W Bottling Company, Inc. RG06302048
 2006-00679 Judgment 400 Brimer v. World of 98 Cents 612633
 2006-00681 Judgment 334 Leeman v. Nugget Market CGC-07-462826
 2006-00682 Judgment 504 Brimer v. U.S. Trading Company, Inc. RG07325392
 2006-00698 Judgment 451 MEJF v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. 07-461728
 2007-00004 Judgment 433 Brimer v. Solo Cup CGC-07-462137
 2007-00040 Judgment 742 Brimer v. Southwest Specialty Foods, Inc. CGC-08-474309
 2007-00046 Judgment 606 Brimer v. Golden Orchid CGC-07-464845
 2007-00063 Judgment 486 Brimer v. Whole Foods CGC-06-457886
 2007-00091 Judgment 652 Leeman v. Raleys dba Nob Hill Foods, et al. RG07335317

search. You can locate the consent judgments by searching for the AG Number. We do not have hard copies of consent judgments that are not on the website, since they would be the older judgments that are beyond our office's retention policy. However, we have uploaded many of the older consent judgments to the website as well, so it is worth checking for all of them. If you are not able to access or reproduce the consent judgments that are on the website, then please notify us to we can provide copies.

				<p>2008-00241 Judgment 1274 Brimer v. Daiso California, LLC, et al. RG09436586</p> <p>2010-00287 Judgment 1241 MEJF v. Safeway, Inc. and Ben Myerson Candy Co. CGC-09-486680</p> <p>2010-00643 Judgment 1496 Brimer v. ICUP, Inc., et al. CGC-11-508222</p> <p>2011-00841 Judgment 1683 Brimer v. Capitol Records, LLC, et al. 112CV216180</p> <p>2011-00908 Judgment 1787 Brimer v. Evriholder Products, LLC, et al. 112CV224755</p> <p>2011-00913 Judgment 1821 Brimer v. National Entertainment Collectables, et al. CIV1200562</p> <p>2011-00965 Judgment 1725 Brimer v. Vandor CGC-12-523859</p> <p>2014-00149 Judgment 2332 Isabel Novak v. Target Corporation, et al. BC523095</p> <p>2014-00562 Judgment 2822 Brimer v. Taylor Precision Products, Inc. CGC-14-542200</p> <p>2014-00873 Judgment 2773 Moore v. Artland, Inc. RG15759001</p> <p>2014-01058 Judgment 3121 Laurence Vinocur v. Epic Products, Inc., et al. CGC-15-543578</p> <p>2014-01379 Judgment 3034 Wozniak v. Nordstrom, Inc. CIV1502330</p> <p>2015-01225 Judgment 3321 Leeman v. Beam Suntory Inc. CGC16550527</p> <p>2015-01229 Judgment 3480 Leeman v. Home Essentials & Beyond, Inc. 16CV291329</p> <p>2017-01500 Judgment 3758 Englander v. Global Amici, Inc. 17CV317191</p> <p>2017-01643 Judgment 3657 Vinocur v. Creative Co-op, Inc. CGC-17-561544</p> <p>2017-01855 Judgment 3916 Englander v. Polaris Industries Inc. CGC-17-562780</p> <p>2017-01863 Judgment 3876 Englander v. Woolrich, Inc. CGC-17-562367</p>				
PR A-2018-02032	Arnold (NRA)	Kendra	10/9/2018	<p>Documents and communications between Attorney General Xavier Becerra and any other Attorney General, Governor, or state insurance official (such as an insurance commissioner, director, subordinate, etc.) that mention the "National Rifle Association" (NRA or N.R.A.), "NRA Carry Guard", "Carry Guard", or "Carry Guard Insurance."</p> <p>Documents and communications between Attorney General Xavier Becerra and any other Attorney General, Governor, or state insurance official (such as an insurance commissioner, director, subordinate, etc.) that mention "LegalShield", "U.S. LawShield", and "USCCA Self-Defense Insurance."</p> <p>Copies of all documents and communications between Attorney General Xavier Becerra and any other Attorney General, Governor, or state insurance official (such as an insurance commissioner, director, subordinate, etc.) mentioning both the NRA and:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o "excess line insurance" o "excess line market" o "excess line broker" o "affinity program(s)" o "insurance brokerage firm" o "Retired Law Enforcement Officer Self-Defense Insurance" o "ArmsCare Plus Firearms Insurance" o "No Cost ArmsCare Firearms Insurance" o "Firearms Instructor Plus Liability Insurance" o "Personal Firearms Protection Insurance" o "Gun Collectors Insurance" o "Gun Club Insurance" o NRA Business Alliance Insurance" o "Gun Show Insurance" o "Home-Based Federal Firearms License Insurance" o "liability coverage" and/or "liability insurance" 	Foundation for Accountability and Civic Trust	Completed	Public Records	We checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places, and we did not identify any documents responsive to this request.
PR A-2018-02065	Larsen	Keri	10/9/2018	I would like information regarding case #0012045539. The case may be under the name of Van Nuys RX, Inc. and or Alex Goldmen or Felix Lantsman the former owners of Van Nuys RX, Inc.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p>

PR A-2018-02034	Osowski	Jason	10/10/2018	I would like my Live scan results and all records obtained and used in the decision that will be made. (Hopefully soon) I have had Fraud and would like to see what is on my complete criminal and DMV records. Thank you for your time		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request seeks driving records. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting your driver records can be found at http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php . To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-02035	Connolly	Erica	10/10/2018	Victor Calde aka Vincent [REDACTED]		Completed		
PR A-2018-02036	Kenner-Bowen	Tanya	10/10/2018	1. What provider(s), if any, currently provide PDMP software management services to the state? 2. A copy of any contracts between the state and Contractors who provide PDMP-related services from 2016 until present.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In regard to item (1), the DOJ does not have responsive documents for this request. In regard to item (2), the DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PR A-2018-02037	Martinez Cabrera	Alma	10/10/2018	I am looking for a case file regarding domestic abuse with the defendant Maricela Cabrera Nino against Alma Martinez and Alejandro Lopez as well as case files with the defendant Humberto Lopez against Maricela Cabrera and information on how to obtain any physiological and psychological exams for Alma Martinez. The case would have been filed in 2001/2002 in Lake Forest CA. Thank you		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you

								<p>should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking information regarding victim rights and services, you can contact our Victims' Services unit. More information about Marsy's Law for victims can be found on our website at https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, such as a case name or case number, please provide that to us. To the extent that you are seeking physiological and psychological records, we must decline your request for the following reasons.</p> <p>Records Not in the Possession of the Department of Justice</p> <p>DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire State. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. We have no obligation or ability to disclose records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking medical records, you may wish to direct your request to the doctor or hospital from which treatment was received.</p> <p>While our office may at times receive medical records as evidence related to cases, these are usually unique and rare occurrences and would either be considered discovery, or fall under the exemption cited below for medical records. In discovery during civil litigation unrelated to the Public Records Act, Evidence Code section 1040 governs and precludes our office from disclosing medical records that are part of discovery proceedings.</p> <p>Medical Records</p> <p>Government Code §6254(c) provides that "Personnel, medical, or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" are exempt from disclosure.</p>
PR A-201	Rensin (case records)	Emmett	10/10/2018	October 29, 2018 follow up: Thank you so much for these. A number of pages, particularly in the records of the March 22, 2006 parole hearing (your final email) appear to be missing--sometimes a few, sometimes dozens at a time. I wanted to make sure the remaining pages were lost and not accidentally excluded here.		Completed	Julia Y Je	October 30, 2018 response: The documents provided to you are as they appear in our records.

8-02038			<p>October 22, 2018 clarification: Thank you so much for response. I'm happy to receive all the records you have--the hundreds of documents-- from the 1986 and 1988 cases via email: no need to mail. And please do keep me appraised about the parole hearings as they're reviewed.</p> <p>October 10, 2018 request: I am writing to request all documents related to the trial, sentencing, and subsequent parole hearings for Herbert William Mullin (CDCR #B51410), who is currently incarcerated in Mule Creek State Prison. The trial and sentencing took place in Santa Cruz, CA, in 1973. Subsequent parole hearings have occurred on February 17, 2011, March 22, 2006, December 27, 2001, August 15, 1996, September 17, 1991, June 21, 1991, June 16, 1988, June 14, 1985, and May 14, 1982. Please provide all transcripts, summaries, and any other document containing the name "Herbert Mullin", "Herbert William Mullin", "Herbert W. Mullin", or CDCR #B51410, either by email or by physical mail to the address listed above. Thank you.</p>			<p>October 25, 2018 fees letter: The last case we have related to Mr. Mullin is a 2008 federal habeas case filed in U.S. District Court, Herbert Mullin v. Martel, case No. 08-cv-01233. The case file contains the following responsive documents: Petition for Habeas Corpus, filed 05/29/08, challenging March 22, 2006 parole hearing, 172 pages Order requiring response, filed 07/13/10, 2 pages AG Motion to Dismiss, filed 09/10/10, 5 pages Exhibits to motion to dismiss, 461 pages Motion for Appointment of Counsel, filed 10/01/10, 4 pages Order denying motion for counsel, filed 10/18/10, 2 pages Petitioner's motion to withdraw habeas, filed 10/26/10, 2 pages Order Dismissing Action pursuant to Motion to Withdraw, filed 11/01/10, 1 page Please inform me if you want to receive any of these documents.</p> <p>October 22, 2018 response: We have identified several cases that may contain responsive documents. Please be informed that the criminal appeal filed by Mr. Mullen in 1973 is no longer in existence and we have no records related to that case.</p> <p>We have records in a 1986 federal habeas corpus case filed in U.S. District Court, Herbert Mullin v. Estelle, case No. C 84-20082-RPA. This case file contains hundreds of documents including the legal briefs and court orders.</p> <p>We have records in a 1988 federal appeal case filed in the Ninth Circuit, Herbert Mullin v. Estelle, case No. 88-1660. This case file contains hundreds of documents including the legal briefs and court orders.</p> <p>In order to assist us, please describe the specific type of documents or category of documents you are seeking from these files. The documents may be provided to you by email. If you want copies mailed to you, this Office charges \$.10 per page and the cost of mailing. This Office is not authorized to provide copies of reporter's transcripts as they are the property of the court reporter and must be obtained directly from the reporter.</p> <p>Additional files have been located related to Mr. Mullin's parole hearings.</p>
---------	--	--	--	--	--	---

							We are still in the process of reviewing those files and will be in contact with you about those documents.
PR A-2018-02039	Rad	Abdul	10/10/2018	I am a data scientist for Campaign Zero, a police reform organization, and am inquiring on whether the California Attorney General Office compiles a list of police misconduct settlements awarded from civil lawsuits across cities in California. I understand that you may not compile this information but I wanted to confirm that this is the case prior to filing FOIA requests to individual cities. I appreciated all your help in this matter and thank you for your service.		Completed	Erin Nickless We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. In this instance, you may wish to contact the local police departments.
PR A-2018-02040	Crivello	Emily	10/10/2018	Police Report: 15-102672 Officer: J. Tippetts Badge: 0259 Date: April 15, 2015 I am seeking some information regarding a report I filed on April 15, 2015. This report concerns a sexual assault. I did not get any information about this report, even after leaving voicemails for the detective back in 2015.		Completed	Erin Nickless Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PR A-2018-02041	Oseman	Joanna	10/10/2018	I am requesting copies of all current contracts for translation and interpreting services. Please let me know if there is any cost involved for approval - electronic copies are preferred. Thank you in advance.		Completed	Stacy Heinse Specifically, you requested copies of all current contracts for translation and interpreting services. Attached are copies of the following contracts: Contract# 17-4138 & Am. 1 Accent on Languages 7/1/17 - 6/30/19 \$25,000.00 Contract# 17-6327 Eaton Interpreting, Inc. 7/1/17 - 6/30/19 \$24,000.00 Contract# 17-6709 Interpreters Unlimited, Inc. 7/1/17 - 6/30/19 \$8,000.00 Contract# 12-6738 & Am. 1 -5 Transcend Translations 2/27/13 - 6/30/19 \$149,000.00 Contract # 17-4417 Cal Interpreting and Translations, Inc. 4/1/18 - 3/31/20 \$30,000.00 Contract# 17-5058

								Language People 7/1/17 - 6/30/19 \$9,000.00 Contract# 18-6327 Partners in Communication LLC 7/1/18 - 6/30/19 \$4,500.00
PR A- 201 8- 020 50	Doueiri	Dany	10/1 0/20 18	Under the California Public Records Act§ 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records that relate to an investigation about allegation of fraud launched against me by my employer. My name is Dany Doueiri, I am an Associate Professor, and my employer is the California State University, San Bernardino. The investigation took place between 2016 and 2018. I would like to have access to all communication (electronic, telephonic, print, or notes from interviews) between 1-1-2016 and 9-26-2018, in addition to supplied evidence/documentations that were related to the investigation and conclusions/findings of the Attorney General's office about the investigation. I prefer the response to be submitted in electronic format. I understand that there may be fees associated for searching/downloading/saving/copying/scanning/mailling these records.		Com plete d	Erin Nickles s	To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PR A- 201 8- 018 80	Nelson (SCNG) (Riverside USD)	Joseph	10/1 1/20 18	Any copies of letters and/or other documentation and/or court records received in the last month by the California Department of Justice requesting an investigation by the Attorney General's Office into the Riverside Unified School District and/or any of its teachers and administrators. The letter/letters, I understand, are from attorneys at the law firm of Manly, Stewart & Finaldi.		Com plete d	Erin Nickles s	To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must deny your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PR A- 201 8- 020 42	Hernandez (personal records)	Liza	10/1 1/20 18	Personal record review Nothing on file at court of San Diego		Com plete d	Erin Nickles s	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR

								and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-02043	Hansne (incident report)	Sarah	10/11/2018	I would like a copy of an incident report that I filed back in 2016 if possible. It was regarding Financial/Identity theft. My address at the time was [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PR A-2018-02044	Jackson (9-11 transcript)	Sandra	10/11/2018	Please send me a copy of a 911 CALL I made on 08/08/2018 regarding a Domestic Violence from my phone [REDACTED] it was for additional help from Police needed. I need that transcript if possible. Case # SDR0055065		Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you are seeking records related to a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PR A-201	White	Philip	10/11/2018	I wish to obtain a copy of the written response and any associated corrected/amended tax filings from La Jolla Parks and Beaches Incorporated (CT #0191118, SOS C3410746 and IRS EIN # 45-3281923) to The Attorney General's NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE REPORT dated May 5 2015 presented to the forementioned organization.		Completed	Tania M.	This email responds to your Public Records Request sent by email on October 11, 2018. You requested a

8-02046				I was not able to find the organization's response to the NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE REPORT in the AG's on line depository. Perhaps the organization defied the request and never replied? Thank you for your help with matter			Ibanez, SAAG	copy of the organization's response to the Notice of Incomplete Report dated May 5, 2015. The Attorney General's Office sent the Notice requesting that the organization provide copies of its Schedule B for the IRS Form 990 submitted for the fiscal year ending 12/31/14. La Jolla submitted its Schedule B during May of 2015. This office cannot release a copy of the schedule b because this document is required to be maintained as a confidential nonpublic document pursuant to the California Code of Regulations, Title 11, section 310. Subdivision (b). This organization is current in its reporting requirements.
PR A-2018-02048	Siders (Politico) (PRA Logs)	David	10/11/2018	I'm trying to lay some groundwork for 2020 coverage. Could I make a PRA request for any log of PRA requests that you keep on file? And can you consider this email that request? To be clear – I'm not asking for the voluminous responses: just the incoming requests. I also don't have a timeframe in mind, but I'd like not to cut it short if you have a lengthier log. Thanks!		Assigned	Erin Nickless	October 11, 2018 request for mailing address: Our office has Public Records Act logs dating back to January 2010. At this time, we are able to produce logs from January 2010 through May 31, 2018. However, we need additional time to generate logs for June 1, 2018 through the date of your request, and to redact personal information. We anticipate completing the logs for June and July within two weeks, but will require a minimum of 12 weeks to prepare the September and October logs. We will produce these logs as they are completed on a rolling basis. Due to the size of the log files, we are unable to email them. Please provide us with a mailing address so that we can provide the files on a compact disc. Please let us know if you have any questions.
PR A-2018-02078	Stollings (enforcement of PRA)	Richard	10/11/2018	Who is responsible for enforce the California Open Records Request Laws? How do you contact that person?		Completed	Erin Nickless	When the Legislature enacted the Public Records Act in 1968, it provided several judicial remedies for persons who believed that they had been wrongfully denied records to which they were entitled. These remedies include the right to seek injunctive or declaratory relief or a writ of mandate in the Superior Court. (See Government Code sections 6258-6259.) Absent special circumstances, the Legislature did not envision an enforcement role for the Attorney General in connection with noncompliance under the Act. However, you may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options under the judicial remedies discussed above.
PR A-201	Olfati (toxic)	Parvin	10/11/2018	Pursuant to the Public Records Act, the Information Practices Act, and Article I, Section 3(b) of the California Constitution, I hereby request that the California Department of Justice produce to me any and all records of any and all use to any substances, toxic or otherwise, and/or remote devices at any location that are targeted at [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

8-02088	substances)			<p>and/or Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any use in retaliation for or is otherwise related to any PRA request filed by Parvin Olfati or from [REDACTED], including but not limited to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Steve Maviglio and his tens of suspect visitors 2. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Martin Raygoza, Petros Raygoza, and/or Helen Vatthis. 3. Any use of [REDACTED] 4. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Barb Andres and/or Cecil Taylor. 5. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or June Lamoureaux and/or Bill Kellerhals. 6. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Mieke Smythe and/or John Lisuk. 7. Any use of [REDACTED] 8. Any entries on to [REDACTED] for the placement of any device, substance, toxic, and/or object on any portion of [REDACTED] including but not limited to the basement, house, storage shed, driveway, and/or yard area. 9. Any communications with any person, entity, or agency-to release toxic gases at [REDACTED] 10. Any communications constituting, mentioning, referring to and/or relating to any use of any device for remote control and release of toxic gases at, inside of, and/or in the basement of any house at [REDACTED] 11. Any communications with the City of Sacramento (including but not limited to the Police Department, the Department of Utilities, and/or the Community Development Department) regarding any release of toxic gases or other activity directed at [REDACTED] 12. If any of the records requested herein have been destroyed, transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please include any and all records of their destruction, transfer, or nonavailability, together with applicable records retention policies. 			
PR A-2018-02111	Theonugraha	Jonathan	10/11/2018	<p>Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250, et seq., the "Act"), we respectfully request a copy of the documents identified below, which are filed with or retained, controlled, or prepared by the California Attorney General's Office (the "AG"). The term "Property" as used herein refers to that certain real property located within the City and County of San Francisco in the general vicinity of Hunter's Point and Candlestick Point and known as Tide Land Block Nos. 432, 464, 488, 489, 490, 512, 513, 875 and 876, being a portion of the Right of Way for the Southern Pacific and Western Pacific Railroad Companies, 200 feet wide as fixed and designated by the Board of Tide Land Commissioners under the Act of March 30, 1868.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title Policies: Any title insurance commitments or policies, title guarantees, abstracts of title or similar reports obtained by the AG, the California State Lands Commission (the "SLC"), or the City and County of San Francisco ("CCSF") covering or relating to all or any part of the Property between 1950 and 1970. 2. Property Taxes: All records and correspondence relating to the taxation of the Property including, without limitation, the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Property tax bills issued for the Property from 1906 to 1970; b. Evidence of payment or nonpayment of all property taxes imposed on the Property from 1914 to 1970; c. Any notices of nonpayment of property taxes, or of penalties or interest accrued on delinquent taxes or tax sales; d. Any and all records relating to the cancellation of ad valorem taxes on (i) all or part of the Property in 1957 as requested by the SLC (which is believed to be referenced as "File No. 15989" and/or "P.R.C 918.1") and (ii) any other property located within CCSF (excluding the cancellation of taxes due to ownership changing from a taxable party to a tax-exempt party) including, without limitation, the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Records of the title and other research on which the SLC and/or CCSF or other governmental authorities based their determination made in or about 1957 that the State was the owner of the Property and/or, that taxes should not be imposed on it; ii. Correspondence by and among the SLC, CCSF, and any other State and local governmental agencies regarding their decision made in or about 1957 that the State was the owner of the Property and/or that taxes should not be imposed on it; iii. Any notices or correspondence issued by the SLC, CCSF, or other governmental authority to the property owner (or the party identified as the taxpayer of the property tax bills issued prior to the cancellation of taxes in 1957, which is believed to be Henry A. Whitley or Sterling Investment Company) to the effect that the Property was not subject to taxation; iv. Evidence that any taxes paid from approximately 1868 to 1957 were refunded, or offered to be refunded; and v. Any records that cancellation of taxes on the Property was related to the death of Henry A. Whitley in or about 1957. 3. Property Use: All records and/or photographs indicating the use of, and any improvements on, all or any part of the Property between 1914 and 1970, including without limitation: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The use and/or occupation of any part of the Property by Henry A. Whitley and/or Sterling Investment Company referenced in the Affidavit of Henry A. Whitley, dated April 19, 1954, attached hereto as Exhibit A (the "Affidavit"), between 1914 and 1954; and b. All or part of the Property being fenced as stated in the "Affidavit;" and 	Complete	Michelle M. Mitchell	<p>On November 26, 2018, Michelle Mitchell responded: On November 5, 2018, the California Department of Justice (DOJ) provided its response to your Public Records Act Request seeking records regarding particular blocks of real property in an area known as the Tide Land Block real property in the vicinity of Hunter's Point and Candlestick Point. We notified you that we would provide records, in whole or in part, that are not otherwise exempt from disclosure. Enclosed is a CD with the non-exempt records responsive to your request. We have also located three CDs with "publicly available documents" including deeds regarding the Hunters Point Shipyard Conveyance Agreement and the Candlestick Point State Recreation Area. While the titles of the documents on these CDs do not appear to be responsive to your request, we provide you screen shots of the records on each CD. In the event that you are interested in any of these as well, we will provide copies to you. Lastly, we have a large map (app. 24"x 36") dated July 10 1980. It is in bad condition, disintegrated and unreadable in some parts. We copied the bottom right corner of the map to identify it. If you are interested in seeing it, please contact me to make arrangements. On November 5, 2018, Michelle Mitchell sent a response letter: Your request seeks a wide variety of distinct records, some relating to actions that</p>

			<p>c. The use of all or part of the Property by anyone, including without limitation the State and/or CCSF from 1906 to current date.</p> <p>4. Property Leases: Records of any leases of the Property including, without limitation, any leases of all or part of the Property for parking purposes associated with sporting and other events at Candlestick Park.</p> <p>5. Dooling Lease: All records including, without limitation, all SLC files and correspondence, relating to the lease to Jack K. Dooling, believed to have been an attorney in San Francisco, such lease identified as No. 835.1 Public Resources Code (P.R.C. 835.1) and dated on or about December 8, 1953, including, without limitation, the following:</p> <p>a. All assignments and all amendments to such leases;</p> <p>b. All correspondence reflecting or relating to such leases;</p> <p>c. All records indicating or referring to whether the leased premises were graded, excavated, filled, or improved with railroad tracks, buildings, or other improvements; and</p> <p>d. All boundary, topographic and other surveys of the leased premises and all photographs of the leased premises taken before or during the lease term.</p> <p>6. San Francisco Property Information Map Web Site: All records relating to the information provided for the Property on http://propertymap.sfplanning.org/ including, without limitation, for each parcel of the Property shown below (i) the street address (unless provided below), (ii) a copy of the current property tax bill and (iii) the following:</p> <p>a. Tide Land Block 432 (n/k/a Block 4813, Lot 025): 1979 planning application and other documents reflecting or relating to "storage of towed vehicles" any other planning applications. building permits. business licenses and any leases of this parcel since 1917.</p> <p>b. Tide Land Block 464 (n/k/a Block 4845, Lot 002): [Address: 1225 Yosemite Ave. SF. CA 94124 and description: "1955 1-story industrial building"] Any planning applications. building permits, business licenses, leases. property tax bills reflecting or pertaining to this parcel since 1917.</p> <p>c. Tide Land Block 488 (n/k/a Block 4852, Lot 001): 1981 planning application and other documents reflecting or relating to "Auto dismantling facility" any other planning applications or building permits for this parcel and any leases of this parcel since 1917.</p> <p>d. Tide Land Block 489 (n/k/a Block 4853, Lot 003): Any planning applications or building permits for this parcel and any leases of this parcel since 1917.</p> <p>e. Tide Land Block 490 (n/k/a Block 4876, Lot 011): Any planning application and other documents reflecting or relating to "Auto wrecking". any other planning applications or building permits for this parcel and any leases of this parcel since 1917.</p> <p>f. Tide Land Block 512 & 513 (n/k/a Block 4884, Lot 025): Any planning applications or building permits for this parcel and any leases of this parcel since 1917.</p> <p>g. Tide Land Block 875 (n/k/a Block 5027, Lot 014): Any planning applications or building permits for this parcel and any leases of this parcel since 1917.</p> <p>h. Tide Land Block 876 (n/k/a Block 5045, Lot 001): Any planning applications or building permits for this parcel and any leases of this parcel since 1917.</p> <p>7. 1917 Quiet Title Action: All documents reflecting or relating to the quiet title action titled H. D. Newhouse v. All Persons, etc., San Francisco Superior Court Case No. 35. 117. judgment with respect to which was entered on or about October 18, 1917, including, without limitation, the following:</p> <p>a. All court records;</p> <p>b. All records possessed or controlled by the SLC, CCSF, or other State agencies with respect to the above case including, without limitation, any correspondence or internal records with respect to the non-appearance of the State and CCSF in this case.</p> <p>8. Correspondence: Correspondence regarding all or any part of the Property among any or all of the SLC, the AG, CCSF, Charles Harney, City Title Insurance Company (or any other title insurance company), and San Francisco attorney Henry J. Rogers including, without limitation, correspondence between January 1, 1953 and December 31, 1958.</p> <p>9. Eminent Domain : Documents reflecting or relating to the proceeding identified in the Notice of Pendency of Proceeding in Eminent Domain by the Housing Authority of CCSF recorded April 18, 1955 in Book 6595 Page 24 of Official Records (appears to affect parcels 490, 512 and 513) including, without limitation, the following:</p> <p>a. Any court or other documents relating to any eminent domain action affecting all or any part of the Property; and</p> <p>b. Any court or other documents relating to a sale in lieu of eminent domain affecting all or any part of the Property.</p> <p>10. Conveyances: Any records, including without limitation, correspondence and deeds or other conveyance instruments relating to purported conveyances of title to all or any part of the Property, including without limitation by the State to CCSF and/or any other governmental agencies, including, without limitation, records relating to the following:</p> <p>a. Patent from the State to CCSF recorded July 29, 1958 in Book 7337 at Page 305 (purportedly affecting Block 875 and other property);</p> <p>b. Agreement Relating to Transfer Of The Port of San Francisco From The State of California To The City and County of San Francisco, which does not contain conveyance language and contains a diagram of property location, recorded January 30, 1969 in Book B308 at Page 686 (purportedly affecting all 9 parcels of the Property);</p>		<p>occurred more than 100 years ago. Nevertheless, we have identified some files with responsive records and have identified one file in remote storage that has not yet been delivered or reviewed. We intend to provide records, in whole or in part, that are not otherwise exempt from disclosure. Records protected by the attorney-client and attorney work product privileges will not be produced. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363 .) Similarly, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) We anticipate having the non-exempt records available by November 21, 2018.</p> <p>On October 22, 2018, Michelle Mitchell sent an extension letter.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

c. An untitled document that recites, Description of the lands. in the City and County of San Francisco. State of California. transferred by the State of California. in trust. to the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to an act of the California Legislature, Chapter 1333, Statutes 1968, Page 2544, approved August 14, 1968 as amended by the California Legislature by Chapter 1296/1969, Chapter 1367/1969, Chapter 1400/1969, Chapter 147 4/1969 and Chapter 670/1970, recorded May 14, 1976 in Book C 169 at Page 573 of Official Records (appears to affect parcels 1 through 7 and 9 of the Property -- all parcels except Block 875).

11. Candlestick Park Area: Deed to State in or about 1973 for acquisition by the State of land in the vicinity of Candlestick Park for a reported \$10 million, which later become all or part of the State Park known as the Candlestick Point Recreation Area, and any surveys of such property.

12. Development: All records reflecting or relating to any development of all or part of the Property (with or without the involvement of private parties), such development to include buildings or other improvements as well as landscaping or similar improvements for parks or otherwise including, without limitation, the following:

a. With respect to the new "Alice Griffith" project (located on part of the Property), records evidencing (i) its construction and development, (ii) the current ownership and control of it, and (iii) the terms on which occupancy of it is being offered (i.e., lease forms and current rental rates for rental apartments or sale contracts and current asking prices for condominiums).

13. Appraisal: That certain "Appraisal Report, Hunters Point Shipyard/Candlestick Point Redevelopment Project Site, San Francisco, California" dated in or about April, 2010, and any updates of it including, without limitation, that dated October, 2010 prepared by Clifford Associates.

14. Dredging/Filling. All records with respect to dredging or filling, or the topography, regarding all or any part of the Property since 1868 including, without limitation, the following:

a. All records, contracts, or leases reflecting or regarding the grading, filling or dredging regarding all or any part of the Property by or on behalf of the State or CCSF (please note that all of the Property was located within a tideland and marsh area prior to 1868; all of the Property was designated for development pursuant to an 1868 State statute; all but Blocks 512 and 513 were referenced in a 1954 letter from the State (believed to be the SLC) to be submerged; and today all but Blocks 875 and 876 are upland (Blocks 875 and 876 are believed to be under water in the Bay)).

b. All records designating or depicting all or any part of the Property as being in (i) an upland, non-submerged or similar area and/or (ii) a lowland, submerged or similar area.

15. Tax Sales: The following records with respect to CCSF assessing or imposing property taxes on what was in fact, or believed by CCSF to be, State-owned land including the Property or any portion of it:

a. All correspondence and other records between the State and CCSF regarding tax sales of any portion of the Property;

b. All court and other records from cases in which CCSF has been sued with respect to assessing or imposing property taxes on real estate believed at the time to be and/or in fact owned by the State;

c. All court and other records from cases in which CCSF has been sued with respect to CCSF purporting to convey title to real estate believed at the time to be and/or in fact owned by the State to private citizen tax buyers at CCSF tax sales; and

d. Any internal records of CCSF regarding its issuance of property tax bills for its taxation of State-owned property.

16. Use: All records reflecting or relating to the use, possession, occupation, trespass or adverse possession of all or part of the Property including, without limitation, the following:

a. Any notices given, claims made or lawsuits filed by the State for possession, occupation, use, trespass or adverse possession of all or part of the Property between 1906 and 1970 including, without limitation, against the Southern Pacific and Western Pacific Railroad Companies, Henry A. Whitley, Sterling Investment Company, Jack K. Dooling, Charles L. Harney and CCSF;

b. All records of the SLC, other State agencies, and CCSF with respect to their decision to not complain, object to, or initiate litigation with respect to the possession, occupation, use, trespass or adverse possession of all or part of the Property by anyone between 1906 and 1970;

c. Any records of the SLC, other State agencies, and CCSF granting an easement, license or a other right or permission to anyone to use all or part of the Property between 1906 and 1970.

17. Whitley Family. Any records relating to Henry A. Whitley Family including, without limitation, the following:

a. Henry A. Whitley, individually, believed to have been a resident of the City of San Francisco;

b. Ariel Lorne Whitley, wife of Henry A. Whitley;

c. Ruth Whitley Paulus, daughter of Henry A. Whitley;

d. Communications with any member of the Henry A. Whitley Family and Phoebe Apperson Hearst

e. State income tax records, marriage license, vehicular registration, license plates records and other records for any above-stated member of the Henry A. Whitley

				<p>Family;</p> <p>f. Engineering or other professional license issued to Henry A. Whitley;</p> <p>g. Any construction or building permits issued to Henry A. Whitley including, without limitation, permits for grading the terminals for the Western Pacific at Oakland and vicinity;</p> <p>h. The steam shovel, dredging, oil, mining and any other businesses owned or managed by or on behalf of Henry A. Whitley in the State of California;</p> <p>i. Records of Henry A. Whitley being a member of the International Brotherhood of Steam Shovel and Dredge Men; and</p> <p>j. Any contracts for dredging, excavation, earth-moving, construction or other matters entered into by the State or any of its agencies or CCSF and Henry A. Whitley.</p> <p>k. Any documents reflecting business or family connections between Henry A. Whitley and any member of the Hearst Family between 1906 and 1957.</p> <p>18. Quiet Title Action: All court records from the quiet title action titled Henry A. Whitley</p> <p>v. All Persons, etc., San Francisco Superior Court Case No. 43164 filed April 19, 1954 including, without limitation, the following:</p> <p>a. All records regarding this case between April 19, 1954 and October 22, 1957;</p> <p>b. A copy of the "deed of grant, bargain and sale" from Sterling Investment Company to Henry A. Whitley dated on or about December 29, 1953, which is expressly referenced in the Affidavit of Henry A. Whitley filed in that case (the "Affidavit") as described above.</p> <p>c. All non-court records of the SLC, other State agencies and CCSF with respect to this case including, without limitation, any correspondence or internal records with respect to the non-appearance of the State and CCSF to in this case.</p> <p>19. Whitley Probate Action. All court records from the case titled In the Matter of the Estate of Henry A. Whitley, San Francisco Superior Court No. 141493 filed October 22, 1957 including, without limitation, any records relating to all or any part of the Property.</p> <p>20. Whitley Probate. Any records of or communications involving Crocker-Anglo Bank or a successor regarding probate of Estate of Henry A. Whitley, number 141493, City and County of San Francisco.</p> <p>21. Sterling Investment Company. All records regarding Sterling Investment Company, a California corporation, which is believed to have been formed in or about 1905 by Henry A. Whitley and possibly other persons, such records to include, without limitation, the following documents:</p> <p>a. Articles of incorporation, any amendments thereto and any other documents filed by or on behalf of this corporation with the State or any agency thereof;</p> <p>b. Records of taxes being paid or not paid by or on behalf of this corporation;</p> <p>c. Notices of non-payment of franchise taxes, income taxes or other taxes, fees or charges sent by anyone, including without limitation the Franchise Tax Board, Secretary of State or other State agency, to this corporation;</p> <p>d. Records and notices of status, forfeiture, suspension, voidability, dissolution or escheatment sent by the Franchise Tax Board, Secretary of State, or other State agency to this corporation;</p> <p>e. State income tax returns of this corporation;</p> <p>f. Records regarding the term of this corporation's existence beyond the original 50-year term;</p> <p>g. Records regarding the status of this corporation as being in good standing, suspended, dissolved or otherwise since it was incorporated in 1905;</p> <p>h. Any and all court records involving this corporation;</p> <p>i. Any deeds or other instruments of conveyance of real property by or to this corporation;</p> <p>j. Any and all licenses or permits issued to or registrations made by this corporation.</p> <p>22. Chino Land and Water Company.</p> <p>a. Any records prior to 1958 relating to Chino Land and Water Company, which is believed to be a California corporation formed by Henry A. Whitley and others in or about April, 1900 as State I.D. #00030468.</p> <p>b. Any records prior to 1958 relating to Chino Ranch Company.</p> <p>c. Any records prior to 1958 relating to Chino Estate Company.</p>			
PR A-2018-02126	Hedger	Christine	10/11/2018	<p>I am seeking a list of firearms owned and in the possession of Michael Chris Hunstinger, I have a permanent restraining order against him, and I know he has many weapons, and he has failed to submit to the courts in San Bernardino county, the proof that he no longer owns them. I need to go before the judge and ask for further protection and I need this information to give to the court. He has lived in Riverside, Victorville California, and also in Peyton Colorado.</p> <p>Case# (superior court, San Bernardino county, Victorville Ca). FAMVS1802040</p> <p>My information Christine Hedger [REDACTED]</p>	Complete	Public Records	<p>California law restricts the disclosure of the information you seek to specified persons, which do not include people seeking records pursuant to the PRA. (Pen. Code, §§ 11105, subds. (b) & (c), 11106.) This restriction is expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k).) Accordingly, we must decline to respond to this request.</p>

PR A-2018-01881	Wilcox (birth certificate)	Timothy	10/12/2018	I am trying to get a Certified copy of my birth certificate; pleased see attached form.		Completed	Erin Nickless To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A-2018-02051	Bernal (death in custody)	Jose	10/12/2018	Requesting all document(s); official report(s) submitted by the Alameda County Sheriff's Office to the Attorney Generals Office, as required by CA Government Code Sec. 12525, pertaining to the in-custody death of: Frank Beltran Jr. [D.O.B. [REDACTED]] On [REDACTED] at about 2345 hours, inmate Frank Beltram Jr. died at the Santa Rita Jail, in Dublin CA. Christina Lamendola [D.O.B. [REDACTED]] On [REDACTED] at about 1320 hours, inmate Christina Lamendola died at Santa Rita Jail, in Dublin CA. Swaran Singh [D.O.B. [REDACTED]] On [REDACTED] at 0915 hours, while in the custody of the Alameda County Sheriffs Office, inmate Swaran Singh died at Stanford Health Care -- Valley Care Medical Center, in Pleasanton CA . Dajuan Omaera Armstrong [REDACTED] On June 23, 2018, at about 1923 hours, inmate Dajuan Amarea Armstrong was pronounced deceased at Stanford Health Care - Valley Care, Pleasanton, CA. Armstrong was under the custody of the Alameda County Sheriffs Office.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. Note, the DOJ only receives one form for Death in Custody reporting, which is entered into a database.
PR A-2018-02052	Sheehan (Fresno Bee)	Tim	10/12/2018	November 13, 2018 follow up: Thank you very much. I've consulted with my editors and they have agreed to spending \$125 for the two reports: AW registrations broken down by county and type (\$42); and a report indicating number of firearms currently captured by the Automated Firearms System (\$83), understanding that there are major holes in the data regarding actual number of firearms in the state. A check will be on its way to you tomorrow (Wednesday, Nov. 14), referencing Request 10.12.18 - 2018-02052. If this information can be provided in either a .csv or .xls format, that would be quite helpful. October 23 follow up to extension letter: Thanks very much. I want to reiterate that I am only seeking the numbers of firearms that are registered in the state and each of its 58 counties, and NOT for any information on individual gun ownership. This was apparently an issue when I originally sought this information in March 2018. Your letter indicates that "an extension is needed to coordinate the response with different sections of the Department that have responsibility for the requested records." I'm a little curious how many sections hold data on firearms registrations besides the Bureau of Firearms. I would expect looking up the number of registrations statewide and in each county should be a relatively simple matter of pulling up a report from a database. October 12 request: I am looking for the number of firearms that are registered in the State of California and each of its 58 counties. Additionally, if those are broken down by the type of weapon (handgun, rifle, shotgun, etc.), I would be interested in that information as well. I want to make clear I am only looking for the number of firearms that are registered, NOT for any information regarding individual gun ownership. I look forward to hearing from you within the requisite 10 days noted in the California Public Records Act.		Fees Requested	Nancy Doig On November 6, 2018, Public Records responded: On October 23, 2018, we extended our deadline to respond to this request. As a preliminary matter, the Department generally considers "registering" firearms the process of recording ownership of a weapon when the law requires the owner to register the weapon in order to continue owning it legally. Often, these requirements apply to weapons people already own at the time the law requiring registration is enacted. These types of weapons are identified in Penal Code (PC) sections 30510 and 30515 and must be registered with the Department. We can provide you with a report of assault weapons, as defined in PC sections 30510 and 30515, which are currently registered within the Department (AW Registrations) broken down by county and type of weapon. Please note that

							<p>when an assault weapon is registered to joint owners, more than one registration will be associated with a single firearm. Because the Department does not run this type of report as part of its regular course of business and it has not already had to run this report for another purpose, it would need to task an Information Technology Specialist (C) with engaging in programming to extract the data and run the report. This programming would take approximately 1 hour, at a cost of \$42. The Department is allowed to charge for this programming. (See Gov. Code, § 6253.9, sub. (b).)</p> <p>Additionally, though you refer to firearms that are "registered" in your request, and the Department generally defines "registering" as indicated above, based on some of the additional language in your request, we believe you are seeking information regarding all firearms reported to and subsequently recorded in the Automated Firearms System (AFS), broken down by county and type of weapon. To the extent weapons are recorded in the AFS, the reporting comes from a variety of sources. To the extent the AFS captures information, please be aware of the following limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Mandatory requirements to report the sale or transfer of long guns did not arise until 2014.• Prior to 1991, private party transactions of handguns were not required to go through a licensed firearms dealer.• Some long guns and handguns might be captured in AFS due to voluntary reporting by owners.• As a result of the above, our numbers will not reflect the existence of all shotguns, rifles, or handguns in California. <p>The Department does not run a report of the number of firearms recorded in AFS, broken down by county and gun type, as part of its regular course of business and it has not already had to run this report for another purpose. To run the report, the Department would need to task an Information Technology Specialist (C) with engaging in programming to extract the data and run the report. This programming would take approximately 2 hours, at a cost of \$83.</p> <p>If you would like us to provide you with</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>a report on AW Registrations broken down by county and type, please make a check payable to the Department of Justice in the amount of \$42. If you would like us to provide you with a report indicating the number of firearms currently captured by AFS, broken down by county and type, please make a check payable to the Department of Justice in the amount of \$83. For both reports, please make a single check payable to the Department of Justice in the amount of \$125. Send any payment to:</p> <p>California Department of Justice · Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-06 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>If we do not receive payment within 20 days, we shall consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p> <p>On October 23, 2018, an extension letter was sent.</p>
PR A-2018-02053	Baker (SD DHHS records)	Ashley	10/12/2018	<p>- San Diego County Department of Health and Human Services contract with Optum to provide MAA/TCM services</p> <p>- San Diego County Department of Health and Human Services contract with PCG to provide MAA/TCM time survey solutions</p> <p>- Any other current or prior contracts to provide MAA/TCM services to San Diego County</p> <p>- Any other current or prior contracts to provide MAA/TCM time survey solutions</p> <p>- Any RFP responses submitted to San Diego County in regards to prior MAA/TCM outsourcing requests</p> <p>This public records request is for copies of San Diego County's current and prior contracts with any and all vendors to provide MAA/TCM support services.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from San Diego County Department of Health and Human Services, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>
PR A-2018-02054	Joseph	Brittany	10/12/2018	<p>I am looking for documents tied to Lincoln Allen Joseph from Sutter County California. He was born [REDACTED] and social security number was [REDACTED]. I am seeking any and all mugshots and the police report from his death on [REDACTED]. He was arrested several times. I have one of those booking reports:</p> <p>Booking#: 93-0006608 Date: 11/3/93 Cell: M DRM 999 018 Arrested by: Bailey, Agency: SCSO Warrant #: 95CR659</p> <p>Lincoln Joseph was my father. He died when I was 9 months old and I have never seen a photo of him, nor have I ever gotten the truth regarding his death. I know he was arrested several times and I also know there was an investigation into his death.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking police report records, police reports are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>

								To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-02061	Corbett (divorce records)	Ronnie	10/12/2018	divorce certificate		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A-2018-02062	Clark	Atryia	10/12/2018	This request is a Public Information Act Request and I would like any and all documents connected with it.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the

								<p>contents of the record.</p> <p>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</p> <p>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</p> <p>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</p> <p>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</p> <p>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PR A-2018-02072	Olfati (roof repairs, electric)	Parvin	10/12/2018	<p>I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, Division of Law Enforcement, division, Deputy Attorney General, office, agency, officer, board, employee, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between August 1, 2018 and the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to any person known or believed to be providing roof or other services at [REDACTED] including but not limited to Aria Electric, Fariborz Tabatabaei Yazd and/or Faryborz Tabatabaei Yazd, and/or any person working under the direction of Aria Electric and/or Fariborz/Faryborz Tabatabaei Yazd. The requested records include but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Communications to, from, and/or about any employee of the City of Sacramento Community Development Department, including but not limited to Richard Leiker.• Communications to, from, and/or about any Member of or City of Sacramento staff person assigned to the Housing Code Advisory and Appeals Board, including but not limited to Anitra B bbs and/or Laurie Ward.• Communications to, from, about, by or on behalf of Parvin Olfati regarding roof repair and/or roof repair records.• Communications regarding any building permit taken out or proposed for [REDACTED].• Communications regarding Parvin Olfati on any subject, including but not limited to services at [REDACTED] and/or anything else, including the personal life of Parvin Olfati.• Communications regarding [REDACTED]• Any communications, payments, emoluments and/or instructions to Fariborz Tabatabaei Yazd and/or Faryborz Tabatabaei Yazd and/or any person known or believed to be working for Fariborz Tabatabaei Yazd and/or Faryborz Tabatabaei Yazd regarding making a personal inspection of the roof at [REDACTED] including but not limited to any roof inspection conducted on October 4, 2018.• Any contract, draft contract, agreement, draft agreement, and/or memorandum of understanding for Aria Electric, Fariborz Tabatabaei Yazd, and/or Faryborz Tabatabaei Yazd, and/or any person or entity believed to be working under the direction of either.• Any recommendation for Aria Electric, Fariborz Tabatabaei Yazd, and/or Faryborz Tabatabaei Yazd, including but not limited to any recommendation for any construction work. <p>If any of the records requested in this PRA/IPA request have been destroyed, transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please provide the records of such destruction, transfer, or unavailability, together with applicable record retention policies.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.</p>	
PR A-2018-02060	Salvani (3rd Party Records)	Geraldine	10/15/2018	<p>GREGORY CHARLES WEST. He has been missing since August 13, 2018. I wish to determine if he is in any kind of custody. He left to pick up his paycheck and has not been seen or heard from since. Last seen in Sherman Oaks, CA. Went to Los Angeles Wilshire Blvd to pick up his paycheck at a culinary employment agency.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the</p>	

								<p>California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at:</p> <p>https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at:</p> <p>http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-02063	Baker	Ashley	10/15/2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The most recent 4 quarterly CMAA, SMAA and MHMAA claims submitted by San Diego County to DHCS for reimbursement. Please include any and all claiming units under the San Diego County.- The most recent annual TCM Cost Report submitted by San Diego County to DHCS for reimbursement. Please include any and all claiming units under San Diego County. <p>This request is for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The most recent 4 quarterly CMAA, SMAA and MHMAA claims submitted by San Diego County to DHCS for reimbursement. Please include any and all claiming units under the San Diego County.- The most recent annual TCM Cost Report submitted by San Diego County to DHCS for reimbursement. Please include any and all claiming units under San Diego County.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p>

								Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the San Diego County Department of Health and Human Services, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PR A-2018-02064	Tobias-Castellanos (bench warrant)	Elsa	10/15/2018	I want to make sure I don't have a bench warrant on my file. I committed a Welfare fraud but not sure what year it was. I can be under Elsa Tobias, Elsa Tobias-Castellanos or Elsa Castellanos. My date of birth is [REDACTED]. You may reach by email or phone. Thank you		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>To the extent that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) has the information you seek, it would be maintained in the Wanted Persons System, a 24-hour pointer system available to law enforcement agencies, which is accessible through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). CLETS was established for the express purpose of permitting peace officers and law enforcement agencies to conduct official business via a secure telecommunications network. (Gov. Code, §§ 15151-15153.) Accordingly, any information transmitted via CLETS to or from the Wanted Persons System is confidential and exempt from disclosure. As such, we must decline your request.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In this instance, you may want to request this information from a local law enforcement agency.</p>
PR A-2018-02066	Roseman (AG No. 07-0043)	Lauren	10/15/2018	<p>Any and all versions of the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11) that have been submitted;</p> <p>Any and all materials submitted to, received and/or reviewed by the Attorney General's Office and/or Secretary of State concerning the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11);</p> <p>Any and all informational and voting materials pertaining to the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11).</p> <p>I respectfully request that OAG provide the foregoing documents in PDF format if OAG either: (1) maintains such documents in PDF format; or (2) makes copies of such documents in PDF format for its own use or for provision to other government or municipal agencies.</p>	Schneider, Wallace, Cottrell, Konecky & Wotkins	Completed	Anabel Renteria	<p>With respect to the first part of your request, the original and amended version of Initiative 17-0043 are enclosed.</p> <p>Regarding the second part of your request, we understand your request to seek all materials received by the Attorney General's Office by third parties in connection with Initiative 17-0043, and we have enclosed all such materials. If we have misunderstood the nature of your request, please let us know. Please note the Attorney General's Office does not respond to Public Records Act requests on behalf of the Secretary of State, and you will need to contact the Secretary of State's office directly for any records they may have received.</p> <p>With respect to the final part of your</p>

							<p>request, the Attorney General's Office does not have any "information and voting materials" pertaining to Proposition 11 beyond those that are publicly available on the Secretary of State's website (e.g., the Official Voter Information Guide):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • https://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/upcoming-elections/general-election-november-6-2018/ <p>Any additional "information and voting materials" would need to be requested from the Secretary of State's office. They may be reached at (916) 657-2166 or California Secretary of State, Elections Division, 1500 11th St., 5th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814. This completes our response to your request.</p>
PR A-2018-02109	Anonymo us	Anon ymou s	10/15/2018	All public records Cort, criminal etc. For Marco Antonio zamora age 29		Com plete d	<p>Erin Nickless</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal</p>

							history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-02115	Olfati (Toxic Substances)	Parvin	10/15/2018	<p>Pursuant to the Public Records Act (PRA), Information Practices Act (IPA), and Article I, Section 3(b) of the California Constitution, I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me any and all records created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, division, Division of Law Enforcement, Deputy Attorney General, officer, employee, agent and/or representative of any and all use to any substances, toxic or otherwise, and/or devices at any location where such use is in retaliation for or is otherwise related to any PRA request regarding any and all records of any and all use to any substances, toxic or otherwise, and/or devices at any location where such use is in retaliation for or is otherwise related to any PRA request filed by Parvin Olfati or from 1859 45th Street including but not limited to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Steve Maviglio and his tens of suspect visitors 2. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Martin Raygoza, Petros Raygoza, and/or Helen Vatthis. 3. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Camile Remy, John Obad, and/or Camille Remy-Obad. 4. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Barb Andres and/or Cecil Taylor. 5. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or June Lamoureaux and/or Bill Kellerhals. 6. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Mieke Smythe and/or John Lisuk. 7. Any use of [REDACTED] 8. Any entries on to [REDACTED] for the placement of any substance, toxic, and/or object on any portion of [REDACTED] including but not limited to the toxic-emitting objects not present on October 8, 2018 but that were present on October 9, 2018 or any date thereafter. <p>9. If any of the records requested herein have been destroyed, transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please include any and all records of their destruction, transfer, or nonavailability, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PR A-2018-02116	Olfati (PG&E)	Parvin	10/15/2018	<p>I hereby request that the DOJ produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, Division of Law Enforcement, Deputy Attorney General, officer, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between January 1, 2018 and the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to, from, with and/or about Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E) that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati, and/or [REDACTED] including but not limited to any communications regarding the presence, placement, and/or insertion of any substance, gas, gaseous substance, and/or toxic substance or toxic gas at any residence believed or known to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to 1859 45th Street, Sacramento, California 95819, and including but not limited to any tampering with any furnace or equipment (including but not limited to equipment located in the basement).</p> <p>If any of the records requested in this PRA/IPA request have been destroyed, transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please provide the records of such destruction, transfer, or unavailability, together with applicable record retention policies.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PR A-2018-02117	Olfati (Vivint)	Parvin	10/15/2018	<p>I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, division, Division of Law Enforcement, Deputy Attorney General, office, agency, officer, board, employee, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between October 5, 2018 and the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to any person known or believed to be providing alarm, camera, video camera, and/or security services at 1859 45th Street, including but not limited to Vivint, including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communications to, from, and/or about any employee of Vivint regarding Parvin Olfati and/or 1859 45th Street. 	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communications that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any activity regarding Vivint equipment at [REDACTED] or believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any tampering or disabling of any equipment, alarm, camera, video camera or other security equipment. • Communications that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any activity regarding Vivint equipment in the basement and/or storage room at [REDACTED] or believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any tampering or disabling of any equipment, alarm, camera, video camera or other security equipment. <p>If any of the records requested in this PRA/PA request have been destroyed, transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please provide the records of such destruction, transfer, or unavailability, together with applicable record retention policies.</p>			
PR A-2018-02118	Olfati (security cameras)	Parvin	10/15/2018	<p>I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me any and all records created, transmitted, and/or received on any DOJ department, division, Division of Law Enforcement, Deputy Attorney General, officer, employee, agent and/or representative computer or other medium regarding any and all entries to, touching of, and/or tampering with any lock, security system, cameras, and/or alarms at [REDACTED], including but not limited to any tampering with any home security system at [REDACTED], including but not limited to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Steve Maviglio and his tens of suspect visitors 2. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Martin Raygoza, Petros Raygoza, and/or Helen Vatthis. 3. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Camille Remy, John Obad, and/or Camille Remy-Obad. 4. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Barb Andres and/or Cecil Taylor. 5. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or June Lamoureaux and/or Bill Kellerhals. 6. Any use of [REDACTED] and/or Mieke Smythe and/or John Lisuk. 7. Any use of [REDACTED] 8. Any entries on to [REDACTED] for any touching of, tampering with, and/or entries to any storage room at [REDACTED] including but not limited to the storage room in the back yard. 9. Any tampering, touching, remote control, and/or disabling of any security stem, cameras, and/or alarms at [REDACTED] 10. Any communications with the California Department of Justice (including but not limited to the Law Enforcement Division) regarding any activity at [REDACTED], including but not limited to entries to, touching of, and/or tampering with any storage room and/or any touching, tampering, and/or disabling of any security system, or other activity directed at [REDACTED] 11. If any of the records requested herein have been destroyed, transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please include any and all records of their destruction, transfer, or nonavailability, together with applicable records retention policies. 	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PR A-2018-02067	Burrell (RFP)	Anna	10/16/2018	Contract Documents resulting from the Appeals Case Management System RFP (#31291) that closed September 6, 2016. I understand that requested contract cannot be sent via email and must be placed on a CD, in which there is a \$10 fee. Once my request is received I will be remitting a check for the amount.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PR A-2018-02068	Negrete (CPS, court records)	Kathryn	10/16/2018	Kathryn Negrete Child Protective Services. I need all the records in court and out of court documents	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the

							depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to Child Protective Services and court filings, you may wish to direct your request to Child Protective Services and the court.
PR A-2018-02069	Guzman	Karen	10/16/2018	Divorce Petition by Mark Anthony B Licuanan to Karen P Guzman in California the paper was never served to me but I was told that he filled up the paperwork.		Completed	Erin Nickless To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A-2018-02070	Baldauf (complaints)	Johnathan	10/16/2018	Please provide any information regarding consumer complaints or suits against MBF Leasing, LLC, a New York-based company that provides leases with small businesses for credit card processing equipment. https://ag.ny.gov/press-release/court-allows-ag-schneidermans-lawsuit-ag... < https://ag.ny.gov/press-release/court-allows-ag-schneidermans-lawsuit-against-northern-leasing-systems-inc-proceed >		Completed	Erin Nickless To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PR A-2018-02073	Avina	Faustino	10/16/2018	Request transcript (or recording) of 911 call from my home land line phone [REDACTED] on 10/13/2018 at 12:03 pm, which my address is noted here on this online form. My 16-year old son made the phone call and his name can be removed from the transcript (or recording) for privacy purposes. Also, any other information necessary can be removed from the transcript (or recording) that violates privacy laws.		Completed	Erin Nickless Specifically, you are seeking records related to a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.

PR A-2018-02089	Olfati (Pest Control)	Parvin	10/16/2018	<p>Therefore, pursuant to the PRA, IPA, and Article I, Section 3(b) of the California Constitution, I hereby request that DOJ produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, division, Division of Law Enforcement, Deputy Attorney General, officer, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between January 1, 2018 and the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to, from, with and/or about any pest control or pest management company (including but not limited to Official Pest Prevention and/or any person named Molina) known or believed to be providing services at 1859 45th Street, Sacramento, California 95819, including but not limited to communications regarding any use of chemicals or toxic substances in the form of any gas, gaseous substance, powder, and/or liquid in any shape or form known or believed to cause paralysis or other disabling or harmful conditions in humans or animals.</p> <p>If any of the records requested in this PRA/IPA request have been destroyed, transferred, or are otherwise unavailable, please provide the records of such destruction, transfer, or unavailability, together with applicable record retention policies.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PR A-2018-02124	Hathaway	Scott	10/16/2018	<p>This contact with the office of the California Attorney General is about my requests of CHP (and later CalSTA) that the state furnish all records in relation to the March 16, 2018 incident in East Pasadena which resulted in extensive damage to my 2012 Aprilia Tuono, California license no. [REDACTED]. I was furnished with CHP no. 00393-575-18 as the incident reference. Although I have asked for pertinent investigative information on this matter a number of times, no materials have been delivered to me or spoken. Since I have asked the Cal AG office to oversee and as required in order to correct the Highway Patrol in the conduct of subject investigation and property seizure, there will necessarily be much in the way of written materials and other records on its specific conversations with CHP and its parent agency CalSTA.</p> <p>Any and all telephone, email or spoken communications must be sent to me.</p> <p>For a long period, over six months in fact, CHP (and parent CalSTA) has refused to cooperate in the most basic means of furnishing me with relevant information. In the interim there have been harassing incidents of CHP personnel against me. There is as well the undisclosed nature of the state's relationship with Citywide tow, who have with state approval illegally seized my motorcycle. All of these items surely would have been discussed with AG office at various points, and this is a vital inclusion to my records request of the state of California.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your request is directed to the California Department of Justice, but seeks records from the California Highway Patrol. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) When the Legislature enacted the Public Records Act in 1968, it provided several judicial remedies for persons who believed that they had been wrongfully denied records to which they were entitled. These remedies include the right to seek injunctive or declaratory relief or a writ of mandate in the Superior Court. (See Government Code sections 6258-6259.) Absent special circumstances, the Legislature did not envision an enforcement role for the Attorney General in connection with noncompliance under the Act. However, you may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options under the judicial remedies discussed above.</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must deny your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p>
PR A-2018-	Jackson (3rd Party Records)	Konrad	10/17/2018	<p>I am interested in resident hunting or fishing licenses, resident income tax filings, voter registration, vehicle registration or any other filings which is restricted to California residents, which were made by Ronald D. Gillham during the years of 1988, 1989, 1990 and 1991. Mr. Gillham was born on [REDACTED]</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and</p>

020 74								<p>legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on tax records, we suggest that you contact the State of California Franchise Tax Board. Information on how to request records from the Franchise Tax Board can be found on their website at https://www.ftb.ca.gov/aboutFTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT.mc_id=.</p> <p>. Since you are seeking records related to the Department of Fish and Wildlife, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to submit a Public Records Request to their office can be found on the Department of Fish and Wildlife's web page at https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/General-Counsel/Public-Records-Requests.</p> <p>Information on requesting driver records can be found at http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php.</p>
PR A- 201 8- 020 75	Ferreira	Eron	10/1 7/20 18	Unsatisfied Judgement from 145 months ago in the amount of \$5,000 I am not sure if this judgement is under my personal name Eron Ferreira or under my company name Concrete Solutions Inc however when I applied for a commercial line of credit it was denied based on a judgement in the amount of \$5,000 that hasn't been settled. It also might be filed under a different address but the one stated in the request is the most current address.		Com plete d	Erin Nickles	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking lien records, we suggest that you contact the Franchise Tax Board, or the local county assessor's office for</p>

							the county in which the property resides if the lien is property-related. For court records, you may wish to contact the court in which the case was heard. We hope this information has been of assistance.
PR A- 201 8- 020 76	Montene gro- Paredes	Jorge	10/1 7/20 18	Tamara sheene Castle, 35, of Lancaster, who is accused of falsely stating she was employed by a limousine company to qualify for child care subsidies that cost taxpayers \$75,000; This took place on or about July 16, 2009		Com plete d	Erin Nickles s Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to

							obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A- 201 8- 021 00	Vang	Amy	10/1 7/20 18	<p>Defendant: Craig James Neil</p> <p>Trial case number: CR-15456 (Riverside Superior Court)</p> <p>Appellate case number: E056444 (Fourth Appellate District, Division 2)</p> <p>Documents being sought: any briefing (appellant's opening brief, respondent's brief, appellant's reply brief) and trial transcripts. Any and all documents related to this case would be very helpful. This is a time sensitive matter, so I would really appreciate any response from you as soon as possible. Thank you so much for your help.</p>		Com plete d	<p>Cynthia S. Lumley</p> <p>On December 10, 2018, Cynthia Lumley responded: Here are the instructions for obtaining the records listed in our response letters dated November 14, 2018, and November 26, 2018 (the records are listed again below for your convenience):</p> <p>Our files contain the following documents:</p> <p>Our files contain the following documents:</p> <p>4th Appellate District. Division Two, Case No. 10123</p> <p>Respondent's Brief filed 9/4/79, 34 pages</p> <p>Opinion filed 12/7 /79, 7 pages</p> <p>Petition for Rehearing filed 1/30/80, 22 pages (California Supreme Court)</p> <p>Reporter's Transcript dated 10/22/86 (possibly related to E002290), 119 pages</p> <p>[There is a note in the file dated 10/25/91 indicating that the "Transcripts were borrowed and not returned. Unknown who borrowed or lost." I believe that refers to the Clerk's Transcript and Reporter's Transcript from the Riverside Superior Court Case No. CR15456.]</p> <p>Various state court habeas petitions, court minutes, and correspondence to and from the Riverside Superior Court spanning 1982-1992, 245 pages</p> <p>4th Appellate District, Division Two, Case No. E002290</p> <p>Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 7/30/85, 12 pages</p> <p>Informal Response filed 8/28/85, 10 pages</p> <p>Reply to Informal Response filed 9/17/85, 10 pages</p> <p>USDC-Southern District, Case no. OO-cv-00200-L (AJB)</p> <p>Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 1/27/00, 11 pages</p> <p>Motion to Dismiss Habeas Corpus petition filed 5/5/00, 52 pages</p> <p>Return to Motion to Dismiss filed 5/18/00, 5 pages</p> <p>Report and Recommendation filed 7 /18/00, 5 pages</p> <p>Petitioner's objections to R&R filed 8/11/00, 3 pages</p> <p>Order Adopting R&R filed 11/7/00, 2 pages</p> <p>Dismissal Order filed 2/9/00, 1 page</p> <p>USDC-Central District, Case no. 13-cv-</p>

								<p>02151-DMG-MRW Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 11/06/13, 28 pages Motion to Dismiss Habeas Corpus petition filed 02/27 /14, 6 pages Report and Recommendation filed 05/09/14, 5 pages Petitioner's objections to R&R filed 05/29/14, 4 pages Order Accepting R&R filed 09/26/14, 1 page Judgment filed 09/26/14, 1 page The Department of Justice is authorized to charge the direct cost of duplication for providing copies of records in response to public records requests. (Gov. Code § 6253 subd. (b).) The cost for making a photocopy of a paper record is \$.10 per page. An additional charge is due to pay the postage fee (regular U.S. ground mail) for mailing the documents. You may avoid this additional fee by arranging to pick up the documents at the address above. Your request for records has generated 583 pages of discloseable records resulting in a duplication fee of \$58.30. The charge for postage is \$7.00. The total cost for copying and mailing in this instance is \$65.30. If you wish to pick up the documents in person, the fee is \$58.30. Please make the check payable to the California Department of Justice and send your check to: California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814 Upon receipt of your check in the above-stated amount, we will promptly forward to you the disclosable records responsive to your request. If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p> <p>On November 26, 2018, Cynthia Lumley responded: We received our direct appeal file (Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, Case No. 10123, which is the appeal of Riverside Superior Court Case No. CRI5456) from our off-site storage. The file contains the following documents: 4th Appellate District, Division Two, Case No. 10123 Respondent's Brief filed 9/4/79, 34 pages</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								<p>Opinion filed 12/7 /79, 7 pages Petition for Rehearing filed 1/30/80, 22 pages (California Supreme Court) Reporter' s Transcript of 10/22/86 proceedings (possibly related to E002290) [There is a note in the file dated 10/25/91 indicating that the "Transcripts were borrowed and not returned. Unknown who borrowed or lost." I believe that refers to the Clerk's Transcript and Reporter's Transcript from the Riverside Superior Comi Case No. CRI 5456.]</p> <p>On November 14, 2018, Cynthia Lumley sent a status update: Our files contain the following documents: Various state court habeas petitions, court minutes, and correspondence to and from the Riverside Superior Court spanning 1982-1992, 245 pages 4th Appellate District, Division Two, Case No. E002290 Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 7/30/85, 12 pages Informal Response filed 8/28/85, 10 pages Reply to Informal Response filed 9/17/85, 10 pages USDC-Southern District; Case no. OO-cv-00200-L (AJB) Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 1/27/00, 11 pages Motion to Dismiss Habeas Corpus petition filed 5/5/00, 52 pages Return to Motion to Dismiss filed 5/18/00, 5 pages Report and Recommendation filed 7/18/00, 5 pages Petitioner's objections to R&R filed 8/11/00, 3 pages Order Adopting R&R filed 11/7/00, 2 pages Dismissal Order filed 2/9/00, 1 page USDC-Central District, Case no. 13-cv-02151-DMG-MRW Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 11/06/13, 28 pages Motion to Dismiss Habeas Corpus petition filed 02/27/14, 6 pages Report and Recommendation filed 05/09/14, 5 pages Petitioner's objections to R&R filed 05/29/14, 4 pages Order Accepting R&R filed 09/26/14, 1 page Judgment filed 09/26/14, 1 page We are still waiting for our off-site storage facility to send the direct appeal file, which may contain</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							Appellant's Opening Brief, Respondent's Brief, Reply Brief, and transcripts. When that arrives, I will send you an updated letter with the contents of that file. On October 29, 2018, Cynthia Lumley sent an extension letter.	
PR A-2018-02108	Amatangelo	Mary Ann	10/17/2018	<p>October 15, 2018 question to Registry of Charitable Trusts: Hello, I noticed BizParentz is current in their filing. The issue is in 2014, Anne Henry listed her total assets were \$4,452 and in 2015 it jumped to over \$100,000. I asked her if she can provide who donated the money to her and now she is threatening to sue me for defamation along with a well known casting director in Hollywood and an actress/acting coach. The reason why I have filed complaints and had others do so as well is because my daughter is a victim of a talent management scam and I turned to BizParentz for help and they are rude and not helpful at all. If she has over \$100,000, Anne is not helping child actor victims at all. Is there any way the public can see who donated all that money in 2015? Anne Henry is not well liked in the entertainment industry because she acts like a law enforcer for the City Attorney M ke Feuer and people are intimidated by her. It's not normal for a charity to go after legally a victim. Anne Henry is absolutely crazy. Thank you.</p> <p>October 17, 2018 question to Registry of Charitable Trusts: Thank you for answering my question regarding BizParentz donor list request for 2015. May I submit a FOIA request? I also have a reporter from Deadline Hollywood working on the issues with BizParentz.</p>		Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	<p>October 19, 2018 response: Your email dated October 17, 2018, was referred to my attention. In your email you request the donor list for BizParentz. This office cannot release donor information because this information is confidential nonpublic information pursuant to the California Code of Regulations, Title 11, section 310. Subdivision (b). Moreover, this organization is not registered with our office as a charitable organization. The Registry of Charitable Trusts has sent the organization requesting that it register with us. You can find copies of those records on our website at http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/Web/Search.aspx?facility=Y</p> <p>RCT responded on October 16, 2018: Unfortunately, donor information is confidential. You can view all the public documents of this organization on the Registry website. If you want to file a complaint, please download the CT-9 form and submit your complaint with any supporting documents and we will forward it to our legal team.</p>
PR A-2018-02110	Batty	Melanie	10/17/2018	Melissa Marie O'Banion; Female age 29-32; Car accident involving a small child		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Motor vehicle accident report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you have more information as to why our Department may be in possession of this report, please provide that to us. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PR A-2018-02119	Ferreira	Eron	10/17/2018	<p>Approximately 12 years ago a Mr. and Mrs. Kesselman filed a \$5,000 lien against me (I believe it was against my home at [REDACTED]). This lien was paid/satisfied and released 11-12 years ago but is still showing up on a business credit report as "unsatisfied". I am being denied business credit as a result. I am looking for a document that shows the release of the lien. The case name was either Kesselman v. Eron Ferreira or Kesselman v. Concrete Solutions or Concrete Solutions v. Kesselman or Eron Ferreira v. Kesselman.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p>

								Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking lien records, we suggest that you contact the Franchise Tax Board, or the local county assessor's office for the county in which the property resides if the lien is property-related. For court records, you may wish to contact the court in which the case was heard. We hope this information has been of assistance.
PR A-2018-02080	Makreas	Jennifer	10/18/20	Record ID: 11597418 ID Case Number: 74394511 Last Name: NOGALIZA First Name: NEIL Middle Name: FALLADO Charges Filed Date: 01/09/2005 Source State: CA		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-	Quest (CH, court records)	Alexander	10/18/20	<p>Criminal records (court records) for ALAN GLENN JENKINS [REDACTED] for the following cases:</p> <p>1) San Diego County: CASE NUMBER M930811. Charges filed June 21, 2004.</p> <p>2) San Diego County: CASE NUMBER M903247. Charges filed August 26, 2003.</p> <p>3) Santa Clara County: CASE NUMBER CC118809. Charges filed August 6, 2001.</p> <p>4) Santa Clara County: CASE NUMBER C9913904. Charges filed January 5, 1999.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are

020 81				<p>Respective courthouses have stated that they do not have these cases on file anymore, and that I need to contact the California Department of Justice. This is for a pending case that is going to trial on November 1, 2018. I have also subpoenaed these records directly from the CA DOJ, for production on November 1, 2018.</p> <p>Please contact me if there are any problems or issues with this request. Thank you.</p>				<p>not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed, or to the local district attorney's office if they prosecuted any of the cases referenced.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-02082	Logan (3rd Party Records)	Perry	10/18/2018	<p>Tim Morgan age 50 Used to live in Daly City, CA moved to Tahoe, CA. Looking for criminal records or current address in case he moved suddenly.</p> <p>Lost contact trying to track him down and make sure he is ok.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the</p>

								Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-02083	Pizano	Aimee	10/18/2018	I am request a copy of my complete California Criminal and Traffic Violation Recorders.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request seeks driving records. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting your driver records can be found at http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php . If you are seeking criminal records regarding a DUI, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security . We hope the information we have provided is of assistance.
PR A-2018-02132	Schmidt	Kevin	10/18/2018	1. All records regarding the September 25, 2018 meeting with U.S. Attorney General Jeff Sessions and/or U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust staff; 2. All records regarding the use, possible use, or declination of use of antitrust laws against information-technology companies, such as Facebook, Google, Twitter, Amazon, or Apple.		Rolling Production	Aaron Jones	On December 7, 2018, Aaron Jones responded: This email is in regards to your Public Records Act request to this office dated October 18, 2018. Pursuant to my letters of November 13 and November 21, 2018, please find attached six documents responsive to your request. We are still reviewing potentially responsive documents and will let you know if we identify any additional documents that we are able to disclose. We will also confirm when the production of documents is complete.

							<p>On November 26, 2018, Aaron Jones responded: On October 29, this office extended the date for responding to your request to November 13, 2018. On November 13, I informed you that we expect to have disclosable public records responsive to your request, and that we are working to identify and review responsive records. I indicated that we expected to produce non-exempt public records responsive to your request by November 23 to the extent possible, and on a rolling basis thereafter as needed.</p> <p>This letter is to inform you that, because the task of identifying and reviewing records responsive to your request has proven to be more difficult and time-consuming than we anticipated, it will not be feasible to begin our production by that date. We are working to identify and review the potentially responsive records as expeditiously as possible. We anticipate that we will be able to begin producing non-exempt public records responsive to your request by December 7, 2018, and on a rolling basis thereafter as needed.</p> <p>On November 13, 2018, Aaron Jones responded: We construe your second request above as encompassing only the five companies that you have named in your request. Public Records Act requests must be sufficiently specific and focused to give the agency a reasonable opportunity to respond. (See Gov. Code, § 6255; Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) If we were to construe the request as encompassing any and all "information-technology companies" including but not limited to the five named companies, the request would be unduly vague, unfocused, and burdensome, and as such would not afford this office a reasonable opportunity to respond. (Ibid.)</p> <p>Construed in this manner, we expect to have disclosable public records responsive to your request, although we have not yet completed our search for records and review for potentially applicable privileges and exemptions to disclosure. We will produce non-exempt public records responsive to your request by November 23, 2018, to the extent possible, and if necessary will produce any additional disclosable records on a rolling basis thereafter. Legal exemptions to disclosure apply to the majority of the records responsive</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>to your request. The applicable exemptions include the following:</p> <p>Attorney-Client Privilege: Section 6254 exempts from disclosure documents protected by confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. This includes the attorney-client privilege contained in California Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client. (Cal. Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); <i>Roberts v. City of Palmdale</i> (1993) 5 Ca1.4th 363.) The attorneys in our department provide legal advice not only to other state agencies, but also to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, communications between the department's attorneys and other state agency clients, or between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys, are privileged communications exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. ·</p> <p>Attorney Work Product: The Public Records Act also exempts from disclosure documents protected by the attorney work product doctrine. This doctrine protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); <i>County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court</i> (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Deliberative Process Privilege: Government Code section 6255 exempts documents from disclosure under the deliberative process privilege, which protects materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion with the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public disclosure if it is related to the process by which policies are formulated or inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (<i>Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court</i> (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.)</p> <p>The Attorney General's Office reserves the right to invoke additional exemptions but will let you know if we invoke an exemption not previously identified.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

								On October 29, 2018 Public Records sent an extension letter.
PR A- 201 8- 020 84	Gallman (3rd Party Deceased Records)	Maria h	10/1 9/20 18	Request any/all records pertaining to Douglas Ray Gallman DOB: [REDACTED]; Deceased. Conducting research on father for personal knowledge. Please contact if any questions should arise. Thank you!		Com plete d	Erin Nickles	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>

PR A- 201 8- 020 85	Roberts (3rd Party Records)	Rebecca	10/1 9/20 18	I'm looking for any information on Ignacio Alviar- Rios Jr. In the past 10 years ...who has lived in Oakland and Livermore. Currently he's in Santa Rita jail on 6 different charges of domestic violence battery etc. on me. I just wanted to see how many times or other women he's done this too.		Complete	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---------	--------------------	--	--	----------	---------------	--

PR A-2018-02086	Yaskowek (Indiv Records)	Mark	10/19/2018	<p>RECORDS REQUESTED FOR:</p> <p>- THE LAST NAME - YASKOWEAK;</p> <p>- THE ADDRESS - 3 VICENTE TERRACE, SANTA MONICA, CA (ANY UNIT#); COMMUNITY CORPORATION OF SANTA MONICA; THE CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY; THE CHURCH OF FOUNDRY; SANTA MONICA HOUSING AUTHORITY; THE DATE(S) CAN BE ANY DATE POSSIBLE; BUT ****ESPECIALLY FROM OCTOBER 2015 THROUGH NOW - OCTOBER 2018**** ; HOWEVER; OLDER RECORDS WOULD ALSO BE OF MUCH USE; THANKS SO MUCH.</p> <p>FOR A NUMBER OF MONTHS; I WAS AWAY FROM MY APARTMENT; AND AS A RESULT; A NUMBER OF CRIMINALS - WHO ARE COMMITTING TERRORISM - ILLEGALLY USED MY APARTMENT; AND ALSO [SOME OF WHOM] STALKED ME - BY SQUATTING IN MY BUILDING (IE - THEY WOULD BE GIVEN ACCESS TO MY APARTMENT ILLEGALLY - WHEN I WAS OUT FOR HOURS GROCERY SHOPPING, ETC.); AND; THERE WAS ALSO A MURDER AT MY APARTMENT - AND I [NOW HAVING DISCOVERED THIS]; AM NOW BEING MURDERED BY THESE TERRORISTS - WHO OCCUPY THIS BUILDING; THESE ARE SCIENTOLOGY TERRORISTS; SO PLEASE TRY TO CROSS-REFERENCE ANY/ALL OF THE ABOVE INFORMATION - FOR ANY RECORD POSSIBLE; THANKS.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>In regard to some of the items in your inquiry, you appear to be reporting a crime, rather than requesting public records. If this is an emergency, please dial 9-11 or your local emergency line for assistance. The Public Records Unit is unable to process crime reports. If you wish to report a crime in your area, please contact your local law enforcement agency, such as city police department or sheriff's department. Additional information on how to report a crime to our office or another law enforcement agency can be found at https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-02087	Wilkinson (Lien)	Scott	10/19/2018	<p>Court: 06067 REF:201202141633 ACTION TYPE: Lien FILE DATE: 02/14/2012 Consumer Type: PC ATTORNEY: State of California (Plaintiff)</p> <p>This has come up in during a back ground investigation. I have no idea what this is and attempting ,to get some information.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that</p>

								agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. You may wish to contact the court, or the creditor that filed the lien.
PR A-2018-02090	Williams	Howard	10/19/2018	July 1, 2018, I wrote you requesting a copy of Death in Custody Reports received by the Department of Justice for all custodial deaths that occurred in California from January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2017. I never received any reply. Recognizing that mail does get misplaced or misdirected, I am now repeating that request. I know that you post the data on your web site. However, that spreadsheet lacks the decedent's name, and I need that information for my research. From previous requests, I understand that you maintain the data from these reports in an electronic format, specifically in an Excel file. A copy of that file will satisfy my request. Indeed, I would prefer to receive the data in Excel format, if that is more convenient for you.		Completed	Nicole Arnaiz	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. Note, the DOJ only receives one form for Death in Custody reporting, which is entered into a database and not retained.
PR A-2018-02091	Sherman (Silveyville Cemetery Records)	Caroline	10/19/2018	I am requesting all documents in regards to a fall involving my 91-year-old grandmother Marion Doss that took place in the Silveyville Cemetary in Dixon, CA. My grandmother fell on June 27, 2018, while in the care of my aunt Mary Jean Doss. My aunt recently admitted out of guilt that she has been abusing my grandmother for years and actually pushed her down at the cemetery causing both of them to fall. My grandmother fell backwards on her head and suffered severe injuries due to this fall causing several strokes since. My grandmother is now in hospice care at The Fountains in Yuba City. I would like to see the report and photos taken by Jennifer who was working in the office at the Silveyville cemetery so I can get things in motion to make sure Mary Jean understands the severity of her actions on this day.		Completed	Maria E. Silva	Called requestor to inquire about her request and she confirmed her request was submitted in error. She intended to submit her request to Silveyville Cemetary in Dixon, CA.
PR A-2018-02092	Taborda (Nurses under investigation)	Andrea	10/19/2018	I request all nurses licensed with the BRN that are under investigation and/or have had an accusation filed within the past six months. Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to obtain a copy of the following, which I understand to be held by your agency: If I can provide any clarification that will help expedite your attention to my request, please contact me at [REDACTED]. I ask that you notify me of any costs related to obtaining this information.		Completed	Marichelle Tahimic	Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) Investigative Records In your letter, you requested the following records: ... all nurses licensed with the BRN that are under investigation and/or have had an accusation filed within the past six months. We must decline your request for the identity of nurses licensed with the BRN that are under investigation. These records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General

									including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).].) In this regard, we spoke on November 2, 2018, and you clarified your request was for the names of nurses licensed by the Board of Registered Nursing against whom Accusations had been filed. In response to your request, we are providing a list of the Accusations served between April 19, 2018, and October 19, 2018. In addition, we note that your request was directed to the Department of Justice but may refer to or include documents in the possession of other agencies, such as the Board of Registered Nursing. If you wish to review records that are in possession of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PR A-2018-02093	Phillips (Specific Case #)	Tanya	10/19/2018	Case, A18-085785. Lucy, ID [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.	
PR A-2018-02094	Bishop (Jay Grossman DDS)	Carrie	10/19/2018	Any and all reports or documentation concerning the licensure of Jay Seth Grossman, DDS (California Dental License 38686), including complaints to, or action taken by, the California Dental Board or other California state agency. Jay Seth Grossman, DDS California Dental License 38686 11980 San Vicente Blvd, Suite 507 Brentwood, CA 90049 Please email response to carrie@mattandersonlaw.com < mailto:carrie@mattandersonlaw.com >.		Completed	Maria E. Silva	Contacted requestor to obtain more information and it was discovered the request was submitted in error. She intended to submit request to the California Dental Board.	

PR A-2018-02095	Azure (Greater Family Foundation)	Celeste	10/19/2018	2017 990-PF for Greater Family Foundation FEIN 208069648 Registration Number: CT0193224 Please send me 2017 990-PF for Greater Family Foundation FEIN 208069648 Registration Number: CT0193224		Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	This email is a response to your public record act request sent by email on October 19, 2018. You requested the 990-PF for Greater Family Foundation for the year 2017. This office has not yet received the 2017 filing from Greater Family Foundation, as such we have no records available to respond to your request. The charity may have received an extension from the IRS, and if so, they would not be considered delinquent in their reporting requirements with this office.
PR A-2018-02105	Welsh	Kerry	10/19/2018	December 4, 2018 clarification: (i) Arrests for trafficking in violation of subdivision (b) of Section 236.1. (ii) Convictions for trafficking in violation of subdivision (b) of Section 236.1. (ii i) Arrests for trafficking in violation of subdivision (c) of Section 236.1. (iv) Convictions for trafficking in violation of subdivision (c) of Section 236.1. October 19, 2018 request: I've been in speaking on the phone with several people at the DOJ, and am hoping to get the below crime stats for the most recent year available: 1) Adult prostitution arrests/convictions in CA. 2) Adult trafficking arrests/convictions in CA. 3) Trafficking of minors arrests/convictions in CA.	Inform ed Californians Against Traffic king	Assigned	Amanda Plisner	I am in receipt of your DOJ inquiry regarding prostitution and human trafficking statistics for last year in California. Arrest and conviction data is considered criminal offender record information (CORI) and, as such, is only disseminated to agencies authorized by statute (Penal Code sections 11105 and 11076). However, pursuant to Penal Code section 13202, if your organization is a research body, you can obtain the data through our research request process by following this link: https://oag.ca.gov/research-center/request-process .
PR A-2018-02079	Zarlengo (case records)	Paul	10/22/2018	I represent Mr. DANIEL MARTIN CONNELLY in a driving under the influence case in the City of Denver. Pursuant to California Constitution, Article I, Section 3 subdivision (b) and the California Public Records Act (Government Code Sections 6250-6276.48), please consider this a request for production of the records compiled in the case of the People of the State of California v. Daniel Martin Connelly. Specifically, I am seeking court records related to his 2007 drunk driving charges. For your convenience, I have enclosed a summary of the charges as they were provided to me from the Denver District Attorney's Office.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to request these records from the court in which the case was heard. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining

								Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-02096	Eklund	Robert	10/22/2018	San Diego PD Case #1003108 against Martin P. Dicker.....I am the victim of the crime.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. If the case number referenced in your request relates to a police report, you are seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PR A-2018-02097	Duke	Kassandra	10/22/2018	<p>1) Business licensing for AMAEE Investments llc</p> <p>1) a) other known alias's or past names associated with AMAEE investments llc.</p> <p>2) asset information on their holdings and any property information</p> <p>2) a) property addresses associated with AMAEE Investments llc.</p> <p>3) who are their executive officers.</p> <p>4) assets of the executive officers</p> <p>5) any lawsuits and or judgments against their executive officers</p> <p>6) citizenship documents for their executive officers</p> <p>7) places of origin of the business' associated with AMAEE investments LLC.</p> <p>This is the company that owns the property that I rent. They have been very "Shady" with their information about the company and refuse to give any public information about themselves or their company/ holdings</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to business licenses, you may wish to direct your request to the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at http://cdtfa.ca.gov/contact.htm.</p>

PR A-2018-02098	Robinson (marriage certificate)	Connie	10/22/2018	I need a certified copy of my marriage certificate for my retirement papers		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PR A-2018-02099	Link	William	10/22/2018	October 29, 2018 follow up: You people have my request totally WRONG. My request was for names of individuals, and or corporation that claim to have done business with me through the California FRANCHISE TAX BOARD. October 22, 2018 request: Names of persons and or companies / corporations who claim to have done business with me and furnished information to the Franchise Tax Board.		Completed	Erin Nickless	November 7, 2018 response: Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking records from the State of California Franchise Tax Board, we suggest that you contact their office. The webform that you submitted and to which we responded is only applicable for records from the California Department of Justice. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody, but instead are in the possession of another state agency. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Information on how to request records from the Franchise Tax Board can be found on their website at https://www.ftb.ca.gov/aboutFTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT.mc_id= . October 29, 2018 response: You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on tax records, we suggest that you contact the State of California Franchise Tax Board. Information on how to request records

								from the Franchise Tax Board can be found on their website at https://www.ftb.ca.gov/aboutFTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT.mc_id=.
PR A-2018-02101	Deach	Bonnie	10/22/2018	I'm looking for any records (including the ME's report) on the murder of Albert and Joan Musalo who were murdered on March 28, 2006 in Reno, Nevada. I want to crowdsource this case and would like to provide as much information as I can to podcasters in order to encourage them to cover it. Thank you for your assistance.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must deny your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) We note that your request is directed to the California Department of Justice, but seeks records related to a crime that took place in Reno Nevada. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to submit your request to the local district attorney or law enforcement agency that investigated this matter.
PR A-2018-02102	Maples (bankruptcy)	Ingrid	10/22/2018	I am applying for home loan and need to verify what year I filed Bankruptcy. I think it was 2010.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your records request is directed to the California Department of Justice but seeks records possibly in the control or custody of a federal agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code § 6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a federal government agency, you may wish to direct your request to that agency. Bankruptcy cases cannot be filed in state court. Bankruptcy cases are heard in the US Bankruptcy Court. Please find information regarding the US Bankruptcy Court on the internet at: http://www.uscourts.gov/bankruptcycourts.html .
PR A-2018-02103	Schiess (Investigative records)	Michael	10/22/2018	An Investigative Summary Regarding the Complaint of Illegal Recording of Confidential Communication by the former Alameda City Manager, Jill Keimach was concluded on October 16th, 2018. The recording was deemed legal by Alameda County District Attorney's office. As it is a matter of public record, I am hereby requesting a copy of the transcript of the recording or a copy in the form of a digital file of the recording. Because two of the Alameda council members were involved in this investigation and voted on the disposition of the		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of

				former City Manager along with a large payoff, it is very important that the citizens of Alameda are able to understand what was done in their name with their taxes.			another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the District Attorney's Office, you would need to direct your request to their office. To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must deny your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PR A-2018-02104	Herrera (vape juice)	Rudy	10/22/2018	I hope I was sent to the right email address. If not, please direct this email to the entity/person that's able to help me. I plan on selling vape juice for wholesale directly to businesses in your state. I want to ensure (1) my product is delivered to businesses that have active licensing and (2) the receiving parties are of legal age to take inventory of the vape juice. Please send me an Excel spreadsheet containing the information of ALL the businesses in your state with an active license for the retail sale of vape juice. Please contact me via email for any clarification needed.		Completed	Erin Nickless Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter shows that in this case, you may be seeking records from the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at http://cdtfa.ca.gov/contact.htm .
PR A-2018-02106	Manzo	Alyssa	10/22/2018	I was wondering if it would be possible to obtain records of the racial/ethnic demographics of those convicted/sentenced under CA's Three-Strikes law along with inmates sentenced to death within the past twenty years. I am in my senior year at California State Monterey Bay conducting research for my final project on the role of prosecutorial discretion in specific determinate sentencing laws.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett The Department of Justice (DOJ) discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information, found here: https://oag.ca.gov/researchcenter/request-process . The document, Conditions

								for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PR A-2018-02107	Thrower	Alan	10/22/2018	I need a copy of protective order in my case #16NF011565 San Mateo County		Completed	David Baskind	Generally, the Attorney General's Office only receives records from Superior Court cases when there is an appeal. Based on my review of our records, our office has not handled any matter arising from your case, nor from any other San Mateo County case involving you. Accordingly, we cannot provide the requested record.
PR A-2018-02127	Miles	Derril	10/22/2018	I am writing to you because I believe that I am eligible for resentencing pursuant to Senate Bill 1437. I am pretty sure that I was convicted under the theory that I was an accomplice to 1st or 2nd degree murder. I do not remember because - being convicted in 1997 - I have lost most of my legal (paper) work. In my case I was involved in a bank robbery. While fleeing the scene I exited the "get away" vehicle. I continued to flee on foot. My codefendant "Glen Maurice Young: continued to flee in the car. Eventually the car he was driving struck and killed "Ms. Roslyn Hendrickson." Ultimately, a jury convicted both of us of murder. Because I intend to file a petition seeking relief under SB 1437 I would like to provide the sentencing court with a copy of my appellate court opinion and sentencing transcripts. I am asking that you please provide me with free copies of these documents because I am an indigent prisoner.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. You may be able to request copies from the appellate court in which the case was heard. If the case was prosecuted by a district attorney, you would need to direct your request to the local district attorney's office.
PR A-2018-02161	Shinka	Rebecca	10/22/2018	Hello, I would like to find out if I can request a public record for the Stringfellow lawsuit settlement amount in Riverside, California. My father died in 1994 right before the settlement paid out and my sister has the paper work. I was supposed to receive one third and would like to find out how much it really was. Is there a fee? Thank you for the information.		Completed	Erin Nickless	If you have additional information to help identify the documents you are seeking, such as the full case name, the case number, and other details that may differentiate it from other cases in which "Stringfellow" is part of the name, please provide that to us. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If

								you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PR A-2018-02198	Katz	Mike	10/22/2018	<p>I realize your response said that you don't retain the "no longer interested forms", but is there a way to determine (and let me know) if Kern County is submitting them to you on a regular basis when certifications they issue expire?</p> <p>I have information that the county may have misrepresented their authority to access criminal history information and abused their access and I intend to write about it. So if they're surveilling people whose certifications expired five or ten years ago by not submitting those "no longer interested forms", that's an important component to the larger overall story.</p> <p>What process happens at DOJ when a "no longer interested form" is received? How are they received? Perhaps the system by which they transmit this notification has some kind of record, by whatever name it's called, that can show that they are or are not notifying DOJ for "no longer interested".</p> <p>Also, you said that you don't retain the "request for livescan service" form. Does DOJ not have any records, by whatever name/form number, kept of the livescan submittals Kern County has submitted to CalDOJ? That is the "smoking gun" that removes any inferences and proves the county misrepresented their authority to access criminal history information. Is there any record at all that would help with this?</p>		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Unfortunately, the DOJ does not have records that would be responsive to what you are seeking.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to these requests with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PR A-2018-02112	Kuranda (Facebook Google Alphabet)	Sarah	10/23/2018	<p>Any and all emails, texts, or other communications sent or received by Attorney General Xavier Becerra with the terms "Facebook", "@fb.com", "Google", "@google.com", or "Alphabet" from January 1, 2016 through the day this request is processed.</p> <p>I would like these records in electronic format transmitted via email or another digital format. Please refrain from sending paper copies of the records.</p> <p>If you determine that any or all of the information qualifies for an exemption from disclosure, I ask you to note whether the exemption is discretionary, and if so whether it is necessary in this case to exercise your discretion to withhold the information.</p> <p>If you determine that some but not all the information is exempt from disclosure and that you intend to withhold it, I ask that you redact it for the time being and make the rest available as requested. In any event, please provide a signed notification citing the legal authorities on which you rely if you determine that any or all the information is exempt and will not be disclosed.</p> <p>Please waive any applicable fees. I am a journalist at the technology publication, The Information, and these records are being sought for public dissemination.</p> <p>I, of course, reserve the right to appeal your decision to withhold any information or to deny a waiver of fees. As I am making this request as a journalist and this information is of timely value, I would appreciate your communicating with me by telephone, rather than by mail, if you have questions regarding this request.</p>		Completed	Gisele Rainer	<p>On November 16, 2018, Gisele Rainer responded: On November 2, 2018, the Department notified you that the deadline to respond to your request was extended by 14 days. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c).)</p> <p>We have identified responsive records. However, some of these records are exempt from disclosure. Specifically, some records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, are expressly incorporated into the Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370.) In the present case, the attorneys in our office provide legal advice to the Attorney General and client agencies. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or client agencies and the department's attorneys concerning various litigation and advice matters are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Act.</p> <p>Additionally, some records are exempt due to the deliberative process privilege, which exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are</p>

								<p>formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>Moreover, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Also, to the extent your request seeks law enforcement records of the Attorney General, Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)].)</p> <p>That same exemption protects the personal security of the Attorney General and his staff, and we will not disclose certain travel information or produce documents or information about the Attorney General's security detail or other Department of Justice security-related information. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subd. (f); 6255.) Information to protect the security of the Attorney General will be redacted from documents that contain other non-exempt information.</p> <p>The nonexempt records responsive to your request are attached to the email message transmitting this letter.</p> <p>On November 2, 2018, Gisele Rainer sent an extension letter.</p>
PR A-2018-	Rocho (3rd Party records)	Jennifer	10/23/2018	I'm interested in seeing what public records might be available for a person who used to live in California, and just moved to Colorado. Garrett Ouzts. Born [REDACTED] Transferred from Travis AFB in California to the AFA in Colorado. I'm at a loss for how to navigate your website though.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and

021 13				Divorce Records Criminal Records Lien Records				<p>legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-02114	Reinholz (CH, police reports)	Christina	10/23/2018	Any records or police reports for Daniel Reinholz DOB [REDACTED] involving domestic violence, drug use, or drug possession.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking police report records, police reports are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the</p>

							<p>DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PR A-2018-02123	Sperla (Prop 65)	Greg	10/23/2018	<p>1. All communications between anyone within the AG's Prop 65 Unit and any other person relating to NOV No. 2014-00691, including the notice of withdrawal.</p> <p>2. All documents, excluding emails and regulatory filings, relating to the application of 27 CCR § 25707 that are authored, in whole or part, by any person from your office or OEHHHA.</p>	DLA Piper LLP	Completed	<p>You limited the request to electronic copies of responsive records without first contacting you before incurring a charge. You excluded from the request records made confidential by California Code of Regulations, title 11, § 3100 et seq. or otherwise exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. You also excluded "communications for submission or service of copies of any Notices of Violation to the A G's office by a private enforcer."</p> <p>We have conducted a search and enclosed the responsive records that we located. Due to the small number of responsive records, we are providing the hard copies at no charge.</p>
PR A-2018-02174	Wood	Phyllis	10/23/2018	Copies of any civil cases, appearance tickets, traffic crash reports, incident reports, criminal cases, arrest reports, booking photos, booking cards or police contacts for: Edward Lawrence Taylor.		Completed	<p>Erin Nickless</p> <p>We have searched our legal indices and were unable to determine which, if any, of our cases relate to the subject of your request. If you have additional information on the cases you are looking for, such as dates, case names, case numbers, or other identifying information, please provide that information to us.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking police report records, police reports are</p>

								typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-02178	Burrell (compassionate release)	George	10/23/2018	I would like to be provided two (2) application packets which to request for clemency. I would require instructions as to whether I could apply for these forms of relief at the same time on a single clemency request application or would I need to file a separate application for each of these: a) Compassionate release b) Commutation of current sentence c) Pardon based on innocence d) Any other forms of clemency Also, the prison law libraries do not have reference Constitution books for the Federal Constitution or the State of California Constitution, and the copy that I owned was stolen by prison guards in 2015, therefore, could a copy be sent to me, please?		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter shows that you may wish to contact the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, the Board of Parole Hearings, and/or the office of the Governor for the forms that you are seeking. If your facility is unable to provide you with a Public Records Request Form, you can contact them at

							<p>California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, Office of Labor Relations, 1515 S Street, Room 125 South or P.O. Box 942883, Sacramento, California 94283-0001. For information on gubernatorial pardons, you would need to contact the Governor's office. Their address is: Governor Edmund G. Brown, c/o State Capitol, Suite 1173, Sacramento, CA 95814.</p> <p>For copies of the Constitutions that you are seeking, you may wish to contact the legislative branch that maintains the most current publications of these documents.</p>
PR A-2018-02120	Henry (bankruptcy)	George	10/24/2018	Ronald J. Wood Bankruptcy Petition #: 8:09-bk-24517-ES Document 6 - Audio tape		Completed	Erin Nickless We note that your records request is directed to the California Department of Justice but seeks records possibly in the control or custody of a federal agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code § 6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a federal government agency, you may wish to direct your request to that agency. Bankruptcy cases cannot be filed in state court. Bankruptcy cases are heard in the US Bankruptcy Court. Please find information regarding the US Bankruptcy Court on the internet at: http://www.uscourts.gov/bankruptcycourts.html .
PR A-2018-02121	Malone	Albert	10/24/2018	I require whatever pamphlets, brochures, information and documentation the California Attorney General's Office and Department of Justice maintains, distributes or otherwise makes available to the public on: 1. Methods of correction, modification, adjustment of all incorrect entries in the MEGAN'S LAW SEX OFFENDER REGISTRY maintained by the State of California. 2. A current printout, listing and/or disclosure made by the MEGAN'S LAW SEX OFFENDER REGISTRY on this requestor.		Completed	Michael Dolida In response to your first request, we have no responsive documents. As for your second request, please find enclosed a current printout/screenshot of your Megan's Law post. Please note that the literal offenses have been changed on your Megan's Law website posting pursuant to current statute.
PR A-2018-02122	Evans	Lillian	10/24/2018	My name is Lillian Evans and I am requesting any records and the 911 recording regarding my case. I am the victim, the report number is 18-13 21175, the incident number is 181017004842. This case is being investigated by the LAPD. The date of this incident is October 17, 2018. My contact information is [REDACTED] and email [REDACTED]		Completed	To the extent that you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call or copies of a police report, you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the Los Angeles Police Department. If you are seeking information regarding victim rights and services,

								you can contact our Victims' Services unit. More information about Marsy's Law for victims can be found on our website at https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights .
PR A-2018-02128	Saul	Isaac	10/25/2018	<p>I am trying to pull records for Robert L. Roper, born [REDACTED] My understanding is he was convicted and served 17-18 years in San Quentin and then Chino Prison for assault with a deadly weapon, drug distribution, assaulting a police officer and vehicular theft. I am looking for any court records that may verify this history.</p> <p>I am a journalist working on a story about Mr. Roper's son and he has made these claims as well as provided audio recordings of his interview with his father, Robert L. Roper, discussing his sentencing. The only Robert L Roper I could find in ca.gov records was a sex offender who was 15 years older than the Robert L. Roper I am looking for. Mr. Roper is out of prison now and I am just trying to confirm their story is accurate.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. We would note for your information that if these cases are extremely old. Any related records may have been destroyed years ago pursuant to record retention policies for the department.</p>
PR A-2018-02129	Cubetus	Jon	10/25/2018	If available, I am looking for any briefs filed by the California AG in the cases California First Amendment Coalition v. Superior Court, 67 Cal.App.4th 159 (1998) and Citizens for Open Government v. City of Lodi, 205 Cal.App.4th 296 (2012).		Completed	Ted Prim	<p>We are not able to provide the records requested because they are not in our possession as discussed below. California First Amendment Coalition v. Superior Court (1988) 67 Cal.App.4th 159. Our office filed briefs in connection with this case in 1998, but these records have been destroyed in accordance with the Department's records retention schedule. Civil case files of this type are scheduled for destruction after seven years or in 2005 in this instance. Notwithstanding, we conducted a search, but were unable to locate the briefs.</p> <p>Citizens for Open Government v. City of Lodi (2012) 205 Cal.App.4th 296 We can find no indication that our office filed a brief in connection with this case, and therefore, we have no brief to provide in response to your request. Our office did, however, send a letter to the California Supreme Court requesting depublication of the appellate court decision which was subsequently denied. Even though this letter is not technically a brief, we are nevertheless providing it. It is attached to the email message transmitting this letter.</p>
PR A-2018-	Quinto (police reports)	Carlo s	10/25/2018	<p>I would like to request a police report because I was the victim of a crime in the year of 1992 in Irwindale County. I am in the process of submitting a U-Visa certification and I do not have the actual report. All I have is an application for crime victim compensation that has some of the details of the case.</p> <p>Case Information: Arresting Agency: Irwindale Police Department</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We

021 30				<p>Date of Incident: 05/31/1992</p> <p>Name of Suspects: Pedro Romero, Eduardo Gutierrez, and Roldofo Gutierrez</p> <p>Crime Report #: 92-0454</p> <p>Crime Code: 211 P.C. Robbery</p>			<p>have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PR A- 201 8- 021 31	Rambo	Jacob	10/25/2018	<p>Under the Public Records Act, Information Practices Act, and any other provision of law applicable to the disclosure of government records, I request all materials submitted by Charles Randall "Randy" Pettit, including any aliases not known to me, pertaining to any request for relief from public disclosure of his sex offender registration status resulting from his victimization of Sonia Quinones nee Sonia Rambo. I further request any disclosable records related to Attorney General's prosecution of petitions for review against Mr. Pettit in the courts of appeal and the California Supreme Court, including transcripts, briefs, probation and court records, sentencing documents, and any other documents in your position.</p> <p>I am Mrs. Rambo's spouse and I am authorized to make an IPA and victim's rights requests on her behalf. If you require verification of her consent please notify me immediately.</p>		Completed	<p>Michael Dolida</p> <p>You further indicated that you are "Mrs. Rambo's spouse and [you are] authorized to make an IPA and victim's rights requests on her behalf. If you require verification of her consent please notify me immediately." We are further informed that you have also requested a "the SARA TSO risk assessment tool for Mr. Pettit and all documentation relied upon to conclude that Mr Pettit is low or low moderate risk."</p> <p>Unfortunately, we must deny your request. Subject to limited exceptions, the information provided by sex offenders during the registration process and/or pertaining to their registration status is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, §§ 290.021 and 290.46(e) and (i).) This includes information provided in connection with an application to be excluded from the internet.</p> <p>Any responsive records in our possession are part of Mr. Pettit's registration materials. Accordingly, the records are exempt from disclosure. We must also deny your request under the Information Practices Act (IPA.) (Civ. Code § 1798 et seq.) The IPS does not apply to information maintained for criminal law enforcement purposes (Civ. Code § 1798.40) and the IPA does not supersede the PRA (Civ. Code § 1798.75).</p> <p>Regarding the SARTSO risk assessment information, we have no responsive documents. You may be able to obtain this information by contacting SARTSO directly.</p> <p>Please note, however, the department is currently in the process of investigating and reevaluating Mr. Pettit's posting status with respect to the Megan's Law website. Any questions with respect to that issue should be directed to Deputy Attorney General Brian Segal who can be reached at (916) 210-3356.</p>

PR A-2018-02147	Johnson	Kenneth	10/25/2018	1. "Language in abeyance letters with reasoning," regarding "habeas corpus petitions held in abeyance pending CDCR'CCR Tit. 15 § 349I(b)(I) changes (ordered void in In re Edwards, App.No: B288086, decided Sept. 07 2018"; and; 2. "Copy of CDCR's changed CCR Tit. 15 § 3490 et seq. and§ 2449.1 et seq."		Completed	Philip J. Lindsay	In response to your first request, I have enclosed a copy of a "Motion to Hold Proceedings in Abeyance," filed in Case No. B289 I 40, before the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two. In response to your second request, there are no documents responsive to this request as CDCR has not yet finalized and submitted its amended regulations to the Office of Administrative Law.
PR A-2018-02156	Taylor	Herbert Charles	10/25/2018	Herbert Charles Taylor, contact information is [REDACTED] called stating he received a letter stating that he is not able to purchase a firearm because he is a felon and he states that he is "NOT" a felon. Please reach out to this individual and direct him to the correct section or unit so that he is able to resolve this issue.		Completed	BOF	Bureau of Firearms contact requester directly.
PR A-2018-02134	Kerns (Residence Info)	Eric	10/26/2018	i would like to know how long the previous owner has owned the house i live in before i bought it I'm just trying to get an idea of what to fix in my new home.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PR A-2018-02135	Martin (3rd Party Info)	Vickie	10/26/2018	John B Jacobs from Summitt, MS. [REDACTED] SSN [REDACTED]		Completed	Alan Macina	November 9, 2018 response: The search terms "John B Jacobs," "John Jacobs," "B Jacobs," and simply "Jacobs" did not return any records that correspond to your request. Nor did date-of-birth or Social Security number searches corresponding to the information you provided as listed above. You noted that the person about whom you seek information has a criminal history in four states other than California (AR, CT, LA, & ME). Again, if you wish to obtain records that are in the possession of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that state or agency. Best wishes to you and for your search. November 5, 2018 response: I note that we spoke by telephone today about your request and you added that the individual about whom you seek information (1) is from Summit, MS; (2) the date above and Social Security

								<p>number refers to his date-of-birth and Social Security number; (3) and that he has a criminal history in four states (AR, CT, LA, & ME). We will now search for records related to your request.</p> <p>Also, for the following reasons, this office is extending the date for responding to your request for an additional fourteen days beyond the original ten-day deadline. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c).) Fourteen days beyond the initial ten-day deadline is November 19, 2018. Agencies may invoke the extension for several reasons as follows:</p> <p>1. The need to search for and collect records from field offices or other facilities that are separate from the office processing the request;</p> <p>2. The need to search for, collect, and appropriately examine a voluminous amount of separate and distinct records which are demanded in a single request; and</p> <p>3. The need for consultation, which shall be conducted with all practicable speed, with another agency having a substantial interest in the determination of the request or among two or more components of the agency having a substantial subject-matter interest in the material. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c).)</p> <p>Here, an extension is necessary to review records and communicate with the agency or agencies that may have a substantial interest in any material that may be subject to disclosure.</p> <p>Also, please note that your request is directed to the Department of Justice but may refer to or include documents in the possession of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the possession of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>In the meantime, please contact me at the phone number or e-mail address listed on the first page of this letter should you have any questions. We will respond as soon as we have completed our record search.</p>
PR A-2018-02136	Goldberg	Robert	10/26/2018	Seal Beach reported one homicide in July 2017 on their "Return A" form. However, the downloadable crime data for calendar 2017 from your website indicates zero homicides. I am requesting copies of the State DOJ's review of this death that determined that it was not a criminal homicide. Alternatively, if the posted 2017 crime statistics are in error, and should show one homicide, please inform me of this.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice's (DOJ's) records indicate that Seal Beach did not report any homicides for July 2017. As such, the DOJ does not have responsive records for this request.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you</p>

								wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PR A-2018-02137	Jiang	Jun	10/26/2018	Please provide a record of the handguns registered under my name.		Completed	Public Records	<p>If the DOJ has records with the information you seek, those records would be kept as part of the Attorney General's duty under Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(l), to keep certain records that will "assist in the investigation of crime, the prosecution of civil actions by city attorneys ... the arrest and prosecution of criminals, and the recovery of lost, stolen, or found property." The categories of information kept by DOJ pursuant to Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(l), include records of firearms purchases from and sales to private individuals made by licensed firearms dealers. (See id. at subd. (a)(l)(D) & (E); see also Pen. Code, §§ 29605 & 27525, subd. (a).) Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(2), limits the disclosure of the information DOJ collects under Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(l), by specifying to whom the Department can provide that information. The information can be provided "upon proper application therefor ... to the officers referred to in [Penal Code section] 11105."</p> <p>Members of the public making California Public Records Act requests are not identified on the list of officers in Penal Code section 11105. As such, we cannot provide you with the records you seek pursuant to a California Public Records Act request. (See also Cal. Const., art. 1, § 1 [protecting California citizen's right to privacy].) In order to obtain a list of firearms listed in your name, complete and submit an Automated Firearms System Records Request to the Automated Firearms Unit, P.O. Box 820200, Sacramento, CA 94203-0200. The request must be signed, notarized, and include a photocopy of your photo ID card (i.e., driver's license or DMV ID). This form has been enclosed for your convenience.</p>
PR A-2018-02146	Clark	Jack	10/26/2018	Court records show that California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection v. Shawaf, et al., Riverside County Superior Court case no. RIC 1508197 was dismissed as to your client, plaintiff California Department of Forestry, on September 4, 2018 — presumably because the case settled. Pursuant to the California Public Records Act, we are hereby requesting any and all documents and information you can supply to the public regarding the terms of the settlement of that case.	Idyllwild House Publishing Co. Ltd	Completed	Ross Hirsch	The request was ambiguous as to its scope, and so on October 29, 2018 we spoke by telephone to clarify what records you are seeking. You clarified that the documents that satisfy the request are (1) the publicly available California Department of Forestry and

								Fire Protection (CAL FIRE) settlement agreement, and (2) the two publicly available court-filed documents that confirm other terms of the Mountain fire-related settlements. As such, we are transmitting herewith the above-referenced documents. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but appears to be possibly seeking records from other California departments, such as CAL FIRE and/or the Superior Court of California, County of Riverside. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PR A-2018-02151	Males	Michael	10/26/2018	DOJ/Open Justice has been outstanding in providing the raw, annual statewide arrest file (with names, addresses, SSNs, and other personal identifiers redacted) showing at least the date of arrest, county, jurisdiction, age, sex, race/Hispanic ethnicity, and detailed offense for 2016 and 2017. I am writing to ask if this same file, or similar file, is available for previous years. I realize these are large files, so I want to keep these requests to a minimum. Would, say, the same/similar raw arrest files be available for 1980 and/or 1990?		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PR A-2018-02169	Blutstein	Allan	10/26/2018	All communications *sent* by the Attorney General and the Solicitor General on October 24, 2018 that mention or refer to the lawsuit filed against Exxon by the New York State Attorney General on the same day.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have no records responsive to your request.
PR A-2018-02138	Hill (CH, restraining orders)	Kathy	10/29/2018	All records on Chad Smith DOB [REDACTED] Domestic violence, restraining, Terror threats		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	To the extent that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) has the information you seek regarding any type of restraining order, it would be maintained in the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS), which is a statewide database designed to store information identifying persons named in restraining orders and is accessible via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the DOJ, is restricted by law. (Gov. Code§ 15152). Access to records relating to CLETS inquiries is similarly restricted. Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)). For this reason, we must deny your request.

								<p>To the extent that you are requesting records regarding terror threats, and to the extent that these records exist, they are investigative files of the Attorney General and the DOJ that are expressly exempt from disclosure pursuant to Gov. Code section 6254, subdivision (f). By asserting this exemption, we neither confirm nor deny the existence of the investigation that is the subject of your request.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In this instance, you may want to request this information from the courts as these records are public.</p>
PR A-2018-02139	Enriquez	Roldan	10/29/2018	<p>Merger Decisions of 2011 annual report to congress with total of 84 approved by DOJ request made by FDIC. records of my Target institutions. sample of Cert#27530 approved date 11/04/2011 20111409 send all available approved date number corresponding to each bank institution applied.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and consulted with knowledgeable persons and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the California DOJ is a State agency, and independently run from the United States Department of Justice (US DOJ). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of the US DOJ, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to submit a records request to US DOJ under the Freedom of Information Act can be found on their website at https://www.justice.gov/oip/make-foia-request-doj.</p>
PR A-2018-02140	Emberton (unspecific records)	Ronnie	10/29/2018	<p>any and all information is requested and appreciated.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Providing the actual name or title of

							<p>the record.</p> <p>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</p> <p>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</p> <p>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</p> <p>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</p> <p>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</p> <p>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PR A-2018-02141	Sanders (unspecific)	Deirdre	10/29/2018	<p>ILLEGAL LIEN SALE OF 1990 MBZ Vehicle License</p> <p>Plate No. [REDACTED]</p> <p>Deirdre Sanders</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>Email: [REDACTED]</p> <p>October 28, 2018</p> <p>CALIFORNIA STATE INVESTIGATORS FRAUD, MALICIOUS PROSECUTION AND TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE (INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE) AND ILLEGAL SURVEILLANCE BY SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION ODAR OFFICES</p> <p>Just day after I purchased 1990 MBZ Vehicle License Plate No. [REDACTED].</p> <p>Someone alleging to be FBI Agent Maddy / State Investigator, on April 25, 2014 Placed and Illegal GPS Device that was attached to my (Marksman's Extreme Series Model X3) car alarm in my 1990 MBZ Vehicle License Plate No. [REDACTED] by Agent Maddy at Car Audio Warehouse 16910 E. 14th Street San Leandro, Ca. 94578 (510) 276-4200, between 4:00pm -6:00pm.</p> <p>Then on July 30, 2014 New Park Mall (Sear's Auto Repair Parking Lot) 3000 Mowry Avenue Newark, Ca. after Agent Maddy sabotaged my 1990 Mercedes 300E mysteriously broke down and would not move.</p> <p>And started a roughage with the NEWPARK MALL SECURITY Officer's getting him to aid and abet him in Malicious Harassment by the State of California Investigator's and Informant's.</p> <p>Who were attempting to have my vehicle TOWED when I REFUSED to have my repairs performed my Sear's Auto Automotive.</p> <p>My car was sent to Car Quest Auto Repair for a simple fender bender accident, less than 5 day later.</p> <p>Then I was conveniently ARRESTED and FRAUDULENTLY IMPRISONED on 8/3/2014.</p> <p>SANTA RITA JAIL POLICE BRUTALITY ASSAULTERS 1st POLICE BRUTALITY ASSAULT</p> <p>OFFICER BADGE NO. DATE: REPORT NO. CHARGE Officer Gus Vargus 08/03//14</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <p>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</p> <p>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</p> <p>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</p> <p>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</p> <p>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</p> <p>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</p> <p>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We note that you appear to be</p>

			<p>POLICE BRUTALITY ASSAULT Officer Cruz 08/03//14 POLICE BRUTALITY ASSAULT Officer Chris Miller 08/03//14 POLICE BRUTALITY ASSAULT</p> <p>WHO STOLE \$800.00 MY PROPERTY, Intellectual MATERIAL, Theft EVIDENCE TAMPERING, RICCO, RETALIATION, COLOR OF LAW, HATE CRIME, Domestic Terrorist Assaults and FALSE IMPRISONMENT Hate Crime</p> <p>ALAMEDA POLICE DEPARTMENT ARRESTING OFFICER OFFICER BADGE NO. DATE: REPORT NO. CHARGE J. Doland Arresting Officer 08/03//14 EXCESSIVE FORCE, FALSE CLAIMS</p> <p>THEFT OF PROPERTY/ CASH, Intellectual MATERIAL EVIDENCE TAMPERING, RICCO, RETALIATION, COLOR OF LAW, HATE CRIME, FALSE IMPRISONMENT, Domestic Terrorist Assaults, \$800.00 Theft</p> <p>So, that my car could be EASILY sold without any intervention from me the legal owner!</p> <p>A few days later I received a letter stating that my vehicle had been sold in a lien sale at Pat's Tow.</p> <p>However, I had NEVER RECEIVED ANY COMMUNICATIONS from State Farm Insurance Company my Auto Insurance Carrier.</p> <p>In advance of the PREMATURE LIEN SALE after placing my car in the shop for repairs</p> <p>The law requires that the Lien Sale a here to the following conditions prior to placing a vehicle for lien sale!</p> <p>The legal owner must be notified before the service may be performed if the amount of any repairs or service exceeds \$750 or the storage charges exceed \$400 (\$500 for vehicles valued over \$4,000).</p> <p>Before conducting a lien sale, the lienholder must determine the value of the vehicle. If the vehicle's value is \$4,000 or less, the registered and legal owners of record will be notified by the party conducting the lien (See "How To: Conduct a Lien Sale for a Vehicle Valued \$4,000 or Less.")</p> <p>If the vehicle's value is greater than \$4,000, DMV notifies all interested parties.</p> <p>Probable cause for a civil action against the State of California, Car Quest Auto Repair and State Farm the legal owner who was never notified of vehicle sale until the vehicle was old!</p> <p>DUE TO FRAUD AND MALICIOUS PROSECUTION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATORS!</p> <p>The Social Security Administration has been LYING about utilizing current accurate medical records in their Final Determination since 2007.</p> <p>However, they do not have any UPDATED medical records since 2007 because they were DESTROYED by FBI Agent Hoss, after I served them upon the Administration.</p> <p>THEREBY, MAKING ALL MY DECISIONS SINCE 2007 ARE INACCURATE AND WITHOUT UPDATED MEDICAL RECORDS!</p> <p>Requesting Authorization to Conduct a Lien Sale for a Vehicle Valued Over \$4,000 you must apply to the DMV for authorization to conduct a lien sale, according to the provisions of Civil Code §3071. A fee must accompany your application. This fee may be recovered by the lienholder as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • From the buyer at the time of the lien sale or • From the debtor if the vehicle is redeemed. <p>Your application for authorization must contain the vehicle identification number. If you cannot locate the number, you</p>			<p>reporting a crime, rather than requesting public records. The Public Records Unit is unable to process crime reports. If you wish to report a crime in your area, please contact your local law enforcement agency, such as city police department or sheriff's department. Additional information on how to report a crime to our office or another law enforcement agency can be found at https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

may request an inspection of the vehicle by a peace officer, licensed vehicle verifier, or an authorized DMV employee. Storage Lien Limitation the period of time for a storage lien may not exceed 60 days, unless an application for authorization to conduct the lien sale is filed within 30 days after the lien arises, and then it may be for a period not to exceed 120 days (pursuant to California Vehicle Code §22851). Other liens for towing or contracted services (such as mechanical repairs) apply, regardless of the period allowed. Any vehicle stored at a self-storage facility for which a lien has incurred shall be sold under the provisions of Civil Code §3071.

Notification of Your Request
When DMV receives a request to conduct a lien sale for a vehicle valued over \$4,000, the department shall notify the:

- Registered and legal owners and any interested parties by certified mail

or

- Out-of-state vehicle registry, if the vehicle bears registration from another state.

Authority To Conduct the Lien Sale
You must wait for authorization from DMV before proceeding with the lien sale process. Allow 30-40 days to receive authorization.

Can Someone Oppose the Lien Sale?
Any person having an interest in the vehicle may declare opposition to the sale. DMV will notify you if a declaration of opposition is filed and the sale canceled. You may then secure your lien:

- Through judicial proceeding. Service may be effected by certified mail or in person by a marshal, sheriff, or certified process server (process servers are registered by the county clerk of the respective counties). This must be done within 30 days from the date on the denial letter.

or

- By obtaining a release of interest signed by the person(s) who declared opposition.

or

- By filing a Notice of Unsuccessful Service with DMV when service cannot be effected on the person opposing the sale.

Evidence of unsuccessful service is required.
When DMV receives one of the listed items, DMV will send authorization to continue with the sale and notify the person who opposed the sale of the authorization.

Conducting a Lien Sale
Step 1. Twenty days before the sale date you must provide by certified mail (return receipt requested) a Notice of Pending Lien Sale (REG 280) to each of the following:

- Legal owner, if any
- Registered owner
- Any interested parties
- DMV, Lien Sale Unit, P.O. Box 932317, Sacramento, CA 94232-3170.

Step 2. At least five days, but not more than 20 days before the sale (not counting the sale date), you must give notice of the sale by advertising the sale for one day in a newspaper of general circulation in the county in which the vehicle is located.

If there is no newspaper published in the county, notice shall be given by posting the Notice of Sale:

- In three of the most public places in the town where the vehicle is located, and
- At the place where the vehicle is to be sold for 10 consecutive days prior to and including the day of the sale.

All notices shall specify the make, year model, vehicle identification number, license number, and state of registration, if available. For motorcycles, the engine number must also be specified. The specific date, exact time, and place of sale must also be included.

Step 3. The vehicle must be available for inspection at a location easily access ble to the public for at least one hour before the sale and must be at the place of sale at the time and date specified on the notice of sale.
Sealed bids shall not be accepted. If the auction price exceeds the amount of the lien, the excess amount must be sent to the Lien Sale Unit within 15 days after the sale date.

Step 4. After the sale, a redemption period of 10 days (not counting the date of sale) must be allowed before the purchaser can take possession of the vehicle and apply for transfer of ownership.

Step 5. You are required to keep the vehicle for a 10-day redemption period. After this redemption period, give the buyer:

- A Certification of Lien Sale (REG 168) completed and signed by you.
- A copy of the authorization letter from DMV to conduct the sale (REG 338).
- Postal receipts for the notices you sent.

Step 6. The license plates must be removed and destroyed at the time of the sale, and you must submit to DMV within five days of the sale a Notice of Transfer and Release of Liability.

What if No One Places a Qualifying Bid on the Vehicle?

Complete the Certification of Lien Sale (REG 168) showing you as the buyer (new owner), as well as the seller.
Should you sell the vehicle following the lien sale where you were the buyer, you

				<p>will be required to give the buyer a bill of sale in addition to the documents listed for registering the vehicle. See note below regarding payment of fees.</p> <p>Obviously none of this legal process ever took place because I was ONLY in Jail for 24 hrs at the most! I POSTED BAIL WITH ALLADIAN BAILS BONDS AND THEY STOLE THE BAIL MONEY ALSO AFTER HAVING MY BAIL EXONERATED BY THE JUDGE. THEY EVEN HAD THE ARDASAITY TO TAKE PAYMENTS FOR ONE YEAR FROM MY DAUGHTER FOR THE ENTIRE AMOUNT OF THE BAIL!</p> <p>So, why was my vehicle sold from up under me after the Officer STOLE MY MONEY THAT I WOULD HAVE HAD TO RETRIEVE MY VEHICLE!</p> <p>There is no end to the Corruption in the State of California and I have had my fill of it!</p> <p>It's high time for the State of California to held accountable for their Illegal activity!</p> <p>DECLARATION</p> <p>I declare under penalty of perjury that all the above is true and to the best of the declarant Deirdre E. Sanders knowledge.</p> <p>Sign: Deirdre E. Sanders Date: October 28. 2018</p>			
PR A-2018-02142	Zuber (death records)	Cynthia	10/29/2018	Death Records of Donald Hauser and Otto Notzl in the state of California during 2014-2018.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p>
PR A-2018-02143	Hancock (unspecific records)	Ryan	10/29/2018	Any records	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the

									contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PR A-2018-02144	Lucchesi (MuckRock)	Annita	10/29/2018	Data on all cases of: unsolved missing persons, and unsolved/solved homicides, suspicious deaths, and deaths in custody involving an Alaska Native/American Indian woman or girl victim within your jurisdiction(s). For the purposes of this request, "Alaska Native/American Indian" is defined as any individual who was identified as a member of an Alaska Native or American Indian community or as having Alaska Native/American Indian heritage. "woman or girl" includes all victims identified as females, as well as transgender women. If there are any Jane Does that have been identified as potentially Alaska Native or American Indian, please include them as well. We are requesting cases dating from 1988 to the present. This request is for basic information on cases as classified above. Such information may include but is not limited to victim name, location and date of disappearance/death, age of victim, status of case (solved or unsolved).	Muckrock	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents, which include the following: • Death in Custody records. • Homicide records. Note, we excluded the names of the homicide victims to prevent re-identification due to the victim to offender relationship. Moreover, the DOJ is not in a position to confirm whether these homicides are suspicious, solved, or unsolved, or whether or not the victim identified as transgender as we do not receive such data. You may want to confirm this with the reporting law enforcement agency (LEA). • Missing person's report. Note, the race code to enter for American Indian is "I;" however, records may have been entered by law enforcement with the thought that the race code meant "Indian" as opposed to "American Indian." You may want to confirm the race with the reporting LEA. Moreover, "Alaska Native" is not an option for a race field in either the California Missing Persons System, or the California Unidentified Persons System. Furthermore, there are two unidentified, deceased Jane Doe cases in the California Unidentified Persons	

							System that are thought to have possibly been of American Indian decent. You may want to contact the San Diego Medical Examiner's Office for more information on these cases. • San Diego Jane Doe case# 94-0562, skeletal remains found on 03/26/94 thought to have been either Hispanic or American Indian. • San Diego Jane Doe case# 92-2478, skeletal remains found on 12/08/92 thought to have been American Indian
PR A-2018-02145	Madrigal	Katrina	10/29/2018	My whole identity has been questioned family won't answer me ...nor local police		Completed	Erin Nickless We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We note that you may be attempting to report a crime, rather than requesting public records. The Public Records Unit is unable to process crime reports. If you wish to report a crime in your area, please contact your local law enforcement agency, such as city police department or sheriff's department. Additional information on how to report a crime to our office or another law enforcement agency can

								be found at https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime .
PR A-2018-02148	Rixey (3rd Party Records)	Amy	10/29/2018	I am requesting any records on Brandon Matthew Watson, 27 years old living in Humboldt County California. He is a suspect in a murder investigation and I need to find any past records he may have that he is a true criminal so he can't hurt someone else.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>

PR A-2018-02149	Wideman	Richard	10/29/2018	<p>I would like the names of the companies that act as or provide the TPPP's in the following cardrooms in Southern California. (We are NOT INTERESTED in the names of the individual players or supervisors):</p> <p>Larry Flynt's Casino LLC Hustler Casino Commerce Club Casino Bicycle Club Casino Hawaiian Gardens Casino Hollywood Park Casino Oceans 11 Casino Players Poker Club Celebrity Casinos Sahara Dunes Casino</p> <p>Please send records to me electronically at riwlaw@gmail.com<mailto:riwlaw@gmail.com>. Call me if you need \$\$ to cover cost</p>		Complete	Riann Kopchak	<p>You specifically stated that you were not interested "in the names of the individual players or supervisors." We therefore presume that you are requesting only the names of the entities providing services to the listed cardrooms.</p> <p>Except as specifically provided in the Gambling Control Act (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19800 et seq.), the records of the Bureau, a subdivision of the Department of Justice, are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c) .) In addition, subdivision (d) of Business and Professions Code section 19821 makes it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department of Justice to knowingly disclose or furnish records of information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act.</p> <p>A review of your request suggests no applicable exception to the general exemption from disclosure set forth above. Accordingly, any records that might be responsive to your request are exempt from disclosure under the Gambling Control Act (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subds. (c) & (d)) and by the terms of the Public Records Act (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)). Your request for disclosure of records as set forth above is therefore denied.</p> <p>· Associate Governmental Program Analyst Kaylee Joseph and Deputy Attorney General William L. Williams, Jr. assisted with this response.</p>
PR A-2018-02150	Falconer (investigative records)	Tim	10/29/2018	<p>Complete recording or complete transcript of recording made by Jill Keimach of meeting between Keimach, Jim Oddie, and Malia Vella on August 16th, 2017. We understand this meeting took place in Ms. Keimach's office in the City of Alameda. Partial recording of recording is requested if complete recording is not available. Partial transcript is requested if complete transcript is not available.</p> <p>For audio recording, please provide standard audio file format such as WAV, MP3, or AAC. For transcript, please provide standard text document file format such as .DOC, .TXT. or .PDF.</p>		Complete	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the District Attorney's Office, you would need to direct your request to their office.</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must deny your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the</p>

							Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PR A-2018-02152	Baker	Joan	10/29/2018	Any and all reports either pertaining or regarding the death of my brother, Neil Thomas VanDagriff. Date of death: [REDACTED]. Location of death, Tracy, Ca in San Joaquin County.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett Death in Custody & Arrest-Related Deaths data is available to the public on OpenJustice, a transparency initiative led by the California Department of Justice (DOJ) that publishes criminal justice data so that the DOJ can understand how it's doing, hold itself accountable, and improve public policy to make California safer. See more information here: http://v.ww.openjustice.doj.ca.gov/ . California law enforcement agencies report to the DOJ information on any death that occurs while an individual is: (1) in the process of arrest; (2) killed by use of force by law enforcement; (3) in the temporary custody of a law enforcement agency; or (4) incarcerated in a city, county, or state correctional facility. To the extent that you are requesting any of the above-related records, after consulting with various programs within the DOJ, the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request. To the extent that you are requesting any other records relating to the death of Neil Thomas VanDagriff, the DOJ would not have responsive records. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PR A-2018-02154	Bauer	Mike	10/29/2018	Under the California freedom of information act I Mike Bauer with Rubicon Global is requesting invoices for the following information for the waste and recycling for the park districts. 1- Waste and Recycling Hauler being used- 2-How many dumpsters you have- 3- The size of dumpsters- 4- How often are they picked up- I am currently working in California doing a waste analysis for the trash and recycling to make sure everyone is paying the fair market rate for their services		Completed	Erin Nickless You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to State parks, you may wish to direct your request to the

							California Department of Parks and Recreation. Information on requesting records from their office can be found on their website at https://www.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=1084 .
PR A-2018-02155	Davis (Franklin Armory)	Jason	10/29/2018	<p>Currently, handguns must be listed by make and model in order to be sold, with certain exceptions, within California. The list of firearms is available to the public on the California Department of Justice's website.</p> <p>At this time, it is our belief that Franklin Armory is the only firearm manufacturer with unique comments and pictures of the firearms approved for sale within California pursuant to the Unsafe Handgun Act and posted by the Bureau of Firearms relating to their firearm.</p> <p>Specifically, on the page identifying the handguns manufactured by Franklin Armory that are listed and approved for sale within California, it reads:</p> <p>[quote] The tested and approved SE-SSP has a solid magazine well, a pink Ergo brand grip, lacks a gas tube, has a 10.25" stainless bull barrel, and has an overall length of 25".</p> <p>Penal Code section 32100(b) states:</p> <p>(b) Article 4 (commencing with Section 31900) and Article 5 (commencing with Section 32000) shall not apply to a single-shot pistol with a break top or bolt action and a barrel length of not less than six inches and that has an overall length of at least 10 1/2 inches when the handle, frame or receiver, and barrel are assembled. However, Article 4 (commencing with Section 31900) and Article 5 (commencing with Section 32000) shall apply to a semiautomatic pistol that has been temporarily or permanently altered so that it will not fire in a semiautomatic mode. [end quote]</p> <p>That page is available here: https://www.oag.ca.gov/firearms/certguns?make=Franklin+Armory</p> <p>In addition to the above quoted text, twelve pictures were also posted on the web page identifying the firearms manufactured by Franklin Armory and approved by the Department of Justice.</p> <p>We seek the following documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Any and all writings, as defined by Evidence Code section 250, that identify the date that the above quoted was added. 2. Any and all writings, as defined by Evidence Code section 250, that identify the author of the above quoted text and/or pictures. 3. Any and all writings, as defined by Evidence Code section 250, that constitute instructions to place the quoted text and/or pictures on the page listing Franklin Armory's approved handguns. 4. Any and all writings, as defined by Evidence Code section 250, that refer or relate to the decision to post the above quoted text and/or pictures on the page listing Franklin Armory's approved handguns. 5. Any and all writings, as defined by Evidence Code section 250, that refer or relate to the evaluation, examination, determination of lawfulness, determination of listing, and/or listing of Franklin Armory's handguns listed and approved for sale within California that are identified on the page cited above. 6. Any and all writings that refer, relate, or identify any other firearm manufacturer's listing on the approved handgun listing maintained by the Department of Justice that contains text in red font, or pictures, or that contains comment information unique to that specific firearm manufacturer, or comments unique to a specific firearm listed on the list of firearms approved for sale within California. This request does not include any references to the universally quoted text that states: "All handguns listed are approved with or without night sights." 6. Any and all writings that refer or relate to any determination by the Department of Justice or any agent or expert utilized by the Department of Justice that the Franklin Armory pistols listed by the Department of Justice as being approved for sale are semiautomatic pistols that have been temporarily or permanently altered so that it will not fire in a semiautomatic mode. 7. Any and all writings that refer or relate to the reason for posting the comments quoted above and/or pictures on the page that lists Franklin Armory's firearms approved for sale within California. 8. Any and all writings that refer or relate to the reason for not posting the information quoted above on the listings maintained by the Department of Justice for other firearm models and firearm manufacturers that have firearms approved for sale within the State of California? 9. Any and all writings that refer or relate to the Department of Justice's support, recommendation, or approval of Penal Code section 32100(b)'s language that precludes the Unsafe Handgun Act exemption from applying to semiautomatic pistols that have been temporarily or permanently altered so that the pistols will not fire in a semiautomatic mode. 10. Any and all writings that identify firearms that were semiautomatic pistols that have been temporarily or permanently altered so that it will not fire in a semiautomatic mode. This includes any writings that identify pistols by makes and/or models that have been sold subject to the, now repealed, "single shot exemption" formerly found within Penal Code section 32100(b). 11. Any and all writings that identify, state, or estimate the total number of semiautomatic pistols that have been temporarily or permanently altered so that the pistol will not fire in a semiautomatic mode that were sold or registered within California. 	Rolling Production	Public Records	<p>January 4, 2019 production: On November 8, 2018, we sent you a letter extending our time to respond to this request, and on December 5, 2018, we sent you an update regarding the request. The following is a further update to your request:</p> <p>We have identified records responsive to item 6 of your request. Some of those records are exempt wholly or partially exempt from production because they are subject to the deliberative process, attorney work-product, or attorney client privilege. The following is an explanation why such materials are exempt pursuant to those privileges:</p> <p>Deliberative Process Exemption: The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.)</p> <p>Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>Attorney Work-Product and Attorney-Client Privilege Exemption: Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 (which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client) and the attorney work-product privilege contained in Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 (which protects any writing reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential) are incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5</p>

			<p>12. Any and all writings, as that term is identified in Evidence Code section 250, that constitute, refer, or relate to this Public Records Act Request, including but not limited to, any e-mails, logs, letters, faxes, instructions, or relaying of this request to individual employees, officers, or agents of the Department of Justice for responsive materials and replies thereto.</p> <p>[Franklin Armory Handguns Listed]</p> <p>Franklin Armory SE-SSP SKU 3125-BLK / Steel Pistol 10.25" Bull Barrel 5.56 NATO 1/1/19</p> <p>Franklin Armory SE-SSP SKU 3125-PNK / Steel Pistol 10.25" Bull Barrel 5.56 NATO 1/1/1</p>			<p>Cal.4th 363; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>The attorneys in our department, including attorneys working in the Bureau of Firearms, provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees and prepare confidential analyses as part of their work. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys, and analyses prepared by those attorneys are privileged materials exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>In some instances, we have identified email strings in which non-privileged communications are forwarded in privileged communications. In those instances, we are producing copies redacting the privileged portions of the communications.</p> <p>In addition to applying redactions to protect privileged content, we have redacted the last digits of the direct phone numbers of the Department's Bureau of Firearms employees. Given the sensitivity around some of the work performed by the Bureau, its employees are sometimes the subject of harassment and/or threats. We withhold complete direct-dial numbers of our Bureau employees from our responses to Public Records Act requests in an effort to minimize that harassment, because it is easier to attract and retain talented workers, and people are better able to perform their job when they feel safe at work. (See Gov. Code, § 6255, subd. (a) [allowing an agency to withhold records when "on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record"];.) For similar reasons, and to protect individual privacy (Cal. Const., art. I, §.1; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k) [incorporating other exemptions and prohibitions into the Public Records Act exemptions]), we have also redacted some of the contact information for persons working for well-known gun manufacturers with whom Department employees have communicated.</p> <p>We anticipate providing you with an additional update and our first production of documents on or about February 4, 2019.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							<p>December 5, 2108: On November 8, 2018, we sent you a letter extending our time to respond to this request. The following is an update on our efforts to gather records that are responsive to this request.</p> <p>As a preliminary matter, to the extent that you are requesting "all writings" that possibly refer to a specific subject in your requests, given the size of the Department, we must deny your requests. The Department has offices in six different cities and employs hundreds of people. In order to respond to your request, each division of the Department of Justice, including each section, branch, unit or bureau, would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to review each and every individual matter assigned to that deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to determine if they have any documents referencing the subject of your request. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring a more extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>However, because the records you seek would most likely be found in the possession of our Bureau of Firearms, we are conducting a search with knowledgeable persons and logical places within the Bureau. Even with these limitations, in part given the number of items in your request, in order to fully respond we will need to review a large volume of documents, many of which will need to be retrieved from storage. We have been diligently working to gather potentially responsive documents and we can provide you with the following updated responses:</p> <p>Response to Requests 1-4, and 8: The Department is in the process of compiling emails that are potentially responsive to these requests, but the compilation is not yet complete.</p> <p>Response to Requests 5 and 7: The Department has had to request boxes</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								<p>from storage in order to respond to these requests. The boxes arrived on November 26, 2018, and we will produce non-exempt responsive documents from them on a rolling basis.</p> <p>Response to Request 6: The Department is in the process of compiling emails that are potentially responsive to this request, but the compilation is not yet complete. In addition, the Department has requested documents from storage that may contain documents that are responsive to this request. The boxes arrived on November 26, 2018, and we will produce non-exempt responsive documents from them on a rolling basis.</p> <p>Response to Request 9: We checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places, and we did not identify any documents responsive to this request.</p> <p>Response to Requests 10 and 11: The Department has interpreted these requests as seeking documents regarding Assembly Bill 1964 (Dickinson) (2013-2014 Reg. Sess.) and it is searching for any documents it may have that are related to the Bill. The Department also has identified testimony provided by Blake Graham at a Senate Public Safety Committee meeting. That testimony can be found here: http://calchan.nel.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?view_id=&clip_id=2220&meta_id=30809 (Mr. Graham's testimony starts at 53:39.)</p> <p>Response to Request 12: The Department is in the process of determining whether its DROS data may provide responsive information.</p> <p>Response to Request 13: The Department will produce all responsive documents that are not privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. Please be advised that, given the nature of your request, it is likely that, to the extent they exist, many of the records responsive to it are exempt from disclosure in whole or in part under the deliberative process privilege, the attorney work-product privilege, and/or the attorney client privilege. The following is an explanation why such materials would be exempt pursuant to those privileges: Deliberative Process Exemption: The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

						<p>expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>Attorney Work-Product and Attorney-Client Privilege Exemption: Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 (which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client) and the attorney work-product privilege contained in Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 (which protects any writing reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential) are incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code§ 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>The attorneys in our department, including attorneys working in the Bureau of Firearms, provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees and prepare confidential analyses as part of their work. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys, and analyses prepared by those attorneys are privileged materials exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>We anticipate providing you with an additional update and our first production of documents on or about December 18, 2018.</p> <p>On November 8, 2018, an extension letter was sent.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

PR A-2018-02158	Orawczyk	Joe	10/29/2018	<p>I am seeking any records concerning Mr. JILMER GUSTAVO MORALES EQUIZABAL, sole owner of J M E Construction per https://www2.cs.b.ca.gov/OnlineServices/CheckLicense/PersonnelDetail.aspx?LicNum=921816&SeqNumber=865468&LicName=J+M+E+CONSTRUCTION</p> <p>He is also known as owner of JME Construction, Jilmer Morales per https://www.meetup.com/LongBeachReferralExchange/members/28803522/</p> <p>J M E Construction is the general building contractor that was issued building permit # BLDG17-00798 by the City of Torrance, CA on May 1, 2017 to remodel a single family residence in Torrance. The house was subsequently inspected and sold in September 2017. After closing, false (or "bootleg") ground wiring was discovered in violation of Torrance Municipal Code, Division 8, Building and Safety, Chapter 2, §82.1.1, CCR Title 24, Part 3, §406.4 (D)(2)(b), §406.4 (D)(2)(c), and §406.10 (C), and 8 CCR § 2350.2 (c), as well as PEN 484 and PEN 487b. There are 53 other recently flipped properties in Torrance between JAN 2017 -JUL 2018 identified from permit records that are potential victims.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
-----------------	----------	-----	------------	--	--	-----------	---------------	--

PR A-2018-02279	Copeland	Jonathan	10/29/2018	"A copy of the California Burglaries and Robberies without Physical Harm Exempt for Three-Strikes Sentencing Law Initiative (17-0046) Allocation initiative."		Completed	Anabel Renteria	It appears you are requesting information regarding Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018", a copy is enclosed. This completes our response to your request.
PR A-2018-02159	Rivera-Andrade	Alexis	10/30/2018	Any records.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PR A-2018-02160	Lanphear	Christopher	10/30/2018	November 6 clarification received: Thank you for getting back to me. I will try my best to provide clarification to my request below. 1. I am requesting information for both IT and non-IT service contracts that were awarded through the competitive process with future expiration dates. Specifically I am looking for term/ annual contracts. 2. I would say that this would include public works contracts and helicopter contracts if they are term/ annual contracts. If not, then please do not include them. 3. In a perfect world, I would like information on all or most contracts, however since that would be an incredibly large request, I will try to narrow it down. Like I said before, I am specifically looking for term/ annual contracts that were awarded through the Bid/ RFP process and have a future expiration date. Information for one time projects does not need to be included in the request. To help to narrow the search, please only include information on term/ annual contracts from the past 3 years (2015 – present). I hope this helps with my request. If you need further clarification, please feel free to reach out to me. My contact info is listed below. October 30 request: Deltek is submitting a public records request. Can you please email me a list of every vendor term/annual contract with a future expiration date. I would like the list to contain the title/description, expiration date,		Completed	Stacy Heinse	On December 6, 2018, Stacy Heinse responded: Specifically, you requested a list of every vendor/annual contract with a future expiration date. You requested the list contain the title/description, expiration date, and awarded vendor name for each awarded contract. A follow up email was sent to you on November 5, 2018, seeking clarification of your request. In your response you clarified that you were seeking information for both IT and non-IT service contracts that were awarded through the competitive process. You indicated you were specifically looking for term/annual

				<p>and awarded vendor name for each awarded contract. If any additional contract details are easy to include, please provide that as well (example: contract start date, contract renewal terms, pricing information...). If applicable please inform me in advance if a fee will be required Please contact me if you have any questions. My number is [REDACTED]</p>			<p>contracts, including public works and helicopter contracts that fell into that category. You also indicated to narrow down our search to include information on term/annual contracts from 2015 to present. Please see the attached list with information responsive to your request for Non-IT contracts. There are no responsive records pertaining to IT contracts. On November 16, 2018, Stacy Heinsen sent an extension letter. On November 5, 2018 Stacy Heinsen sent a request for clarification: Our office is in receipt of your recent request for records (below). In order to determine if our office has responsive records, I am seeking clarification of your request. Please see our questions below: 1. Are you requesting information for IT service contracts, Non-IT service contracts, or both? 2. If seeking Non-IT service contracts, does that include public works and helicopter contracts? 3. For IT service, Non-IT service, or both, are there specific types of contracts you are seeking or all types? Can you provide more specifics about what you are seeking? Thank you for clarifying your request.</p>	
PR A-2018-02162	Ballas	Nicholas	10/30/2018	<p>Hi – I am requesting a list of all of the public records requests submitted to the California Attorney General's Office between April 1, 2018 and October 30, 2018. Specifically, I would like the requester's full name, city and state, and description of records sought. Electronic records are preferred.</p>		Assigned	Erin Nickless	<p>On November 9, 2018, Public Records Responded: We are attaching .pdf copies of our logs in the format requested between the dates April 1 and May 31, 2018. We need additional time to generate and redact personal information contained in the PRA database for the remaining time frame denoted in your request. We anticipate reviewing and redacting this data to take a minimum of 12 weeks. The logs will be produced to you as they are completed in a rolling production process.</p> <p>Please note that the Public Records Act does not require that the requester provide their name or address, as long as they indicate a means by which we can convey records to them in their request. If there are blank fields or incomplete information, this may be the result of that information having not been provided by the requester.</p> <p>If you would like data for June and July, we can provide our standard logs for June and July sooner than the twelve</p>

								week period noted above. However, please note that our standard logs typically do not include the requester's location, so the documents that are currently available for June and July do not include the city and state information that you have requested. Please let us know if you would like those logs now, or if you prefer to wait for the ones we still need to generate with city and state information included
PR A-2018-02163	Davis (City of Glendale CCWs)	Jason	10/30/2018	1. Any and all writings, as defined in Evidence Code section 250, that state, refer, or relate to the total number of licenses to carry firearms (also known as CCWs) issued by the City of Glendale's Chief of Police. This request is limited to the time period beginning 2008 through today.		Completed	Public Records	<p>On December 5, 2018, Public Records responded: On November 9, 2018, we sent you a letter extending our time to respond to this request.</p> <p>As a preliminary matter, to the extent that you are requesting "all writings" that possibly "refer, or relate" to CCWs issued in Glendale, given the fact that these terms are very broad, and considering the size of the Department, the request is overly broad as cast. The Department has offices in six different cities and employs hundreds of people. In order to respond to your request, each division of the Department of Justice, including each section, branch, unit or bureau would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to review each and every individual matter assigned to that deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to determine if they have any documents referencing the subject of your request. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring a more extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>However, because your request appears to be designed to capture data regarding CCWs issued by the City of Glendale's Chief of Police, we ran a report using our currently available CCW data and have searched our records for</p>

							<p>prior responses to PRA requests for CCW data.</p> <p>Attached is the report with the current numbers, as well as pages from CCW state-wide reports that mention "Glendale" dating back to 2012. We do not have reports from before 2012.</p> <p>The reports we provided in response to prior PRA requests are voluminous and attaching them to email is not practical. As such, we will be mailing them to you on a CD along with a hard copy of this letter.</p> <p>Please note that our Carry Concealed Weapons (CCWs) system can only give a picture of the data as it appears in the system on the day a report is run and will not be a full, all-inclusive picture of all transactions since 2008 as it is only point-in-time. This means that we can only tell you what appeared in the system on the day a report was run. As a result, if information regarding an application was entered and subsequently changed before a report was run, the report will only capture the changed version of the data for that application. In cases where an entry was removed as a result of expiration or denial, the report will not capture the initial entry of the application at all. As a result, previous versions of entries that have since been changed or removed would not appear in the numbers we ran. For example, if a license was reflected in the system on January 1, 2016, then shortly thereafter expired and, as a result, later removed from our system, that license would not have been captured when we ran the current numbers.</p> <p>Pursuant to Penal Code section 26225, law enforcement agencies are required to send copies of approvals and denials to the DOJ. However, the DOJ has encountered inconsistent reporting by issuing agencies. As such, we recommend that complete records be sought from the issuing agencies. Furthermore, the only obligation for the DOJ under current law is to review eligibility and provide local law enforcement agencies with information on whether or not the applicant is prohibited, for reasons having to do with applicable laws. The actual issuance of CCWs takes place on the local law enforcement agency level. Lastly, our data may not reflect all approvals as some may not have yet been reported to us.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								Enclosed, please find records responsive to your request. On November 9, 2018, Public Records sent an extension letter.
PR A-2018-02164	Witzel (9-11 records)	Christopher	10/30/2018	A 911 call made on September 10, 2018 around 4:10pm. The call was made in Sacramento, Ca. I'm not sure if it went through Sacramento police or through a CHP office. I had called because someone intentionally passed me on the shoulder and hit my car. Then it appeared he was going to drive away. I gave the license plate number 7KHZ423. He did stop and the CHP was dispatched for a police report. I'm having a dispute with the auto insurance companies and I would like to obtain a copy of the 911 call to help my case. Can you please contact me or mail me a copy of the transcript?		Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PR A-2018-02165	Luh	Elizabeth	10/30/2018	<p>I would like all of the murder victim data from 2007 - 2017. Information I'd like included is the reported date, victim's full name, victim's age, victim's sex, city, state, latitude and longitude of the crime location, whether the case was solved, the badge number and name of the officer assigned to the case, and the year.</p> <p>If you determine that any or all of the information qualifies for an exemption from disclosure, I ask you to note whether, as is normally the case under the Act, the exemption is discretionary, and if so whether it is necessary in this case to exercise your discretion to withhold the information.</p> <p>If you determine that some but not all of the information is exempt from disclosure and that you intend to withhold it, I ask that you redact it for the time being and make the rest available as requested.</p> <p>If I can provide any clarification that will help expedite your attention to my request, please contact me at (provide phone or fax number, pager number, etc.). I ask that you notify me of any duplication costs exceeding \$50 before you duplicate the records so that I may decide which records I want copied. As this is for research and will benefit the public, I ask that you waive a portion of the fee if possible.</p> <p>I'd like the data to be mailed to me via compact disc.</p>		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The data that you are requesting can be found on the California Attorney General's OpenJustice website within the report titled "Homicide," here: https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data. Note, we do not release the victim's name and date of birth to avoid re-identification issues with the family and also the victim to suspect relationship. Furthermore, we do not collect the following: city, latitude, longitude, if the case was solved, or the badge number of the officer assigned.</p> <p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of a local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In this instance, you may want to request the information regarding whether the crime was solved and the badge number of the officer assigned from the appropriate law enforcement agency who handled the case.</p>
PR A-2018-02166	Chilleo	Paul	10/30/2018	<p>Could you please provide me the number of authorized tables that Hollywood Park Casino in Inglewood, California has been licensed for since the inception of the business. Specifically, I would like to know the number of authorized tables for each year Hollywood Park Casino has been in business.</p> <p>Any assistance you could provide will be greatly appreciated. I can be reached at my office 424.227.3320 if you need any further clarification of my request.</p>		Completed	Riann Kopchak	Except as specifically provided in the Gambling Control Act (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19800 et seq.), the records of the Bureau, a subdivision of the Department of Justice, are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) In addition, subdivision (d) of Business and Professions Code section 19821 makes it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department of Justice to knowingly disclose or furnish records of information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act.

								A review of your request suggests no applicable exception to the general exemption from disclosure set forth above. Accordingly, any records that might be responsive to your request are exempt from disclosure under the Gambling Control Act (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subds. (c) & (d)) and by the terms of the Public Records Act (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)). Your request for disclosure of records as set forth above is therefore denied.
PR A-2018-02167	Noren	Nicole	10/30/2018	I am requesting copies of any and all correspondence to/from Nevada attorney Leslie Stovall to/from the California Office of the Attorney General. His original correspondence was sent to the OAG on approximately October 8, 2018. In his initial correspondence, he included copies of two letters he sent to the Las Vegas Metro Police Department, a set of documents he obtained by "Football Leaks", and a copy of the 09/28/18 civil complaint entitled "Mayorga v Ronaldo" A-18-781869-C. My request is for copies of Mr. Stovall's entire original set of records sent on/about Oct. 8, any follow-up correspondence from him, and any correspondence sent TO him by the Office of the Attorney General between October 8, 2018 - October 30, 2018.		Completed	Maureen Onyebako	On November 9, 2018, we extended the period to provide our response because your request required coordination with different sections in the department that have responsibility for the requested records. · We have recovered documents that are responsive to your request but exempt from disclosure as investigative law enforcement records of the Attorney General. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f); Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) The Government Code exempts records of complaints and preliminary inquiries. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).) Additionally, it "protects materials that, while not on their face exempt from disclosure, nevertheless become exempt through inclusion in an investigatory file." (Dick Williams, supra, 5 Cal.4th at p. 354, citations omitted.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)].) Finally, to protect the integrity of the investigative process, this office will not comment on, even to confirm or deny, any potential or ongoing investigation.
PR A-2018-02168	Bensinger (WSJ)	Greg	10/30/2018	Under the California Public Records Act, I seek copies of all communications digital or physical between the California attorney general's office and Uber Technologies Inc. regarding Uber's 2016 breach affecting customer and driver data, and its subsequent settlement. Responsive documents may include, but not be limited to, communications, contracts and supporting documents between the attorney general's office and the following individuals: Dara Khosrowshahi, Matt Olsen, Tony West, Tammy Albarran, Salle Yoo, Angela Padilla, Jill Hazelbaker, Matt Kallman, Scott Schools, Regina LaMonica, Christopher B. Wilson, E. Desmond Hogan, Michelle Kisloff I seek records from Nov. 1, 2017 through the date of this request. As this is a matter of public interest, I ask that you expedite my request. I request the information as a member of the news media, not for any commercial purpose. If possible, I would prefer to receive any responsive documents in digital/electronic format.		Completed	Anthony P. O'Brien	On November 21, 2018, Anthony O'Brien sent a production: On November 8, 2018, we extended the time to respond to your request to November 26, 2018. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c); Code Civ. Proc., §§ 10, 12a, 135.) We have determined that the request seeks disclosable public records in our possession. (See Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c).) Copies of responsive non-exempt documents are attached to this letter. However, we will not produce

								<p>any records or portions of records that fall under the following exemptions:</p> <p>Investigative Records Exemption (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f))</p> <p>We decline to provide responsive records in our possession that are exempt from disclosure under the exemption for investigatory and security files.</p> <p>Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See <i>Dick Williams v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)].)</p> <p>Attorney Work Product Exemption (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k))</p> <p>Similarly, the attorney work product exception, which protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories, is also incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); <i>County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court</i> (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819.) Records prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office that are subject to the work product exception are exempt from disclosure under the Act.</p> <p>Deliberative Process Privilege (Gov. Code, § 6255)</p> <p>The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. (<i>Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court</i> (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325, 1342-1343, 1346.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. Any documents containing statutory interpretation, analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								<p>proposal would be covered by this privilege. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Id. at p. 1342.) If the public interest in nondisclosure clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure, the deliberative process privilege applies. (Cal. First Amendment Coalition v. Superior Court (1998) 67 Cal.App.4th 159, 172-173.) In the instant case, documents regarding communications between the Attorney General's Office and Uber Technologies, Inc. between November 2017 and October 2018 include records related to the Attorney General's investigation of Uber Technologies, Inc. (occurring between November 2017 and September 2018), as well as attorney work product and documents reflecting the Attorney General's Office's decision-making process during the investigation. Absent special circumstances not present in this matter, those documents covered under the exemptions and privileges noted above are not subject to disclosure.</p> <p>On November 8, 2018, Anthony O'Brien sent an extension letter.</p>
PR A-2018-02170	Popov	Chris	10/30/2018	Under the California Public Records Act§ 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records including correspondence, emails, written complaints, telephonic transcriptions or memorandum from telephone or email communications regarding GayTravel.Com including any documents which were used as the basis for the Notice of Violation issued to GayTravel.com on October 22, 2018, by the Seller of Travel Program, Office of the Attorney General, 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702, Los Angeles, CA 90013		Completed	Steven De Salvo	Records of complaints or other documents that served as a basis of the Notice of Violation are confidential investigative and/or law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to, and investigations conducted by, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f)). Accordingly, any records of complaints or other documents that served as a basis for the Notice of Violation are exempt from disclosure. The Department of Justice and the Seller of Travel Program have no additional records responsive to your request.
PR A-2018-	Schwartz (specific case records)	Avi	10/30/2018	Could you please email me a copy of the appellate brief your office filed in La Follette v. Padilla on August 24, 2018?		Completed	Public Records	Enclosed are the records responsive to your request (copy of brief was provided).

021 72								
PR A- 201 8- 022 09	Albiero	Cheryl	10/3 0/20 18	We are looking for criminal records for a potential employee. Can this be obtained with the public records request form on the website?	Habitat for Humanity of Washington & Dodge Counties	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. California law authorizes certain governmental and private organizations to conduct criminal offender record information background checks to help determine the suitability of a person applying for a license, employment, or a volunteer position working with children, the elderly, or the disabled. Law enforcement agencies, public and private schools, non-profit organizations, and in-home supportive care agencies are some of the organizations authorized to conduct these fingerprint-based background checks. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A- 201 8- 021 71	Hidalgo	Alan	10/3 1/20 18	Regarding: Sher Catone, born in April, resides in Temecula, Ca., married to Joey Catorne, but was married to Mark Warila; had four girls, she lost custody of all four girls. If I can get a date, case number. My wife said she kidnapped her two siblings when she was a little girl.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a family law court, you may wish to direct your request to their office. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny

								your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PR A-2018-02173	Elliott	Jodi	10/31/2018	I am requesting Sex Offender Registration records regarding Thad Mercer, DOB [REDACTED] It is my understanding that he registered as a sex offender in January 2018 with the La Mesa Police Department. I have been in contact with them and they tell me I need to contact the SARS office - DOJ. My name is Jodi Elliott, I am a legal assistant with the Public Defense Office and my e-mail is jodi.elliott@co.thurston.wa.us and my # is 360-786-5899. I can provide a release, if needed.		Completed	Brian Segal	Unfortunately, we must deny your request. Subject to limited exceptions, the information provided by sex offenders during the registration process and/or pertaining to their registration status is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, §§ 290.021 and 290.46(e) and (i).) You indicated that you are able to obtain a release from Mr. Mercer in regards to any sex offender registration information that may be in the possession of the California Department of Justice. Please contact me at my contact information found at the top of this letter to discuss this release and possible alternative means to proceed.
PR A-2018-02175	Carroll (Nicklas Akers)	James	10/31/2018	1) All documentation, including but not limited to emails, involving Deputy Attorney General Nicklas Akers's participation in any investigations and/or litigation involving non-profit entities, religious groups, and groups affiliated with known religious organizations from January 1, 2008 to date of production. 2) All documentation, including but not limited to emails regarding Nicklas Akers's county election campaign for and representation of the Democratic Central Committee, County of San Mateo, political affiliations, and activities between January 1, 2008 and date of production.		Completed	Jose Zelidon Zepeda	On November 13, 2018, Jose Zelidon-Zepeda responded: Your request was received on October 31, 2018. By letter dated November 13, 2018, this office extended the date for responding to your request by 14 days, to November 27, 2018. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c).) Responses to Request. 1. Enclosed are certain non-exempts records responsive to request number 1, regarding the litigation in United States of America and State of California ex rel. Aria Kozak and Donna Kozakv. Chabad-Lubavitch, Inc, et al. , No. 10-cv-1056-MCE-EFB (E.D. Cal.). Please be advised that certain potentially responsive records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure. The Public Records Act exempts from disclosure records of complaints and investigations

								<p>conducted by the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice, among other agencies. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f); Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 355-56.) Additionally, confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, are expressly incorporated into the Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370.) Our office provides legal advice to the Attorney General and client agencies. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or client agencies and the Department's attorneys concerning various litigation and advice matters are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Moreover, the attorney work product doctrine protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Finally, certain records that are potentially responsive to the request are covered by the common interest doctrine. When parties share common interests, confidential disclosure of otherwise attorney-client privileged communications or attorney work product by one party to the other party does not waive privilege if the disclosure is reasonably necessary to assist the disclosing party in accomplishing the purpose for which that party's lawyer was consulted. (OXY Resources California LLC v. Superior Court (2004) 115 Cal.App.4th 874, 890; see Meza v. H Muehlstein & Co. (2009) 176 Cal.App.4th 969, 981.)</p> <p>2. We have no documents responsive to request number 2.</p> <p>This completes our response to your request. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions regarding this matter.</p> <p>On November 13, 2018, Jose Zelidon-Zepeda sent an extension letter.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

PR A-2018-02179	Davis (CIS I, II, III Training Materials)	Jason	10/31/2018	<p>I am in receipt of your letter dated October 23, 2018, responding to our request dated August 31, 2018, and relating to certain CIS training materials. On page 1 of the produced documents, the following responsive materials are identified:</p> <p>APPLICATIONS / MATERIALS DESCRIPTION ACTIONS /COMMENTS</p> <p>Background Clearance Unit (BCU) DROS Procedures – Binder 1</p> <p>Includes penal codes commonly seen when working a DROS, database procedures, examples, and additional reference material.</p> <p>Reference Binder is given to new analysts learning how to work DROS.</p> <p>BCU DROS Procedures – Binder 2</p> <p>Includes NICS training, examples, point of contacts, and additional reference material</p> <p>Federal binder is given to new analysts learning how to work DROS.</p> <p>The contents of those binders (including any inserts, and covers) should have been produced along with the original responsive documents - but were excluded. As such, our request remains outstanding. Please provide these within the next ten business days.</p>		Assigned		On November 15, 2018 an extension letter was sent.
PR A-2018-02211	Rogers	Michael	10/31/2018	<p>1. Any and all information pertaining to the court case and conviction, of Kenneth Byron Nelson, white male, DOB [REDACTED], under statute 55-5555, offense date 28 JUN 95, conviction date 17 NOV 1995, Garden Grove, California.</p> <p>2. Date that Kenneth Byron Nelson left the State of California.</p> <p>3. Past known addresses for Kenneth Byron Nelson, since 1995.</p> <p>4. Current location of Kenneth Byron Nelson.</p> <p>5. Full name, and any additional information of a spouse, or common law marriage for, Kenneth Byron Nelson.</p> <p>6. Office, contact name, address, and phone number for the person responsible for the oversight of registered sex offenders in the State of California. Specifically the State of California representative responsible for the oversight of the above named individual.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to conclusively locate any court records that are responsive to your first request. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, such as case numbers or the court in which the case was heard, please provide that to us.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. We must deny your request for addresses of Kenneth Byron Nelson.</p> <p>Non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers are exempt from disclosure on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>We note that in item number 6 of your</p>

							<p>request, you ask about sex offenders. If you are concerned that Kenneth Byron Nelson is a registered sex offender in the State of California, you may wish to check California's Megan's Law database at https://www.meganslaw.ca.gov/Default.aspx.</p> <p>Public access to confidential sex offender registration information is controlled by Megan's Law which, for database integrity reasons, limits such access to real time internet searches on the Megan's Law web site; and given the constantly-changing nature of the Megan Law's database, the public's interest is best served by limiting public access to confidential sex offender registration information that is accurate and up-to-date.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking information related to the spouse of Kenneth Byron Nelson, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p> <p>The California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) Division of Adult Parole Operations oversees adult parolees in the state of California. More information on the Division of Adult Parole Operations can be found on CDCR's website at https://www.cdcr.ca.gov/Parole/.</p>
PR A-2018-02238	Smith	Glen	10/31/2018	Settlement Agreements for Claims filed (or threatened to be filed) against the Attorney General or the Department of Justice, (including its past or present officers, agents, employees or representatives) [sic]. This request is for any such Settlement Agreements signed or otherwise finalized by the Department of Justice during 2016, 2017 and 2018 (to date).		Rolling Production	<p>Michelle M. Mitchell</p> <p>December 14, 2018 Response: This letter is the Second Production in response to your request for records under the California Public Records Act (PRA) dated October 31, 2018. By letter dated November 28, 2018, the California Department of Justice (DOJ) informed you that responsive records had been located and nonexempt records would be produced on a rolling basis. Enclosed is the second production of records. We have redacted the home or personal addresses of any current or former</p>

								<p>DOJ employee based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>We anticipate the next production to be available on January 14, 2019</p> <p>November 29, 2018 response: On November 9 and 13, I contacted you to discuss your request. You clarified that you are not interested in settlement agreements for worker's compensation claims or bargaining unit contract grievances at this time. You confirmed however that you are interested in settlement agreements for claims against the AG or DOJ that were filed or were threatened to be filed with a third-party adjudicatory tribunal (e.g., State Personnel Board, superior or federal court, etc.) including agreements in which the DOJ agreed to payment of money or to a change in DOJ policy or regulation. By letter dated November 13, 2018, the DOJ sent you a letter notifying you that the DOJ was invoking the extension for its response.</p> <p>As you are aware, the DOJ employs peace officers as provided in Penal Code section 830.1, subdivision (b). Personnel records of sworn peace officers, including records of complaints, investigations, and discipline, are confidential records. (Copley Press, Inc. v. Superior Court (2006) 39 Cal.4th 1272, 1284-1286; Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training v. Superior Court (2007) 42 Cal.4th 278, 289-290; Pen. Code, §§ 832.5, 832.7.) Thus, any records responsive to your request that apply to a sworn peace officer of DOJ would be exempt from disclosure under the PRA and will not be produced. (Govt. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), incorporating Pen. Code, § 832.7.) We plan to produce records on a rolling basis. Attached are the first few that we were able to locate. We anticipate the next production to be available on December 10, 2018.</p> <p>November 14, 2018 extension letter: On November 9 and 13, I contacted you to discuss your request. You clarified that you are not interested in settlement agreements for worker's compensation claims or bargaining unit</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

									contract grievances at this time. You confirmed however that you are interested in settlement agreements for claims against the AG or DOJ that were filed or were threatened to be filed with a third-party adjudicatory tribunal (e.g., State Personnel Board, superior or federal court, etc.) including agreements in which the DOJ agreed to payment of money or to a change in DOJ policy or regulation.
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---